₩statfox.com

SIDTEN BER O'15, 2014

PATED BETTING GUIDE

COLLEGE FOOTBALL

2014-15 PLATINUM PLATIET SHEET



STATFOX.COM BEST BETS

TOP WEEKLY PICKS

TOP WEEKLY PICKS

EXCLUSIVE POWER RATINGS

EXCLUSIVE POWER RATINGS

HANDICAPPING SYSTEMS

SUPER SITUATIONS

SUPER SITUATIONS

HEAD-TO-HEAD TRENDS

THE PLATINUM SHEET

ISSUE #3 • SEPTEMBER 9 - 15, 2014

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines	2
NFL Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections	. 3
StatFox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines	. 3
NFL Game-by-Game Breakdowns	4
NFL Top Statistical Edges1	10
College Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections	11
StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines	12
College Football Top Statistical Edges	13
StatFox College Outplay Factor Rating Lines	14
College Football Game-by-Game Breakdowns '	16

MANAGING EDITOR

Scott Gramling

EXECUTIVE EDITOR

Jeff Makinen

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

Dave Bartman Gary Bennett Brian Graham

CREATIVE DIRECTOR

Ian Knowles

ASSISTANT EDITOR Zach "Dutch Touch" Cohen

EDITORIAL DIRECTION

10Ten Media

DAILY RACING FORM LLC

Jim Kostas, President Todd Unger, President SIG Digital Jacob Luft, Director, Digital Products

The Platinum Sheet is published by Daily Racing Form LLC, 708 Third Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, NY 10017. All rights reserved. Daily Racing Form LLC reserves the right to deny any paid advertisements and is not responsible for any contracts entered in with such advertisers.

SUBSCRIPTIONS:

www.StatFox.com/store 1-877-514-4220

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines - Week 2

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers

Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM

	ADI	Эľ	₹⊏	V 1/	۹,	10	1100
_							

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L

Thu - 9/11,8:25 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
101 PITTSBURGH		22		2	
102 BALTIMORE	-3	23	-1		
Sun - 9/14.1:00 PM	OL	PR	DDI	Edge	W/I
. , . ,	OL	FK	FKL	Luge	VV/L
255 JACKSONVILLE		8		2	
256 WASHINGTON	-6	12	-4		
Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
261 NEW ENGLAND	-3	28	-3		
262 MINNESOTA		25		0	
Sun - 9/14,4:05 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
267 ST LOUIS		17		3.5	
268 TAMPA BAY	-3.5	17	0		
Sun - 9/14,4:25 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
273 NY JETS		15			
274 GREEN BAY	-8	23	-8	0	

	20			
-3	27	-7	4	
OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
	19		2	
-3	20	-1		
01	-	DD:		14//
OL	PR	PKL	Edge	W/L
-6	25	-8	2	
	17			
OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
-6	37	-7	1	
	30			
OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
	19		2	
-12	29	-10		
	OL -6 OL -6	-3 27 OL PR 19 -3 20 OL PR -6 25 17 OL PR -6 37 30 OL PR 19	-3 27 -7 OL PR PRL 19 -3 20 -1 OL PR PRL -6 25 -8 17 OL PR PRL -6 37 -7 30 OL PR PRL -19 OL PR PRL -19 -19	-3 27 -7 4 OL PR PRL Edge 19 2 -3 20 -1 OL PR PRL Edge -6 25 -8 2 17 0 OL PR PRL Edge -6 37 -7 1 30 0 OL PR PRL Edge -6 137 -7 1 30 0

1	253 MIAMI		19			
]	254 BUFFALO	-1	21	-2	1	
1	C 0/44 4:00 DM	٥.	DD.	nnı	Ed.	\A//I
ı	Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	PK	PKL	Edge	W/L
l	259 ARIZONA		24	-4	6	
l	260 NY GIANTS	-2	20			
l	Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
l	265 ATLANTA		18			
1	266 CINCINNATI	-5	28	-10	5	
ı	Sun - 9/14,4:25 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
l	271 HOUSTON	-3	10			
1	272 OAKLAND		10	0	3	
l	Sun - 9/14,8:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
1	077 011104 00		4			

L	ĺ	Sun - 9/14,8:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
		277 CHICAGO		18			
		278 SAN FRANCISCO	-7	36	-18	11	
-							

TOP STATFOX POWE	R R	ATINO	3 1	. SAN	FRA	N
280 INDIANAPOLIS	-3	23		1		

OL PR PRL Edge W/L

26 -3

> CISCO (-7) over CHICAGO 2. PHILADELPHIA (+3) over INDIANAPOLIS 3. ARIZONA (+2) over NY GIANTS

OL PR PRL Edge W/L

StatFox Dave

StatFox Dave is one of the original four founders of StatFox and over the years has been renowned for his handicapping prowess in all sports. Dave is coming off a monster college season in 2013, finishing 55% ATS (38-31) in CFB Best Bets followed by a 62% ATS record in CBB Best Bets (70-43) that was capped off with a 74% ATS mark (14-5) in the NCAA Tournament.

StatFox Gary

StatFox Gary joined the StatFox and the Platinum Sheet staff prior to the 2010 football season, and is looking to build upon another outstanding year in 2013 where he recorded a 67% ATS (16-8) success rate in NFL Best Bets after Week 9. Gary then posted a 57% ATS mark (64-49) in college basketball Best Bets. Gary is off to a great start in CFB picks, as he is 63% ATS (20-12) in marquee grid games.

StatFox Scott

StatFox Scott serves as Managing Editor for StatFox, Platinum Sheet and the Edge Football Preview Guide, and known for strong finishes. Last vear. he was 59% ATS (33-23) in NFL Best Bets after Week 3, and his 5-0 playoff record on NEL Totals put him at 56% (40-31) for the year. He then went 64% ATS (25-14) in CBB Best Bets after Feb. 14. In Week 1, he was 10-6 ATS in CFB marquee games.

StatFox Brian

A featured writer on Stat-Fox.com and for Platinum Sheet, StatFox Brian has vet to suffer a losing pro or college football season of Platinum Sheet Best Bets since coming on board in 2010. Last year he tallied an impressive 60% ATS mark (41-27) in NFL Best Bets and insane 69% ATS record (76-34) in CBB Best Bets. Brian's 3-2 ATS in Week 1 makes him 73% ATS (22-8-2) in NFL Best Bets since Nov. 25.

Mon - 9/15,8:35 PM

279 PHILADELPHIA

EDGES:

Statfox PRESENTS THE WEEK'S STAFF PICKS

WEEK 2 NFL MATCHUPS: SEPTEMBER 11 - 15		StatFox DAVE	StatFox GARY	StatFox SCOTT	StatFox BRIAN	StatFox FORECASTER	StatFox CONSENSUS
• (101) PITTSBURGH at (102) BALTIMORE	-21/2	BALTIMORE	PITTSBURGH	BALTIMORE	PITTSBURGH	PITTSBURGH	PITTSBURGH
• (251) DETROIT at (252) CAROLINA	-21/2	DETROIT	DETROIT	CAROLINA	CAROLINA	CAROLINA	DETROIT
• (253) MIAMI at (254) BUFFALO	-1	BUFFALO	MIAMI	BUFFALO	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MIAMI	
• (255) JACKSONVILLE at (256) WASHINGTON	-6	CLICK FOR BEST BET	JACKSONVILLE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	WASHINGTON	JACKSONVILLE	
• (257) DALLAS at (258) TENNESSEE	-3½	DALLAS	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE
(259) ARIZONA at (260) NEW YORK GIANTS	-2	CLICK FOR BEST BET	NEW YORK GIANTS	NEW YORK GIANTS	ARIZONA	ARIZONA	
• (261) NEW ENGLAND at (262) MINNESOTA	+3	NEW ENGLAND	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MINNESOTA	NEW ENGLAND	MINNESOTA	
• (263) NEW ORLEANS at (264) CLEVELAND	+6	CLEVELAND	CLEVELAND	CLICK FOR BEST BET	NEW ORLEANS	NEW ORLEANS	
• (265) ATLANTA at (266) CINCINNATI	-5	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CINCINNATI	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CINCINNATI	CINCINNATI	CINCINNATI
• (267) ST. LOUIS at (268) TAMPA BAY	-4½	TAMPA BAY	TAMPA BAY	ST. LOUIS	CLICK FOR BEST BET	ST. LOUIS	
• (269) SEATTLE at (270) SAN DIEGO	+6	SAN DIEGO	SEATTLE	SEATTLE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	SAN DIEGO	SEATTLE
• (271) HOUSTON at (272) OAKLAND	+3	OAKLAND	HOUSTON	OAKLAND	HOUSTON	OAKLAND	OAKLAND
• (273) NEW YORK JETS at (274) GREEN BAY	-8	CLICK FOR BEST BET	GREEN BAY	NEW YORK JETS	GREEN BAY	NEW YORK JETS	
• (275) KANSAS CITY at (276) DENVER	-13	KANSAS CITY	KANSAS CITY				
(277) CHICAGO at (278) SAN FRANCISCO	-7	SAN FRANCISCO	CHICAGO	CLICK FOR BEST BET	SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO
• (279) PHILADELPHIA at (280) INDIANAPOLIS	-3	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	INDIANAPOLIS	INDIANAPOLIS	PHILADELPHIA	

Statfox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 2

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

254 BUFFALO

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating - adjusted for home field advantage. OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

Thu - 9/11,8:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/14,8:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/14,4:05 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	
101 PITTSBURGH		22		2.1		277 CHICAGO		14				267 ST LOUIS		20	-3	6.3		
102 BALTIMORE	-3	23	-1			278 SAN FRANCISCO	-7	35	-21	13.8		268 TAMPA BAY	-3.5	17				1
Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/14,4:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	•
251 DETROIT		18				261 NEW ENGLAND	-3	29	-7	4.5		273 NY JETS		14		1.5		-
252 CAROLINA	-3	27	-9	6.0		262 MINNESOTA		21				274 GREEN BAY	-8	21	-7			F
Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/14,4:05 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	
255 JACKSONVILLE		11		3.6		259 ARIZONA		28	-4	5.8		269 SEATTLE	-6	36	-7	0.6		_
256 WASHINGTON	-6	13	-2			260 NY GIANTS	-2	24				270 SAN DIEGO		30				
Sun - 9/14,4:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/14,4:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	
271 HOUSTON	-3	12				275 KANSAS CITY		21		1.1		257 DALLAS		18		0.3		:
272 OAKLAND		13	-1	4.0		276 DENVER	-12	32	-11			258 TENNESSEE	-3	21	-3			
Sun - 9/1/ 1:00 DM	ΔI	ΛE	OEL	Edge	W/I	Sun - 9/1/ 1:00 DM	ΛI	ΟE	OEL	Edge	W/I	Sun - 9/1/ 1:00 DM	ΔI	ΩE	OEL	Edge	W/I	

18

Mon - 9/15,8:35 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
279 PHILADELPHIA		25	-3	6.3	
280 INDIANAPOLIS	-3	22			

25 -11 4.8

263 NEW ORLEANS

264 CLEVELAND

_	20. 0. 200.0		ì	۰	÷	
	268 TAMPA BAY	-3.5	17			
_	0 · 0/44 4 05 DM	- AI	٥٢	lor:	E de la	14//
L	Sun - 9/14,4:25 PM	OL	5	OFL	Edge	W/L
	273 NY JETS		14		1.5	
	274 GREEN BAY	-8	21	-7		
Ξ				_		
L	Sun - 9/14,4:05 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
	269 SEATTLE	-6	36	-7	0.6	
	270 SAN DIEGO		30			
	0 0/// / 00 014	-	~-	051		
L	Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	ᅡ	OFL	Edge	W/L
	257 DALLAS		18		0.3	
	258 TENNESSEE	-3	21	-3		
L	Sun - 9/14,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
7	253 MIAMI		21	-2	2.6	

-1 20

the most comprehensive game information on the Internet. Our popular FoxSheets are packed with proprietary handicapping tools that benefit everyone from the beginning bettor to the most advanced self-handicapper.

TOP STATFOX OUTPLAY	1. SAN FRANCISCO (-7) over CHICAGO	14
FACTOR RATING EDGES:	2. CINCINNATI (-5) over ATLANTA	9.2
	3. PHILADELPHIA (+3) over INDIANAPOLIS	6.3

265 ATLANTA

266 CINCINNATI



foxsheets.com

Providing Unprecedented Handicapping Content

StatFox is renowned for providing



LOG ON TO FOXSHEETS.COM AND START WINNING TODAY!

All Games	W	L	T	Pct	Last Week	NFL Best Bets	W	L	T	Pct	Last Week
StatFox Scott	8	7	1	53.3%	8-7-1	StatFox Brian	3	2	0	60.0%	3-2
StatFox Forecaster	8	7	1	53.3%	8-7-1	StatFox Scott	2	2	0	50.0%	2-2
StatFox Brian	7	8	1	46.7%	7-8-1	StatFox Dave	2	3	0	40.0%	2-3
StatFox Gary	7	8	1	46.7%	7-8-1	StatFox Gary	1	3	1	25.0%	1-3-1
StatFox Consensus	7	8	1	46.7%	7-8-1						
StatFox Dave	6	9	1	40.0%	6-9-1						

NFL GAME BREAKDOWNS: WEEK 2



PITTSBURGH STEELERS

V S

BALTIMORE RAVENS (-2½)



(101) PITTSBURGH (SU: 1-0, ATS: 0-1) at (102) BALTIMORE (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) - Thursday, 9/11/2014 8:25 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE							TEAM DEFENSE							
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
PITTSBURGH	+3.0	16	30	24	28-127 (4.5)	35-24-363 (10.4)	63-490 (7.8)	0-1	27	23	30-183 (6.1)	31-19-206 (6.6)	61-389 (6.4)	0-0	-1
BALTIMORE	-7.0	25	16	26	20-91 (4.6)	62-35-329 (5.3)	82-420 (5.1)	1-1	23	16	26-79 (3.0)	38-25-301 (7.9)	64-380 (5.9)	0-0	-2

GAME BREAKDOWN: AFC North rivals clash in Baltimore, with the Steelers looking to cover their fourth straight game versus the Ravens. Pittsburgh led 27-3 at halftime of its opening game against Cleveland before allowing the Browns to erase that deficit. A Shaun Suisham field goal as time expired broke the tie. Baltimore scored 16 unanswered points to take a 16-15 lead against Cincinnati before allowing a 77-yard go-ahead touchdown by A.J. Green put the Bengals up for good. Joe Flacco enters this game having gone 4-8 ATS against Pittsburgh in his career, including just 1-4 ATS at home.

STATFOX	1	Pittsburgh	22
FORECASTER:		Baltimore	20

BETTING SYSTEM:

Home teams where the line is +3 to -3 - after 1+ consecutive losses, in the first month of the season, after closing out last season with 2+ straight losses. (28-62 ATS) Play = PITTSBURGH against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

BALTIMORE is 3-6 ATS (6-5 SU) vs. PITTSBURGH (1-4 ATS, 3-2 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- BALTIMORE is 8-1 under the 1H total in home lined games over the L2 seasons.
- BALTIMORE is 75-41 against the 1H line at home when playing with 6 or less days rest since 1992.
- BALTIMORE is 171-136 against the 1H line in all lined games since 1992.
- PITTSBURGH is 27-13 ATS after gaining 300 or more passing yards in last game since 1992.
- PITTSBURGH is 16-6 OVER after a home game where both teams scored 24 or more points since 1992. PITTSBURGH is 10-2 OVER away after gaining 450+ total yards in their previous game since 1992.



DETROIT LIONS

٧S

CAROLINA PANTHERS (-2½)



(251) DETROIT (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (252) CAROLINA (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 1:00 PM

TEAM OFFENSE							TEAM DEFENSE								
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
DETROIT (2013)	+1.2	19	25	22	28-112 (4.0)	40-23-280 (7.1)	68-392 (5.8)	1-1	24	18	24-100 (4.2)	36-21-247 (6.9)	60-347 (5.8)	0-1	-12
CAROLINA	+6.0	16	20	19	33-113 (3.4)	34-24-221 (6.5)	67-334 (5.0)	0-0	14	17	17-102 (6.0)	35-22-162 (4.6)	52-264 (5.1)	1-2	+3

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Lions debuted their new offense at home Monday night and it was a major success. The Lions piled up 417 yards of total offense en route to a convincing 35-14 win over the Giants, Calvin Johnson had seven receptions for 164 yards and two touchdowns. The Panthers won their opening game, 20-14 in Tampa, without quarterback Cam Newton (ribs), who is expected to return for Week 2. These teams have played six games since Carolina entered the league in 1995. The Panthers are 4-2 SU in those games, but Detroit has covered in five of six, including three of the four games the teams have played in Carolina

STATFOX	ı	Detroit18
FORECASTER:		Carolina21

COACHING POWER TREND:

Rivera is 16-5 ATS (+10.5 Units) after playing their last game on the road as the coach of CAROLINA.

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

CAROLINA is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. DETROIT (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

- CAROLINA is 21-5 UNDER off a road win against a division rival since 1992.
- CAROLINA is 11-1 UNDER at home off a close wins by 7 points or less over a division rival since 1992.
- CAROLINA is 75-40 UNDER off a division game since 1992.
- CAROLINA is 10-2 UNDER after 1 or more consecutive wins over the L2 seasons.
- DETROIT is 55-78 ATS away against conference opponents since 1992.
- DETROIT is 6-0 under the 1H total as a road underdog over the L3 seasons.



ATLANTA FALCONS

V S

CINCINNATI BENGALS (-5)



· (265) ATLANTA (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (266) CINCINNATI (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE														
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
ATLANTA	+3.0	26	37	28	25-123 (4.9)	43-31-445 (10.3)	68-568 (8.4)	1-0	34	30	28-139 (5.0)	42-29-333 (7.9)	70-472 (6.7)	1-1	+1
CINCINNATI	+7.0	19	23	16	26-79 (3.0)	38-25-301 (7.9)	64-380 (5.9)	0-0	16	26	20-91 (4.6)	62-35-329 (5.3)	82-420 (5.1)	1-1	+2

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Falcons came back from a 20-10 halftime deficit against the Saints, thanks largely to quarterback Matt Ryan's 448 passing yards and three touchdowns in what turned out to be a 37-34 victory for Atlanta. The Bengals escaped Baltimore with a victory after a 77-yard touchdown by A.J. Green put his team up for good with just under five minutes remaining. RB Giovani Bernard was limited to 48 yards on 14 carries, but caught six balls for 62 yards. The Falcons are 3-2 SU and ATS against the Bengals since 1992, but Cincinnati is 2-1 SU and ATS when playing Atlanta at Paul Brown Stadium.

STATFOX	Atlanta	19
FORECASTER:	Cincinnati	32

BETTING SYSTEM:

Underdogs or pick - bad team from last season who won only 25% to 40% of their games. (46-13 ATS) Play = ATLANTA against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

CINCINNATI is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. ATLANTA (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- · CINCINNATI is 8-1 ATS in home lined games over the L2 seasons.
- CINCINNATI is 10-3 ATS in games played on turf over the L2 seasons.
- CINCINNATI is 23-9 ATS after leading in their previous game by 14+ points at the half since 1992.
- ATLANTA is 14-3 ATS away after allowing 450 or more total yards in their previous game since 1992.
- ATLANTA is 40-20 ATS away after allowing 6 or more yards/play in their previous game since 1992.



NEW ORLEANS SAINTS (-6)

٧S

CLEVELAND BROWNS



(263) NEW ORLEANS(SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (264) CLEVELAND (SU: 0-1, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE							TEAM DEFENSE								
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	- 1	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
NEW ORLEANS	-3.0	17	34	30	28-139 (5.0)	42-29-333 (7.9)	70-472 (6.7)	1-1		37	28	25-123 (4.9)	43-31-445 (10.3)	68-568 (8.4)	1-0	-1
CLEVELAND	-3.0	21	27	23	30-183 (6.1)	31-19-206 (6.6)	61-389 (6.4)	0-0	Ī	30	24	28-127 (4.5)	35-24-363 (10.4)	63-490 (7.8)	0-1	+1

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Saints suffered a disappointing 37-34 overtime loss in Atlanta after having led for the majority of the game. The New Orleans offense scored four touchdowns, but the team's defense was unable to stop Matt Ryan, who threw for 448 yards and three touchdowns. As for Cleveland, they showed a lot of fight in their game against Pittsburgh, erasing a 27-3 deficit before falling 30-27 on a field goal as time expired. In the five meetings between these teams since 1992, Cleveland is 4-1 SU and ATS. The Week 2 status of both TE Jordan Cameron (shoulder) and RB Ben Tate (knee) is unclear.

STATFOX	ı	New Orleans28	
FORECASTER:		Cleveland21	

BETTING SYSTEM:

Underdogs or pick - bad team from last season who won only 25% to 40% of their games. (46-13 ATS) Play = CLEVELAND against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

CLEVELAND is 1-0 ATS (1-0 SU) vs. NEW ORLEANS (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- CLEVELAND is 11-2 under the 1H total off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons.
- CLEVELAND is 0-7 against 1H line after allowing 300+ passing yards their last game over L3 seasons.
- CLEVELAND is 1-9 against the 1H line after allowing 400+ total yards their last game over L3 seasons.
- NEW ORLEANS is 24-46 ATS after allowing 6.5 or more yards/play in their previous game since 1992.
- NEW ORLEANS is 6-16 ATS against AFC North division opponents since 1992.
- NEW ORLEANS is 23-11 OVER away after allowing 300+ passing yards in their last game since 1992.



NEW ENGLAND PATRIOTS (-3)

٧S

MINNESOTA VIKINGS



(261) NEW ENGLAND (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (262) MINNESOTA (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE							TEAM DEFENSE							
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	 PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
NEW ENGLAND	-13.0	19	20	20	20-89 (4.4)	56-29-226 (4.0)	76-315 (4.1)	2-0	33	25	38-191 (5.0)	32-18-169 (5.3)	70-360 (5.1)	2-1	+1
MINNESOTA	+28.0	19	34	18	30-186 (6.2)	25-17-160 (6.4)	55-346 (6.3)	0-0	6	15	22-72 (3.3)	36-24-246 (6.8)	58-318 (5.5)	0-2	+2

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Patriots allowed the Dolphins to rush for 191 yards as New England was outscored 23-0 in the second half of a 33-20 loss at Miami. It was the Patriots' first openingweek loss since 2003. Minnesota, meanwhile, dominated in a 34-6 road victory over the Rams. Dynamic second-year wideout Cordarrelle Patterson broke the game open with a 67-yard touchdown run late in the third quarter. QB Matt Cassel played the role of game manager, throwing for 170 yards and two touchdowns. Since 1992, New England is 4-2 SU in this series, but the teams have split wins ATS.

STATFOX	New England30
FORECASTER:	Minnesota28

BETTING SYSTEM:

Underdogs or pick - bad team from last season who won only 25% to 40% of their games. (46-13 ATS) Play = MINNESOTA against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

MINNESOTA is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. NEW ENGLAND (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

- MINNESOTA is 13-3 ATS after playing their last game on the road over the L3 seasons.
- MINNESOTA is 10-2 ATS at home after playing their last game on the road over the L3 seasons.
- MINNESOTA is 26-13 under the 1H total at home in September games since 1992.
- NEW ENGLAND is 10-1 ATS off a division game over the L3 seasons.
- NEW ENGLAND is 19-8 OVER in games played on turf over the L3 seasons.
- NEW ENGLAND is 0-6 ATS away off 1 or more straight overs over the L2 seasons.

Statfox super situations

Situational analysis uncovers conditions and patterns where teams have consistently outperformed or underperformed their normal levels. These systems are not team specific—the principle is that teams fall into patterns regardless of personnel (i.e. good passing teams, teams seeking revenge, cold teams on a losing streak, etc.).

- Play On Underdogs or pick (ATLANTA, CLEVELAND, OAKLAND, MINNESOTA, JACKSONVILLE) bad team from last season who won only 25% to 40% of their games.
- The situation's record is 46-13 over the last 5 seasons (78.0%, +31.7 units).

RATING = ***

- Play On Home favorites of 3.5 to 10 points (SAN FRANCISCO, TENNESSEE) - after a game where they committed no turnovers against opponent after a game where they committed 3 or more turnovers.
- The situation's record is 38-11 over the last 10 seasons (77.6%, +25.9 units)

RATING = ***

- Play Against Home favorites (DENVER, GREEN BAY) - team with a poor scoring defense last season - allowed 24 or more points/game, after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored.
- The situation's record is 22-3 since 1983 (88.0%, +18.7 units).

RATING = ***

NFL GAME BREAKDOWNS: WEEK 2



ARIZONA CARDINALS

٧S

NEW YORK GIANTS (-2)



· (259) ARIZONA (SU: 1-0, ATS: 0-1) at (260) NY GIANTS (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE								TEAM DEFENSE							
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
ARIZONA (2013)	+3.4	23	24	21	26-96 (3.6)	36-23-250 (7.0)	62-346 (5.6)	1-1		20	19	23-84 (3.7)	39-23-233 (6.0)	62-317 (5.1)	1-1	-1
NY GIANTS (2013)	-5.6	21	18	18	24-83 (3.5)	35-20-224 (6.3)	59-307 (5.2)	1-2		24	20	28-109 (3.8)	38-23-224 (6.0)	66-333 (5.0)	1-1	-15

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Cardinals trailed 17-6 in the fourth quarter of the late Monday night game before quarterback Carson Palmer led two touchdown drives. The second of those culminated with just over two minutes remaining and gave Arizona a 18-17 victory. The Giants, meanwhile, were demolished in Detroit in the early Monday night game. Eli Manning threw two interceptions in New York's 35-14 defeat. This Giants' defense allowed Lions QB Matthew Stafford to throw for 345 yards and a pair of touchdowns. Since 1992, the Giants are 9-3 SU and 6-5 ATS against the Cardinals in New Jersey.

STATFOX	l	Arizona21
FORECASTER:		New York15

BETTING SYSTEM:

Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - good rushing defense from last season - allowed 90 or less rushing yards/game. (47-18 Under) Play = Under the total

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

NY GIANTS is 1-1 ATS (1-1 SU) vs. ARIZONA (0-1 ATS, 0-1 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- Tom Coughlin is 74-52 ATS against conference opponents as coach of NY GIANTS.
- Tom Coughlin is 92-75 ATS in all lined games as coach of NY GIANTS.
- Tom Coughlin is 14-6 ATS against NFC West division opponents as coach of NY GIANTS.
- Bruce Arians is 17-6 UNDER against conference opponents.
- ARIZONA is 26-50 against the 1H line in September games since 1992.
- ARIZONA is 58-98 against the 1H line in the first half of the season since 1992.



DALLAS COWBOYS

٧S

TENNESSEE TITANS (-3½)



· (257) DALLAS (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (258) TENNESSEE (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE										TEAM DEFENSE								
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD			
DALLAS	-11.0	31	17	26	23-127 (5.5)	37-23-255 (6.9)	60-382 (6.4)	1-3		28	19	30-127 (4.2)	23-16-192 (8.3)	53-319 (6.0)	0-0	-4			
TENNESSEE	+16.0	19	26	24	38-162 (4.3)	33-22-243 (7.4)	71-405 (5.7)	0-0		10	15	17-67 (3.9)	35-19-178 (5.1)	52-245 (4.7)	0-3	+3			

GAME BREAKDOWN: Two teams that had very different opening week's meet when the Cowboys head to Tennessee to take on the Titans. Dallas lost at home to the 49ers, 28-17, in a game that wasn't nearly as close as the final score indicates. QB Tony Romo was abysmal, throwing three interceptions in the game's first 20 minutes. Tennessee went into Kansas City and won 26-10 behind an impressive performance from Jake Locker, who threw for 266 yards and two touchdowns. While Titans kicker Ryan Succop made field goals of 36, 31, 46 and 47 yards, the Tennessee defense picked off Chiefs QB Alex Smith three times.

STATFOX	Dallas23
FORECASTER:	Tennessee28

BETTING SYSTEM:

Home favorites of 3.5 to 10 points - after a game where they committed no turnovers against opponent after a game where they committed 3+ turnovers. (38-11 ATS) Play = TENNESSEE against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

TENNESSEE is 1-0 ATS (1-0 SU) vs. DALLAS (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- TENNESSEE is 28-45 ATS as a favorite of 3.5 to 9.5 points since 1992.
- TENNESSEE is 15-5 ATS against NFC East division opponents since 1992.
- TENNESSEE is 41-21 ATS in non-conference games since 1992.
- DALLAS is 13-3 ATS away after trailing in their previous game by 14+ points at the half since 1992.
- DALLAS is 26-46 ATS away off 1 or more consecutive unders since 1992.
- DALLAS is 48-70 ATS away after playing a game at home since 1992.



JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS

٧s

WASHINGTON REDSKINS (-6)



(255) JACKSONVILLE (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (256) WASHINGTON (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE										TEAM D	EFENSE										
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD							
JACKSONVILLE	-17.0	25	17	18	25-64 (2.6)	43-24-242 (5.6)	68-306 (4.5)	1-0	34	24	32-145 (4.5)	45-27-275 (6.1)	77-420 (5.5)	2-1	+2							
WASHINGTON	-11.0	10	6	20	23-131 (5.7)	37-29-241 (6.5)	60-372 (6.2)	2-0	17	16	33-115 (3.5)	22-14-201 (9.1)	55-316 (5.7)	1-0	-1							

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Jaguars gave up 34 unanswered points in Philadelphia in Week 1 after having raced out to a 17-0 lead on a pair of touchdowns from Chad Henne to undrafted rookie Allen Hurns. The Redskins led the Texans 6-0 midway through the second quarter before giving up the lead for good on a 76-yard touchdown pass. Washington suffered a 17-6 loss despite having more passing yards, rushing yards and first downs than Houston. While the status of Jaugars S Johnathan Cyprien (head) and RB Toby Gerhart (ankle) is questionable, Redskins TE Jordan Reed (hamstring) is doubtful.

STATFOX	Jacksonville2	20
FORECASTER:	Washington	22

BETTING SYSTEM:

Underdogs or pick - bad team from last season who won only 25% to 40% of their games. (46-13 ATS) Play = JACKSONVILLE against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

WASHINGTON is 1-0 ATS (1-0 SU) vs. JACKSONVILLE (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- WASHINGTON is 12-25 ATS as a home favorite of 3.5 to 7 points since 1992.
- WASHINGTON is 11-28 ATS at home in the first month of the season since 1992.
- WASHINGTON is 72-98 ATS at home in games played on a grass field since 1992.
- JACKSONVILLE is 1-8 ATS in the first half of the season over the L2 seasons.
- JACKSONVILLE is 8-1 OVER in non-conference games over the L3 seasons.
- JACKSONVILLE is 19-8 ATS away after being outgained by opp by 100+ total yards since 1992.



MIAMI DOLPHINS

٧S

BUFFALO BILLS (-1)



· (253) MIAMI (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (254) BUFFALO (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE									TEAM DEFENSE								
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD			
MIAMI	+13.0	26	33	25	38-191 (5.0)	32-18-169 (5.3)	70-360 (5.1)	2-1	20	20	20-89 (4.4)	56-29-226 (4.0)	76-315 (4.1)	2-0	-1			
BUFFALO	+3.0	17	23	15	33-193 (5.8)	22-16-167 (7.6)	55-360 (6.5)	0-1	20	29	18-86 (4.8)	49-34-341 (7.0)	67-427 (6.4)	1-2	+2			

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Dolphins trailed New England 20-10 at halftime before scoring 23 unanswered points in the second half of a 33-20 opening-week victory. The charge was led by RB Knowshon Moreno, who rushed for 134 yards and a touchdown in his first game as a Dolphin. Buffalo, meanwhile, upset the Bears, 23-20 in overtime, as quarterback EJ Manuel rushed for a score and threw for another. The Bills shut out Miami, 19-0, the last time these teams played in Buffalo, which was Week l6 last year. The Bills could be without two key members of its secondary, as both Aaron Williams (head) and Stephon Gillmore (groin) are questionable.

STATFOX	ı	Miami	19
FORECASTER:		Buffalo	19

BETTING SYSTEM:

Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - versus division opponents, off a upset win as an underdog. (73-36 Under) Play = Under the total

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

BUFFALO is 5-5 ATS (5-5 SU) vs. MIAMI (3-2 ATS, 3-2 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- BUFFALO is 8-1 over the 1H total in the first half of the season over the L2 seasons.
- BUFFALO is 11-3 against the 1H line in home lined games over the L3 seasons.
- BUFFALO is 10-2 against the 1H line at home against conference opponents over the L3 seasons.
- MIAMI is 47-23 UNDER off a win against a division rival since 1992.
- MIAMI is 26-10 UNDER after gaining 175 or more rushing yards last game since 1992.
- MIAMI is 202-157 UNDER in all lined games since 1992.



SEATTLE SEAHAWKS (-6)

٧S

SAN DIEGO CHARGERS



· (269) SEATTLE (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (270) SAN DIEGO (SU: 0-1, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 4:05 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE									TEAM DEFENSE								
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD		
SEATTLE	+20.0	18	36	25	37-207 (5.6)	28-19-191 (6.8)	65-398 (6.1)	1-0		16	19	21-80 (3.8)	33-23-175 (5.3)	54-255 (4.7)	0-1	EVEN		
SAN DIEGO (2013)	+3.2	18	24	22	30-123 (4.1)	33-23-258 (7.9)	63-381 (6.0)	1-1	T	21	21	24-109 (4.5)	35-23-261 (7.4)	59-370 (6.3)	0-1	+2		

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Seahawks dominated in a 36-16 victory over the Packers in last Thursday's NFL opener as QB Russell Wilson threw for two scores and RB Marshawn Lynch rushed for a pair. Seattle heads to San Diego with nearly a week-and-a-half rest to take on a Chargers team that played on the road late Monday night, a game San Diego lost, 18-17, to the Cardinals. These teams have played 23 games since 1992, but none in the last three years. Seattle is 12-11 SU in those games and 12-10-1 ATS. The Chargers, however, are 6-5 SU at home against the Seahawks and 7-4 ATS in those contests.

STATFOX	Seattle24	
FORECASTER:	San Diego19	,

BETTING SYSTEM:

Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - terrible passing defense from last season - allowed 255 or more passing yards/game. (26-5 Under) Play = Under the total

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

SAN DIEGO is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. SEATTLE (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- SAN DIEGO is 13-5 under the 1H total in all lined games over the L2 seasons.
- SAN DIEGO is 20-9 under the 1H total in games played on a grass field over the L3 seasons.
- SAN DIEGO is 28-14 over the 1H total at home in the first month of the season since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 14-1 ATS after gaining 6 or more yards/play in their previous game over the L3 seasons.
- SEATTLE is 9-1 ATS after playing a game at home over the L2 seasons.
- SEATTLE is 8-0 ATS away after gaining 6+ yards/play in their previous game over the L3 seasons.

Statfox situational team power trends

The StatFox Situational Team Power Trends uncover certain situations where a team outperforms or underperforms their normal level of play. Unlike the StatFox Super Situations, all trend records listed apply to the team in question. These trends are great indicators of how teams react to certain situations (i.e. coming off a close win, against division opponents, after a loss giving up a high number passing yards, etc.)

• SEATTLE is 14-1 ATS (+12.9 Units) since the start of the 2012 season after a game in which the team gained an average of 6 or more yards per play.

The average score was SEATTLE 31.3, OPPONENT 14.7.

PLAY ON SEATTLE

RATING = * * * * *

• INDIANAPOLIS is 9-0 ATS (+9 Units) since the start of the 2012 season when coming off a straight-up loss.

The average score was INDIANAPOLIS 25.3, OPPONENT 17.

PLAY ON INDIANAPOLIS
RATING = * * * *

• CHICAGO is 0-8 ATS (-8.8 Units) since the start of the 2012 season when coming off a non-conference game.

The average score was CHICAGO 16.4, OPPONENT 30.1.

PLAY ON SAN FRANCISCO
RATING = * * *

NFL GAME BREAKDOWNS: WEEK 2



ST. LOUIS RAMS

٧S

TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS (-4½)



· (267) ST LOUIS (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (268) TAMPA BAY (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 4:05 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE								TEAM DEFENSE								
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD		
ST LOUIS	-28.0	22	6	15	22-72 (3.3)	36-24-246 (6.8)	58-318 (5.5)	0-2	34	18	30-186 (6.2)	25-17-160 (6.4)	55-346 (6.3)	0-0	-2		
TAMPA BAY	-6.0	25	14	17	17-102 (6.0)	35-22-162 (4.6)	52-264 (5.1)	1-2	20	19	33-113 (3.4)	34-24-221 (6.5)	67-334 (5.0)	0-0	-3		

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Rams' season got off to a dismal start with a 34-6 home loss to the Vikings. Their quarterback play was atrocious in the opening week, with Shaun Hill and Austin Davis combining to throw for two interceptions without any touchdowns. The running game wasn't much better, as St. Louis rushed for just 72 yards. Tampa Bay also suffered a home loss in its opener, falling to a Cam Newton-less Panthers team. Last season, these teams met in St. Louis and the Rams won rather easliy, 23-13. Tampa Bay RB Doug Martin (knee) is probable for the game while St. Louis QB Shaun Hill (thigh) is questionable.

STATFOX	St. Louis2	2
FORECASTER:	Tampa Bay20)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Road teams where the total is between 35.5 and 42 points - after allowing 30 points or more last game against opponent after a loss by 6 or less points. (33-11 Over) Play = Over the total

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

TAMPA BAY is 0-3 ATS (1-2 SU) vs. ST LOUIS (0-2 ATS, 1-1 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- TAMPA BAY is 68-47 UNDER as a home favorite since 1992.
- TAMPA BAY is 56-36 UNDER as a home favorite of 7 points or less since 1992.
- TAMPA BAY is 158-116 UNDER against conference opponents since 1992.
- ST LOUIS is 9-23 ATS as a road underdog of 3.5 to 7 points since 1992.
- ST LOUIS is 21-44 ATS away off 1 or more consecutive unders since 1992.
- ST LOUIS is 17-38 ATS after scoring 3 points or less in the first half last game since 1992.



HOUSTON TEXANS (-3)

٧S

OAKLAND RAIDERS



• (271) HOUSTON (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (272) OAKLAND (SU: 0-1, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 4:25 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE								TEAM DEFENSE								
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD		
HOUSTON	+11.0	11	17	16	33-115 (3.5)	22-14-201 (9.1)	55-316 (5.7)	1-0	6	20	23-131 (5.7)	37-29-241 (6.5)	60-372 (6.2)	2-0	+1		
OAKLAND	-5.0	15	14	11	15-25 (1.7)	32-20-133 (4.2)	47-158 (3.4)	0-0	19	20	34-212 (6.2)	29-23-190 (6.6)	63-402 (6.4)	1-1	+2		

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Texans allowed a rushing touchdown midway through the second quarter of their 17-6 win over Washington in the opener, but they blocked the extra point and held the Redskins off the board the rest of the way behind the excellent defensive play of superstar DE J.J. Watt. Oakland, on the other hand, trailed the Jets at the half and was never able to regain the lead after scoring the game's first touchdown. These teams met last season and the result was a win-and-cover on the road for Oakland. Houston, however, is 3-1 SU and ATS when playing on the road in this series since entering the league in 2002.

STATFOX	Houston	20
FORECASTER:	Oakland	23

BETTING SYSTEM:

Underdogs or pick - bad team from last season who won only 25% to 40% of their games. (46-13 ATS) Play = OAKLAND against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

OAKLAND is 2-2 ATS (2-2 SU) vs. HOUSTON (0-1 ATS, 0-1 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- OAKLAND is 64-88 ATS in home lined games since 1992.
- OAKLAND is 114-145 ATS in games played on a grass field since 1992.
- OAKLAND is 13-28 ATS at home after gaining 75 or less rushing yards last game since 1992.
- HOUSTON is 18-4 UNDER away off a non-conference game since 1992.
- HOUSTON is 38-22 OVER in games where the line is +3 to -3 since 1992.
- HOUSTON is 23-39 ATS away after playing a game at home since 1992.



NEW YORK JETS

٧S

GREEN BAY PACKERS (-8)



· (273) NY JETS (SU: 1-0, ATS: 0-1) at (274) GREEN BAY (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 4:25 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
NY JETS	+5.0	8	19	20	34-212 (6.2)	29-23-190 (6.6)	63-402 (6.4)	1-1	14	11	15-25 (1.7)	32-20-133 (4.2)	47-158 (3.4)	0-0	-2
GREEN BAY	-20.0	37	16	19	21-80 (3.8)	33-23-175 (5.3)	54-255 (4.7)	0-1	36	25	37-207 (5.6)	28-19-191 (6.8)	65-398 (6.1)	1-0	EVEN

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Jets came away with an opening-week victory in what was a sloppy affair between them and the Raiders. New York's running backs led them to the victory, combining for 212 yards and a touchdown on the ground. Chris Johnson was also able to catch the only touchdown for his team in the passing game. The Packers were blown out by the defending champion Seahawks in the Thursday night season opener. Since 1992, the Jets are 3-2 SU and 3-1 ATS (2-1 SU and 2-0 ATS on the road) versus the Packers. The availability of Packers RB Eddie Lacy (concussion) won't be determined until later in the week.

STATFOX	New York2	22
FORECASTER:	Green Bay2	25

BETTING SYSTEM:

Home favorites - team with a poor scoring defense last season - allowed 24 or more points/game, after playing a game where 50+ total points were scored. (3-22 ATS) Play = NY JETS against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

GREEN BAY is 1-0 ATS (1-0 SU) vs. NY JETS (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- Rex Ryan is 8-1 ATS after gaining 200 or more rushing yards last game as coach of NY JETS.
- NY JETS are 13-4 UNDER off a home no-cover where the team won as a favorite since 1992.
- NY JETS are 21-9 OVER as a road underdog of 7.5 to 10 points since 1992.
- GREEN BAY is 28-12 OVER after being outgained by opp by 100+ total yards last game since 1992.
- GREEN BAY is 40-24 OVER after allowing 30 points or more last game since 1992.
- GREEN BAY is 41-22 OVER as a home favorite of 7.5 to 14 points since 1992.



KANSAS CITY CHIEFS

٧S

DENVER BRONCOS (-13)



(275) KANSAS CITY (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (276) DENVER (SU: 1-0, ATS: 0-1) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 4:25 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
KANSAS CITY	-16.0	18	10	15	17-67 (3.9)	35-19-178 (5.1)	52-245 (4.7)	0-3	26	24	38-162 (4.3)	33-22-243 (7.4)	71-405 (5.7)	0-0	-3
DENVER	+7.0	20	31	24	32-102 (3.2)	36-22-259 (7.2)	68-361 (5.3)	0-0	24	24	14-54 (3.9)	53-35-354 (6.7)	67-408 (6.1)	0-2	+2

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Chiefs opened their 2014 season with a 26-10 home loss to the Titans. QB Alex Smith threw three interceptions as Kansas City failed to overcome a huge deficit after giving up 23 consecutive points. To make matters worse. the Chiefs lost Pro Bowl linebacker Derrick Johnson and starting defensive end Mike DeVito to ruptured Achilles tendons. The Broncos, on the other hand, defeated the Colts, 31-24, behind 269 yards and three touchdowns from Peyton Manning. Last season, the Broncos won-and-covered in both meetings with the Chiefs. They won 27-17 as 7.5-point favorites in Denver.

STATFOX	ı	Kansas	City23
FORECASTER:		Denver	32

BETTING SYSTEM:

Home favorites - team with a poor scoring defense last season - allowed 24+ points/game, after playing a game where 50+ total points were scored. (3-22 ATS) Play = KANSAS CITY against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

DENVER is 7-3 ATS (7-3 SU) vs. KANSAS CITY (3-2 ATS, 3-2 SU at home.)

STATFOX SIX PACK:

- DENVER is 9-0 OVER in the first half of the season over the L2 seasons.
- DENVER is 24-7 OVER at home after allowing 400+ total yards in their previous game since 1992.
- DENVER is 146-96 OVER as a favorite since 1992.
- KANSAS CITY is 25-10 ATS away after a loss by 10 or more points since 1992.
- KANSAS CITY is 30-13 UNDER in the first two weeks of the season since 1992.
- KANSAS CITY is 16-5 ATS as an underdog of 10 or more points since 1992.



CHICAGO BEARS

٧S

SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS (-7)



(277) CHICAGO (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (278) SAN FRANCISCO (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Sunday, 9/14/2014 8:30 PM

		TEAM (OFFENSE			TEAM DEFENSE										
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
CHICAGO	-3.0	19	20	29	18-86 (4.8)	49-34-341 (7.0)	67-427 (6.4)	1-2		23	15	33-193 (5.8)	22-16-167 (7.6)	55-360 (6.5)	0-1	-2
SAN FRANCISCO	+11.0	19	28	19	30-127 (4.2)	23-16-192 (8.3)	53-319 (6.0)	0-0		17	26	23-127 (5.5)	37-23-255 (6.9)	60-382 (6.4)	1-3	+4

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Bears committed some costly turnovers in a disappointing 23-20 overtime loss to the Bills in their home opener. The 49ers, meanwhile, opened their 2014 season with a convincing 28-17 victory over the Cowboys. Despite playing without two of its top linebackers—outside linebacker Aldon Smith is serving a nine-game suspension for violating the drug policy, while inside linebacker NaVorro Bowman is out while he recovers from a torn ACL in his left knee—San Francisco turned four Dallas turnovers into 21 points. Bears WR Alshon Jeffery suffered a hamstring injury but is expected to play against the 49ers.

STATFOX	Chicago19
FORECASTER:	San Francisco29

BETTING SYSTEM:

Home favorites of 3.5 to 10 points - after a game where they committed no TOs against opponent after a game where they committed 3+ TOs. (38-11 ATS) Play = SAN FRANCISCO against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

SAN FRANCISCO is 2-0 ATS (2-0 SU) vs. CHICAGO (2-0 ATS, 2-0 SU at home.)

- · Jim Harbaugh is 36-19 ATS in all lined games as coach of SAN FRANCISCO.
- Jim Harbaugh is 25-11 ATS in games played on a grass field as coach of SAN FRANCISCO.
- SAN FRANCISCO is 75-44 against the 1H line as a favorite of 3.5 to 9.5 points since 1992.
- CHICAGO is 0-8 ATS off a non-conference game over the L3 seasons.
- CHICAGO is 20-5 UNDER away after being outrushed by 75 or more yards last game since 1992.
- CHICAGO is 7-0 OVER after playing a game at home over the L2 seasons.



PHILADELPHIA EAGLES

٧S

INDIANAPOLIS COLTS (-3)



(279) PHILADELPHIA (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (280) INDIANAPOLIS (SU: 0-1, ATS: 1-0) - Monday, 9/15/2014 8:35 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
PHILADELPHIA	+17.0	10	34	24	32-145 (4.5)	45-27-275 (6.1)	77-420 (5.5)	2-1	17	18	25-64 (2.6)	43-24-242 (5.6)	68-306 (4.5)	1-0	-2
INDIANAPOLIS	-7.0	26	24	24	14-54 (3.9)	53-35-354 (6.7)	67-408 (6.1)	0-2	31	24	32-102 (3.2)	36-22-259 (7.2)	68-361 (5.3)	0-0	-2

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Eagles erased a 17-0 halftime deficit with 34 unanswered points in a 34-17 win over the Jaguars. QB Nick Foles threw for 322 yards with two touchdowns and one interception while WR Jeremy Maclin hauled in four passes for 97 yards and the game-winning score for Philadelphia. The Colts trailed the Broncos 31-10 early in the fourth guarter before losing 31-24. QB Andrew Luck threw for 370 yards with two touchdowns in the game but was not able to bring his team back to tie it. Since 1992, the Colts are 4-2 SU and 5-1 ATS head-to-head against the Eagles.

STATFOX	Philadelphia	27
FORECASTER:	Indianapolis	

BETTING SYSTEM:

Home teams where the line is +3 to -3 - off a road loss, in September games, (51-91 ATS) Play = PHILADELPHIA against the spread

SERIES HISTORY - LAST 5 SEASONS:

INDIANAPOLIS is 1-0 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. PHILADELPHIA (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

STATEOX SIX PACK:

- INDIANAPOLIS is 9-0 ATS after 1 or more consecutive losses over the L3 seasons
- INDIANAPOLIS is 14-5 ATS in dome games over the L3 seasons.
- INDIANAPOLIS is 10-1 ATS at home after playing their last game on the road over the L3 seasons.
- PHILADELPHIA is 32-18 ATS away after playing a game where 50+ total points were scored since 1992.
- PHILADELPHIA is 8-1 under the 1H total after 1 or more consecutive wins over the L2 seasons.
- PHILADELPHIA is 12-4 under the 1H total in all lined games over the L2 seasons.

Top NFL Statistical Edges - Week 2

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

1. NY_JETS (vs_GB): -132.0						
1. DENVER (0s KC) + 21 0						
2. PITISBURGH (vg. BAL) + 14.0 3. ATAMIAT yo, CHI)* + 14.0 3. ATAMIAT yo, CHI)* + 14.0 3. ATAMIAT yo, CHI)* + 14.0 4. TENNESSEE (vg. DAL)* + 12.0 4. TENNESSEE (vg. DAL)* + 12.0 5. MAMIN (vg. WAS)* + 11.0 5. MASHNOTON (vg. ADC)* + 17.0 6. TAMPA BAY (vg. STL)* + 14.0 7. PHILADELPHIA (vg. NIO)* + 10.0 8. MAMIN (vg. SUT)* + 10.0 9. MASHNOTON (vg. NIO)* + 10.0		W/L	` '	W/L		W/L
3. ATLANTA (so CNI): +14.0 4. TENESSEE (so ADL): +16.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (so WAS): +11.0 6. TAMPA BAY (so STL): +10.0 7. PHILADELPHIA (so IND): +10.0 7. MANESOTA (so INE): +97.0 7. SHINADELPHIA (so IND): +10.0 7. MINNESOTA (so INE): +97.0 7. SHINADELPHIA (so IND): +10.0 8. ATLANTA (so CNI): +44.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (so CHI): +10.0 8. ATLANTA (so CNI): +44.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (so CHI): +61.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (so CHI): +10.0 8. ATLANTA (so CNI): +44.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (so CHI): +61.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (so CHI): +10.0		-				-
4. MINNESOTA (vs. NE): +14.0 4. TENNESSEE (vs. DAL): +18.0 5. ACKSONULE (vs. WAS): +11.0 5. MAMIN (vs. BUF): +10.0 6. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +14.0 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs. NE): +10.0 8. MAMIN (vs. NE): +10.0 8. MAMINSTOR (vs. NE): +10.0 8. MAMINESOTA (vs. NE): +10.0 9. MAMINESOTA (vs. NE): +10.0		_				
S. JACKSONVILLE (ng WAS); +11.0		_				-
6. FAMPA BAY (vs. STL); -14.0 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs. IND); -10.0 8. Muning Yards Allowed (RYPG) 1. NY. JETS (vs. GB); -132.0 2. MINNESOTA (vs. NB:; -97.0 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs. IND); -91.0 3. DENVER (vs. KC); -108.0 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs. IND); -91.0 3. DENVER (vs. KC); -108.0 3. HOUSTON (vs. OAK); -91.0 4. DENVER (vs. KC); -108.0 5. MASHINGTON (vs. JAC); -67.0 6. ATAMATA (vs. CIN); -44.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs. NO); -444.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs. NO); -444.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs. NO); -444.0 7. CARPA BAY (vs. STL); -27.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs. CHI); -106.0 7. Vards Per Rush Gained (YPR) 1. NY. JETS (vs. GB); -93.9 1. NY. JETS (vs. GB); -94.4 4. ATLANTA (vs. CIN); -19 4. ATLANTA (vs. CIN); -19 5. HAUSTON (vs. DAG); -13 7. HAUSTON (vs. DAG); -14 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL); -15.5 7. HAUSTON (vs. DAG); -15 8. HAUSTON (vs. DAG); -15 8. HAUSTON (vs. DAG); -16 8. HAUSTON (vs. DAG); -17 9. LANGE PER RUSH DIFFERIAL (vs. ND); -16 9. HAUSTON (vs. DAG); -17 9. LANGE PER RUSH DIFFERIAL (vs. ND); -17 1. NY JETS (vs. GB); -142.0 1. NY JETS (vs. GB); -142.0 1. NY JETS (vs. GB); -142.0 2. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL); -15.5 3. WASHINGTON (vs. DAG); -16 6. MANNESOTA (vs. ND); -16 6. MANNESOTA (vs. ND); -17 6. MANNESOTA (vs. ND); -17 6. MANNESOTA (vs. ND); -17 7. DELVER (vs. ND); -17 7. DELVER (vs. ND); -17 8. HAUSTON (vs. DAG); -17 8. H		-				-
7. PHILADELPHIA (vg.IND): +10.0		-				-
Rushing Yards Gained (PYPG) WIL Rushing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WIL Rushing Yards Gained (PYPG) WIL Rushing Yards (Rushing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WIL 1.NY JETS (ws 68): +312.0 1.NY JETS (ws 68): +312.0 1.NY JETS (ws 68): +314.0 2.MINNESOTA (ws NEW; +310.0 3.PHILADELPHIA (ws IND): +91.0 3.DENVER (ws CV): +308.0 3.DENVER (ws CV): +343.0 3.DENVER (ws CV): +308.0 3.DENVER (ws CV): +309.0 3.DENVER	,	_		_		_
1. NY_ETS (vs GB)-122	7. PHILADELPHIA (VS IND): +10.0		7. PHILADELPHIA (VS IND): +14.0		[7. HOUSTON (VS OAK): +16.0	
2. MINNESOTA (vs. NE): +2170 2. MINNESOTA (vs. NE): +2180 3. HOLISTON vs. OAK): +90.0 4. HOLISTON vs. OAK): +90.0 4. BALTINOPIE (vs. PIT): +104.0 4. DENVER (vs. KC): +104.0 5. PALTINOPIE (vs. NC): +104.0 5. PALTINOPIE (vs. NC): +104.0 6. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +73.0 6. STALANTA (vs. CIN): +44.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs. CHI): +107.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs. CHI): +107.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. NC): +44.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs. CHI): +107.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +103.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.7 8. BALTIMORE (vs. PIT): +3.1 8. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.7 8. BALTIMORE (vs. PIT): +3.1 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.5 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.5 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.5 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +25.5 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.0 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.0 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.0 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +25.5 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.0 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +25.5 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.0 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +25.5 9. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +27.0 9. TAMPA BAY (vs.	Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
3. PEILABELPHIA (vs. ND), +910 3. DENVER (vs. KC); +108.0 4. HOUSTON (vs. OAK); +90.0 4. BALTIMORE (vs. PR); +104.0 5. WASHINGTON (vs. JAC); +67.0 5. HOUSTON (vs. OAK); +81.0 6. TARIATA (vs. KN); +44.0 7. SAMP RAP (vs. STL); +73.0 7. CALEVELAND (vs. NO); +44.0 7. SAMP RAP (vs. STL); +73.0 7. CALEVELAND (vs. NO); +44.0 7. SAMP RAP (vs. STL); +73.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL); +103.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL); +72.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL); +72.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL); +72.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL); +25.1 7. AND (vs. STL); +27.2 7. SAMP RAP (vs. STL); +27.1 7. SAMP RAP (vs. STL); +27.1 7. SAMP RAP (vs. STL); +28.1 7. SAMP RAP (vs. STL); +25.5 7. SAMP RAP (vs. STL); +25.	1. NY JETS (vs GB): +132.0		1. NY JETS (vs GB): +182.0		1. NY JETS (vs GB): +314.0	
4. HOUSTON (vs CAK): +90.0 6. ATLANTA (vs CiN): +44.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +40.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CH): +66.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +40.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CH): +66.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +13.0 7. AND FRANCISCO (vs CH): +66.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +13.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +27 8. BALTIMORE (vs PTI): +3.1 8. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +27 8. BALTIMORE (vs PTI): +3.1 8. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +27 8. BALTIMORE (vs PTI): +3.1 8. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +27 8. BALTIMORE (vs PTI): +3.1 8. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +2.5 8. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +3.5 9. TAMPA BAY (vs STL):	2. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +97.0		2. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +119.0		2. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +216.0	
5. MASHINGTON (vs. JAC): +67.0	3. PHILADELPHIA (vs IND): +91.0		3. DENVER (vs KC): +108.0			
6. ATLANTA (NS CIN): +44.0 7. CALEVELAND (NS D): +45.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (NS CHI): +66.0 7. TAMPA BAY (NS TLI): +103.0			4. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +104.0			
7. CALEVELAND (vs NO): +44.0 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CHI): +66.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +103.0						
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) WIL						
1. WASHINGTON (vs. ALC): -3.1 2. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +2.7 2. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +2.7 3. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +2.7 3. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +2.8 3. WASHINGTON (vs. JAC): +4.2 4. ATLANTA (vs. CIN): +1.8 5. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +1.8 6. MINNESOTA (vs. NE): +3.5 7. DALLAS (vs. TEN): +1.3 7. DALLAS (vs. TEN): +1.3 7. DALLAS (vs. TEN): +1.3 7. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +0.5 7. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +	7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +44.0		7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CHI): +66.0		7. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +103.0	
1. WASHINGTON (vs. ALC): -3.1 2. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +2.7 2. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +2.7 3. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +2.7 3. TAMPA BAY (vs. STL): +2.8 3. WASHINGTON (vs. JAC): +4.2 4. ATLANTA (vs. CIN): +1.8 5. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +1.8 6. MINNESOTA (vs. NE): +3.5 7. DALLAS (vs. TEN): +1.3 7. DALLAS (vs. TEN): +1.3 7. DALLAS (vs. TEN): +1.3 7. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +0.5 7. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +	Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)	W/I	Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)	W/I	Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)	W/I
2. TAMPA BAY (vs STL); +2.7 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT); +3.1 3. TAMPA BAY (vs STL); +2.8 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN); +1.9 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE); +1.8 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC); +1.0 6. HOLDSTON (vs OAK); +1.8 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs ND); -1.0 6. HOLDSTON (vs OAK); +1.8 7. DALLAS (vs TEN); +1.3 7. DALLAS (vs TEN); +1.3 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK); +1.8 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs ND); -1.0 6. HOLDSTON (vs OAK); +1.8 6. HOLDSTON (vs OAK); +1.8 7. CLEVELAND (vs ND); +1.5 8. ASHIMORE (vs PPI); +1.1 8. CLEVELAND (vs ND); +1.5 9. SITTISBURGH (vs BAL); +95.0 9. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN); +143.0 9. SITTISBURGH (vs BAL); +95.0 9. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN); +143.0 9. CLEVELAND (vs ND); +1.6 9. CLEVELAND (vs ND); +1.6 9. FITTISBURGH (vs BAL); +1.7 9. FITTISBURGH (vs BAL); +1.7	3 7	1172	R .	1172		1172
3. NY_JETS (vs GB): +2.4 3. TAMPA BAY (vs STL): +2.8 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +1.9 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +1.8 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.0 5. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +3.1 7. DALLAS (vs TEN): +1.3 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 7. TOALLAS (vs TEN): +1.3 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 7. TOALLAS (vs TEN): +1.3 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5 7. CLEVELA						
4. ATLANTA (vs. CIN): +1.9 5. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +1.8 5. WASHINGTON (vs. JAC): +1.0 6. MINNESOTA (vs. NE): +3.5 5. WASHINGTON (vs. JAC): +1.0 6. MINNESOTA (vs. NE): +3.5 7. DALLAS (vs. TEN): +1.3 7. DALLAS (vs. TEN): +1.3 7. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +0.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs. NO): +1.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MIAMI (vs. Bur): +115.0 2. ATLANTA (vs. CIN): +144.0 2. KANSAS CITY (vs. DEN): +111.0 2. ATLANTA (vs. CIN): +144.0 3. NEW ORLEANS (vs. CLE): +127.0 3. NEW ORLEANS (vs. CLE): +127.0 4. ATLAUTA (vs. CIN): +144.0 5. DENVER (vs. KC): +81.0 5. CLEVELAND (vs. NO): +82.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs. PH): +79.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs. PH): +79.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs. PH): +79.0 7. WASHINGTON (vs. JAC): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs. CIN): +24.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs. ME): +3.5 5. WASHINGTON (vs. JAC): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +5.0 3. NEW ORLEANS (vs. CLE): +127.0 4. MINNESOTA (vs. ME): +15.0 1. TENNESSEE (vs. DAL): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +6.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +6.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +5.0 3. MIAMI (vs. Bur): +3.1 4. TENNESSEE (vs. DAL): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +5.0 3. MIAMI (vs. Bur): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs. ME): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs. ME): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs. ME): +2.4 5. CICLYELAND (vs. MA): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +5.0 3. NEW ENGLAND (vs. MA): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +5.0 3. MIAMI (vs. Bur): +3.0 4. ATLANTA (vs. CIN): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs. ME): +2.4 5. CICLYELAND (vs. MA): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs. OAK): +5.0 3. MIAMI (vs. Bur): +3.0 4. ATLANTA (vs. CIN): +4.0 4. MINNESOTA (vs. ME): +2.2 5. CINCINNATI (vs. ATL): +2.6 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs. MD): +1.6 6. STLOUIS (vs. TB): +2.2 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs. MB): +1.6 6. STLOUIS (vs. TB): +2.2 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs. MB): +1.6 6. STLOUIS (vs. TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs. KC): +1.8 6. STLOUIS (vs. TB): +2.1 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs. MB): +1.6 6. STLOUIS (vs. TB): +2.1 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs.						
5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +18 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +10 5. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +3.1 6. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 7. DALLAS (vs TEN): +1.3 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +0.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +0.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +0.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +0.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +0.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +0.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +0.5 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.40 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.40 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.40 7. HOUSTON (vs CLE): +127.0 7. HOUSTON (vs DEN): +111.0 7. HOUSTON (vs CLE): +127.0 7. HOUSTON (vs DAK): +80.0 7. HOUSTON (vs DAK): +50.1 7. HOUSTON (vs DAK): +50.1 7. HOUSTON (vs CAK): +50.1 7. HOUSTON (vs CA					` '	
6. MINNESOTA (vs NE); +1.8 7. DALLAS (vs TEN); +1.3 7. DALLAS (vs TEN); +1.40 7. CLEVELAND (vs NO); +1.5 7. DESSING YARG Salined (PYPG) 7. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +149.0 7. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +149.0 7. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +149.0 7. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +144.0 7. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +144.0 7. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +144.0 7. SINEW ORLEANS (vs CLE); +127.0 7. SINEW ORLEANS (vs CLE); +127.0 7. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +88.0 7. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +149.0 8. NEW ENCLAND (vs MO); +82.0 8. MAININ; +170.0 8. NEW ENCLAND (vs MO); +82.0 8. MAININ; +170.0 9. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL); +170.0 9. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +180.0 9. MAININ; +170.0 9. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +180.0 9. MAININ; +170.0 9. MAININ; +170.0 9. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +180.0 9. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +190.0 9. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +190.0 9. LOHICAGO (vs SF); +190.0 9.					5. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +3.1	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L ChicAGO (vs SF): +149.0 1. CHICAGO (vs SF): +237.0 1. CHICAGO (vs SF): +149.0 1. CHICAGO (vs SF): +180.0 1. CHICAGO (vs SF): +120.0 1. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 1. CHICAGO	6. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +1.8	$\neg \Box$	6. PHILADELPHIA (vs IND): +0.6			
1. CHICAGO (vs SF): +149.0 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +144.0 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +144.0 3. REW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +127.0 4. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +48.0 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +88.0 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +88.0 5. DENVER (vs KC): +81.0 6. NEW RIGHAND (vs MIN): +77.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +68.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +9.3 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +140.0 7. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +74.0 7. NY JETS (vs GB): +73.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +5.1 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 4. MINDESOTA (vs NE): +2.2 5. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +2.3 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs IND): +1.6 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 4. MINDESOTA (vs NE): +188.0 5. CIEVELAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +110.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +387.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +147.0 4. DENVER (vs KC): +180.0 5. CIEVELAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +110.0 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +104.0 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +38.0 5. CIEVELAND (vs MIN): +55.0 7. CINICINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +77.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +110.0 8. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 9. CHICAGO	7. DALLAS (vs TEN): +1.3				7. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +1.5	
1. CHICAGO (vs SF): +149.0 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +144.0 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +144.0 3. REW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +127.0 4. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +48.0 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +88.0 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +88.0 5. DENVER (vs KC): +81.0 6. NEW RIGHAND (vs MIN): +77.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +68.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +9.3 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +140.0 7. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +74.0 7. NY JETS (vs GB): +73.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +5.1 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 4. MINDESOTA (vs NE): +2.2 5. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +2.3 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs IND): +1.6 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 4. MINDESOTA (vs NE): +188.0 5. CIEVELAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +110.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +387.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +147.0 4. DENVER (vs KC): +180.0 5. CIEVELAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +110.0 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +104.0 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +38.0 5. CIEVELAND (vs MIN): +55.0 7. CINICINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +77.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +110.0 8. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 9. CHICAGO	Pageing Varda Cained (BVDC)	VA//I	Deceing Verde Allowed (DVDC)	VA//I	Descine Vde Differential (DVDC)	VA//I
2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +144.0 3. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +127.0 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +88.0 5. DENVER (vs KC): +81.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs PHI): +79.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +68.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +81.0 1. ITENBURGH (vs BAL): +32.0 6. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +177.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +81.0 7. DENVER (vs KC): +818.0 7. DENVER (vs KC): +818.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +160.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +110.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +12.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK		VV/L		VV/L		VV/L
3. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +127.0 4. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +84.0 5. DENVER (vs KC): +81.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs PHI): +79.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +88.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs PHI): +79.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +88.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK):		_		-		-
4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +88.0 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +140.0 5. DENVER (vs KC): +81.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs PHI): +79.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +68.0 7. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +74.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +68.0 7. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +74.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +50.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.1 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.1 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +16.0 7. HOUSTON						
5. DENVER (vs KC): +81.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs PHI): +79.0 6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs PHI): +79.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +68.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) I. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +129.0 6. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +77.0 7. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +74.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) II. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.4 5. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +2.3 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) II. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +2.0 4. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIA): +2.6 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.0 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 6. ST LOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +74.0 6. NEW DRLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +112.0 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. NY JETS (vs GB): +116.0 7. NY JETS (vs GB): +116.0 7. NY JETS (vs GB): +116.0 7. CHICAGO (vs SF): +118.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +74.0 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. NY JETS (vs GB): +116.0 7. NY JETS (vs GB): +116.0 7. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. CHICAGO (vs SF): +120.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 7. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 7. CHICAGO (vs SF): +120.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 7. DENVER (vs KC): +120.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 7. DENVER (vs KC): +120.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 7. DENVER (vs KC): +120.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 7. DENVER (vs KC): +120.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 7. DENVER (vs KC): +120.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +120.	` '		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	` '	_
6. NDIANAPOLIS (vs PHI): +79.0 7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +68.0 Vards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) (Yards Per Pass Differential (Yards Pass Pass Differential (Yards Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pa						
7. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +68.0 7. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +74.0 7. NY JETS (vs GB): +73.0			` /			
Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +5.1 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs CiN): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.4 5. DEFFALO (vs MIA): +2.3 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 8. WASHINGTON (vs OAK): +16.0 9. WIL 1. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +3.3 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +6.3 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +3.0 3. MIAMI (vs BUF): +2.9 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.4 5. DEFFALO (vs MIA): +2.3 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +1.6 1. ATLANTA (vs CiN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +30.9 4. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +3.7 5. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +1.6 8. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.3 9. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.1 1. ATLANTA (vs CiN): +188.0 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +38.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +38.0 4. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +78.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +3.3 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +110.0 4. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +55.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +4.9 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.4 5. HOLGOO (vs SF): +1.8 6. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 6. DENVER (vs KC): +2.6 6. DENVER (vs KC): +2.6 7. NIAMI (vs BUF): +11.0 9. WIL						
1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +5.1 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.4 5. BUFFALO (vs MA): +2.3 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 7. DENVER (vs CIN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +3.9 4. NY JETS (vs GB): +2.7 7. DENVER (vs KC): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +3.7 5. DENVER (vs KC): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.9 7. DENVER (vs KC): +16.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. ST LOUSTON (vs OAK): +16.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +3.0 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.9 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 7. Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 8. WIL 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +387.0 8. WASHINGTON (vs JAK): +16.0 9. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 9. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 9. CHICAGO (vs JAC): +170.0 9. CHICAGO (vs JAC						
2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.4 5. CHICAGO (vs MIA): +2.3 6. ST LOUS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +3.9 4. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +3.7 5. DENVER (vs KC): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +1.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 4. DENVER (vs KC): +118.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs IND): +10.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 Tyrds Per Play Attempted (YPP) WILL 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +4.9 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 3. IN Y JETS (vs GB): +4.9 2. ALANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 3. IN Y JETS (vs GB): +1.7 6. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +5.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +3.7 4. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +7.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +387.0 4. Total Yards Differential (TYPG) WILL 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +387.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +387.0 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +136.0 5. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +74.0 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs IND): +55.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +2.4 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +4.2 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +4.2 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.6 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0		W/L		W/L		W/L
3. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.4 5. BUFFALO (vs MiA): +2.3 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs IND): +1.6 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN):	` '					
4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.4 5. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +2.3 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +147.0 4. CLEVELAND (vs NC): +74.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +24.9 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 4. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +3.7 5. DENVER (vs KC): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs CC): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs CC): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs C		_				
5. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +2.6 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.1 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.3 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.3 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.3 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.3 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.3 7. JACKSONVILLE (vs WAS): +2.8 6. ST LOUIS (vs CD):	`	-	` '			-
6. ST LOUIS (vs TB): +2.2 7. DENVER (vs KC): +2.1 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs MIN): +1.6 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs NOL): +1.7 8. NASHINGTON (vs NAS): +1.8 8. NASHINGTON (vs NAS): +2.1 8. NASHINGTON (vs NAS): +2.1 8. NASHINGTON (vs NAS): +2.1 8. NASHINGTON (vs NAS): +2.2 8. NA	` '	_				-
Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L		_				
Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +387.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +147.0 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +104.0 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +170.0 4. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 4. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +78.0 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +136.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 5. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +74.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 6. PHILADELPHIA (vs IND): +55.0 6. DENVER (vs KC): +113.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +2.4 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +4.2 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 3. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +1.9 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.0		-				-
1. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +104.0 4. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 4. CHICAGO (vs BAL): +70.0 7. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 5. WASHINGTON (vs GB): +1.8 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 6. DENVER (vs GB): +240.0 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +287.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.8 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +287.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +170.0 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +136.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 6. DENVER (vs KC): +113.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +55.0 7. DITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 8. WASHINGTON (vs OAK): +2.4 9. ALLANTA (vs CIN): +2.6 9. ALLANTA (vs CIN): +2.2 9. ALLANTA (vs CIN): +2.6 9. ALLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0	1. DENVER (VS NG). +2.1		7. NEW ENGLAND (VS WIIN). +1.0		7. JACKSONVILLE (VS WAS). +2.1	
2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0 3. NY JETS (vs GB): +147.0 4. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 4. CHICAGO (vs BAL): +70.0 7. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +12.0 7. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +113.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +113.0 7. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +113.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +112.0 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +188.0 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +170.0 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +136.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 6. DENVER (vs KC): +113.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. AMIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 8. ANY JETS (vs GB): +6.5 9. ANY JETS (vs GB): +6.5 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.4 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.4 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.2 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.4 9. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 9. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.2 9. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.2 9. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.2 9. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.2 9. ANSHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.2	Total Yards Gained (TYPG)	W/L	Total Yards Allowed (TYPG)	W/L	Total Yds Differential (TYPG)	W/L
3. NY JETS (vs GB): +147.0 4. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 4. CHEVELAND (vs NO): +78.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +136.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 6. DENVER (vs KC): +113.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 8. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +130.0 6. DENVER (vs KC): +113.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 8. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +113.0 9. MIAMI (vs BUF): +13.0 9. MIAMI (vs BUF): +113.0 9. MIAMI (vs BUF): +113.0 9. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 9. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 9. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 9. MIAMI (vs BUF): +113.0 9. MIAMI (vs CIN): +12.0 9. MIAMI (vs CIN): +136.0 9. MIAMI (vs CIN)	1. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +188.0		1. NY JETS (vs GB): +240.0			
4. DENVER (vs KC): +116.0 4. CLEVELAND (vs NO): +78.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7 6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.8 6. DENVER (vs KC): +118 4. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +136.0 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 6. DENVER (vs KC): +113.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs IND): +55.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +4.9 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +6.5 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 3. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +1.9 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.6 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8	2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +158.0		2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0			
5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. W/L 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +4.9 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +130.0 6. DENVER (vs KC): +113.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 8. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 9. CHICAGO (vs SF): +108.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +112.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +113.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +112.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +112.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +12.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +113.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +12.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +130.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +130.0 9. CHICAGO (vs NE): +113.0 9. CHICAG	3. NY JETS (vs GB): +147.0		3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +104.0		3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +170.0	
6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CLE): +83.0 7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs CIN): +112.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs CIN): +112.0 7. CINCINNATI			1 /		1 /	
7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0 7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0 7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +4.9 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +6.5 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +4.2 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 3. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +1.9 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.7 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. DENVER (vs KC): +2.0						
Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) W/L 1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +4.9 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +6.5 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +6.5 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +4.2 3. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +1.9 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. DENVER (vs KC): +2.0 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0						
1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +4.9 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +6.5 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +4.2 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 3. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +1.9 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.7 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0	7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +70.0		7. CINCINNATI (vs ATL): +52.0		7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +112.0	
1. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +2.7 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +4.9 1. NY JETS (vs GB): +6.5 2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4 2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3 2. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +4.2 3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 3. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +1.9 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.7 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0	Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP)	W/L	Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP)	W/L	Yards Per Play Differential (YPP)	W/L
3. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +2.4 3. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +1.9 3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6 4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.7 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0						
4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.7 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0	2. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.4		2. MIAMI (vs BUF): +3.3			
4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1 4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8 4. DENVER (vs KC): +2.4 5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7 5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8 5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2 6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.7 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0			3. TENNESSEE (vs DAL): +1.9		3. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +2.6	
6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.7 6. DENVER (vs KC): +1.8 6. ATLANTA (vs CIN): +2.0	4. MINNESOTA (vs NE): +2.1		4. CHICAGO (vs SF): +1.8			
	5. WASHINGTON (vs JAC): +1.7		5. HOUSTON (vs OAK): +1.8		5. CHICAGO (vs SF): +2.2	
7. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +1.4	6. NY JETS (vs GB): +1.7				` '	
	7. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +1.4		7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +1.5		7. MIAMI (vs BUF): +1.9	

Statfox Presents the Week's Staff Picks

WEEK 3 COLLEGE FOOTBALL MATCHUPS: SEPT. 11 - 13		StatFox DAVE	StatFox GARY	StatFox	StatFox BRIAN	StatFox FORECASTER	StatFox CONSENSUS
• (103) LOUISIANA TECH AT (104) NORTH TEXAS	-61/2	NORTH TEXAS	NORTH TEXAS	NORTH TEXAS	LOUISIANA TECH	NORTH TEXAS	NORTH TEXAS
• (105) HOUSTON AT (106) BRIGHAM YOUNG	-18½	BYU	CLICK FOR BEST BET	BYU	BYU	HOUSTON	BYU
• (107) TOLEDO AT (108) CINCINNATI	-12	CINCINNATI	TOLEDO	TOLEDO	CINCINNATI	CINCINNATI	CINCINNATI
• (109) BAYLOR AT (110) BUFFALO	+32½	BAYLOR	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	BUFFALO	BUFFALO	
• (115) UCF AT (116) MISSOURI	-9	MISSOURI	UCF	UCF	MISSOURI	UCF	UCF
• (135) IOWA STATE AT (136) IOWA	-13½	IOWA	IOWA	IOWA STATE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	IOWA	
• (151) GEORGIA AT (152) SOUTH CAROLINA	+5½	GEORGIA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	SOUTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA	
• (161) ILLINOIS AT (162) WASHINGTON	-14½	CLICK FOR BEST BET	ILLINOIS	ILLINOIS	ILLINOIS	WASHINGTON	ILLINOIS
• (163) MINNESOTA AT (164) TCU	-10	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA
• (179) PURDUE VS. (180) NOTRE DAME	-27½	PURDUE	NOTRE DAME	NOTRE DAME	PURDUE	NOTRE DAME	NOTRE DAME
• (181) KENTUCKY AT (182) FLORIDA	-17½	KENTUCKY	FLORIDA	FLORIDA	FLORIDA	KENTUCKY	FLORIDA
• (185) TENNESSEE AT (186) OKLAHOMA	-21	OKLAHOMA	TENNESSEE	OKLAHOMA	OKLAHOMA	OKLAHOMA	OKLAHOMA
• (189) UCLA VS. (190) TEXAS	+7	UCLA	UCLA	UCLA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	TEXAS	UCLA
• (193) USC AT (194) BOSTON COLLEGE	+20	USC	USC	BOSTON COLLEGE	BOSTON COLLEGE	BOSTON COLLEGE	BOSTON COLLEGE
• (199) ARIZONA STATE AT (200) COLORADO	+14½	ARIZONA STATE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	COLORADO	ARIZONA STATE	ARIZONA STATE	ARIZONA STATE
• (201) NEBRASKA AT (202) FRESNO STATE	+10	NEBRASKA	NEBRASKA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	FRESNO STATE	

*** <u>CLICK HERE</u> TO GET BEST BET PICKS ON THESE GAMES AND MORE FROM OUR STAFF PICKERS ***

StatFox Dave

StatFox Dave is one of the original four founders of StatFox and over the vears has been renowned for his handicapping prowess in all sports. Dave was especially strong in the college sports last season, posting a 55% ATS mark (38-31-2) in 2013 CFB Best Bets. This included a hefty 77% ATS record (17-5-1) on college football games that were not part of the marquee games grid above. Dave then went on to nail 62% ATS (70-43-2) in college basketball Best Bets, a record that was capped off with an astounding 74% ATS mark (14-5) for the NCAA Tournament.

StatFox Gary

StatFox Gary joined the StatFox and the Platinum Sheet staff prior to the 2010 football season, and subscribers were certainly happy he did: He proceeded to post a 55% success rate on NFL Best Bets in his "rookie" season. Gary finished strong in NFL Best Bets last season as well, going 67% ATS (16-8-2) after Week 9. He closed out big in college hoops Best Bets too with a 63% ATS mark (30-18) after Feb. 5 to finish with a 57% ATS record (64-49-2) in CBB Best Bets for the season. Gary has opened the 2014 CFB season with a 63% ATS mark (20-12) on featured games.

StatFox Scott

StatFox Scott serves as Managing Editor for StatFox, Platinum Sheet and the Edge Football Preview Guide. Scott really finished strong in all sports last season, first going 5-2 ATS (71%) in college football bowl Best Bets. Then for NFL Best Bets, he recorded a 59% ATS mark (33-23-2) after Week 3, and was outstanding on NFL totals all year at 56% (40-31), including a perfect 5-0 in the postseason games. His college basketball season also represented a huge finish, as Scott was 64% ATS (25-14-3) in college hoops Best Bets after Feb. 14.

StatFox Brian

A featured writer on Stat-Fox.com and for Platinum Sheet, StatFox Brian has yet to suffer a losing season of Platinum Sheet Best Bets in either college football or NFL since coming on board in 2010. Last year marked Brian's second straight 60% ATS (41-27-6) season record in NFL Best Bets, but it was his college basketball prowess that really stood out in 2013-14. Brian finished with a remarkable 69% ATS record (76-34-5) on college hoops Best Bets for the entire season, a mark that including an eye-popping 77% ATS record (44-13-4) after Jan. 17.

StatFox Forecaster

By weighing several key factors, including recent statistical averages, schedule strength and home-field advantage, the StatFox Forecaster has proven to be an extremely successful long-term handicapping tool. It's most accurate once a season is underway, as evident by winning records in all four sports' featured Platinum Sheet games last year. The Forecaster correctly predicted the ATS winner for 59% (149-105-12) of all NFL games, 57% (151-114-8) of all CFB games, 53% (207-181-12) of all NBA games and 51% (189-183-11) in college basketball last season.

2014 COLLEGE FOOTBALL STAFF PICKS STANDINGS (THRU SEPTEMBER 6)

All Games	w	L	Т	Pct	Last Week	CFB ATS Best Bets	w	L	T	Pct	Last Week
StatFox Gary	20	12	0	62.5%	7-9	StatFox Scott	3	5	0	37.5%	2-2
StatFox Scott	15	17	0	46.9%	5-11	StatFox Gary	2	4	0	33.3%	1-3
StatFox Brian	15	17	0	46.9%	4-12	StatFox Dave	2	7	0	22.2%	1-6
StatFox Forecaster	15	17	0	46.9%	7-9	StatFox Brian	1	5	0	16.7%	0-3
StatFox Consensus	15	17	0	46.9%	3-13						
StatFox Dave	14	18	0	43.8%	5-11						

StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines - Week 3

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and leaves.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

and losers.																
Thu - 9/11,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge W/L	Thu - 9/11,9:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/12,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
103 LOUISIANA TECH		23			105 HOUSTON		39				107 TOLEDO		32			
104 NORTH TEXAS	-6.5	43	-20	13.5	106 BYU	-16.5	56	-17	0.5		108 CINCINNATI	-11.5	45	-13	1.5	
F : 0/40 0 00 PM	01	- D-D	DD1 5	- 1 NA//L	0 4 0/40 40 00 DM	01	20	DDI		\A//I	0 4 0/40 40 00 004	01	20	DDI		\A//I
Fri - 9/12,8:00 PM	OL			dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL		PKL	Edge	VV/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL		PKL	Edge	VV/L
109 BAYLOR	-32	58	-28	, 	111 INDIANA	-6	30	4.4	20		113 OHIO U	00	21	04	_	\vdash
110 BUFFALO		30		4	112 BOWLING GREEN		44	-14	20	Ш	114 MARSHALL	-20	45	-24	4	
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
115 UCF		46			117 NC STATE	-3	26	-2			119 MIAMI OHIO		6			
116 MISSOURI	-9.5	57	-11	1.5	118 S FLORIDA		24		1		120 MICHIGAN	-29.5	47	-41	11.5	
C-4 0/42 42:00 DM	OI.	DD	DDI F	dge W/L	C-4 0/42 C-00 DM	OL	DD	DDI	Edge	\A//I	Sat - 9/13.12:00 PM	OL	חח	וחח	Edge	\A//I
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM 121 KENT ST	OL	PR 25	PKLE	age W/L	Sat - 9/13,6:00 PM 123 E MICHIGAN	UL	4	PKL	Eage	VV/L	125 E CAROLINA	UL	PR 41	PKL	2.5	VV/L
122 OHIO ST	-29.5	59	-34	4.5	124 OLD DOMINION	-14	27	-23	9		126 VIRGINIA TECH	-10.5		-8	2.5	\vdash
122 0110 31	-23.5				124 OLD DOMINION	-14					120 VINGINIA LEGIT	-10.5				Щ
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
127 SYRACUSE	-7.5	32	-5		129 PITTSBURGH	-25	42	-31	6		131 BOISE ST		39	-10		
128 C MICHIGAN		27		2.5	130 FLA INTERNATION		11				132 CONNECTICUT		29			
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	PR	DDI E	dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL	DD	DDI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	PR	DDI	Edge	W/I
133 GA SOUTHERN	OL	24	FKLL	uge W/L	135 IOWA ST	OL	29	FIL	Luge	VV/L	137 W VIRGINIA	OL	37	FKL	Luye	VV/L
134 GEORGIA TECH	-22.5	48	-24	1.5	136 IOWA	-13.5	43	-14	0.5		138 MARYLAND	-3	40	-3	0	\vdash
104 OLONOIA TEOM	22.0	, T O				10.0				느	100 101/11(112/1140)	-0				
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:30 PM	OL	PR		Edge		Sat - 9/13,2:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
139 MASSACHUSETTS		6			141 LOUISVILLE	-6.5	51	-23	16.5	Ш	143 WYOMING		22			
140 VANDERBILT	-17.5	39	-33	15.5	142 VIRGINIA		28				144 OREGON	-43.5	67	-45	1.5	
Sat - 9/13,2:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI F	dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I
145 AIR FORCE	-13	16	-7	-ago ····	147 W KENTUCKY	02	34	-1	2		149 KANSAS	OL.	22		Lugu	
146 GEORGIA ST	10	9		6	148 MIDDLE TENN ST	-1	33	i i	_		150 DUKE	-17	46	-24	7	
Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL		PRLE	dge W/L		OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L
151 GEORGIA	-4	53			153 ARKANSAS		41	-2	4	Ш	155 ARKANSAS ST		30		2.5	Ш
152 S CAROLINA		57	-4	8	154 TEXAS TECH	-2	39				156 MIAMI	-17.5	45	-15		
Sat - 9/13,4:00 PM	OL	PR	PRLE	dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,4:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,4:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
157 MISSISSIPPI ST	-15.5	43	-12		159 LA LAFAYETTE		26			П	161 ILLINOIS		27			
158 S ALABAMA		31		3.5	160 OLE MISS	-26	57	-31	5		162 WASHINGTON	-15	51	-24	9	
				NA//I						14//						14//
Sat - 9/13,4:00 PM	OL		PRLE	dge W/L		OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,5:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L
163 MINNESOTA	0	37	10	_	165 W MICHIGAN	0.5	13	-5	7.5		167 ARMY	20	23	44	44	\vdash
164 TCU	-8	47	-10	2	166 IDAHO	-2.5	8			ш	168 STANFORD	-30	64	-41	11	
Sat - 9/13,6:00 PM	OL	PR	PRLE	dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
169 SOUTHERN MISS		12			171 WAKE FOREST		32		2.5		173 TULSA	-1.5	19			
170 ALABAMA	-47	63	-51	4	172 UTAH ST	-12.5	42	-10			174 FLA ATLANTIC		27	-8	9.5	
C-4 0/42 7:00 DM	OI.	DD	DDI F	-da.a W/I	C-4 0/42 7:00 DM	01	DD	DDI	C d a a	\A//I	C-4 0/42 7-20 DM	OI.	חח	וחח	Calara.	\A//I
Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM	OL		PKLE	dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM 177 LA MONROE	OL		PKL	Edge	VV/L	Sat - 9/13,7:30 PM	OL		PKL	Edge	VV/L
175 UTSA		34	26		178 LSU	21	23	20	7	\vdash	179 PURDUE	20	17	26	•	\vdash
176 OKLAHOMA ST	1	60	-26			-31	61	-38			180 NOTRE DAME	-28	53	-36	8	
Sat - 9/13,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge W/L	Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
181 KENTUCKY		30		0.5	193 USC	-19.5		-18			185 TENNESSEE		42			
182 FLORIDA	-17.5	47	-17		194 BOSTON COLLEGE		37		1.5		186 OKLAHOMA	-20	63	-21	1	
Cot 0/42 40:00 DB#	OL	DD	DDI F	dge W/L	Cot 0/42 0:00 DM	OI	חם	וחם	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/13,10:30 PM	O	DD	ומם	Edge	W/I
Sat - 9/13,10:00 PM 199 ARIZONA ST	-14	PR 46	-20	6	Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM 189 UCLA	OL -6.5	51	-4	∟uge	VV/L	201 NEBRASKA	OL -10	42	-4	∟uge	VV/L
200 COLORADO	-14	26	-20	-	190 TEXAS	-0.0	47	-4	2.5	H	202 FRESNO ST	-10	38	-4	6	Н
ZUU GOLOKADO		20			130 IEAAS		41		2.3	ш	ZUZ FRESINU ST	1	30	1	0	ш

Top College Football Statistical Edges - Week 3

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

OFFENONE OTATIOTICS		DEFENSIVE OTATIOTICS		OFF/DEF DIFFEDENTIAL O	
OFFENSIVE STATISTICS	VALUE	DEFENSIVE STATISTICS	W/L	OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS	VA//I
Points Scored (PPG) 1. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +45.5	W/L	Points Allowed (PPG) 1. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +40.0	VV/L	Scoring Differential (PPG)	W/L
2. TEXAS ST (vs NAV): +41.0	_			1. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +78.0 2. TEXAS ST (vs NAV): +70.0	
		2. BAYLOR (vs BUF): +34.5		, ,	
3. TOLEDO (vs CIN): +39.0		3. CINCINNATI (vs TOL): +34.5		3. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +63.0	
4. OREGON (vs WYO): +37.0	-	4. STANFORD (vs ARM): +32.5	-	4. BAYLOR (vs BUF): +53.5	
5. MARSHALL (vs OHU): +35.0	_	5. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +32.5	_	5. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +52.0	
6. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +26.0	-	6. TEXAS ST (vs NAV): +29.0	_	6. ALABAMA (vs SM): +47.0	
7. FLORIDA (vs KEN): +25.5		7. NOTRE DAME (vs PUR): +27.5		7. ARIZONA ST (vs COL): +38.5	
Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
1. PITTSBURGH (vs FIU): +260.0		1. IOWA (vs ISU): +208.0		1. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +366.5	
2. INDIANA (vs BG): +249.5		2. ALABAMA (vs SM): +205.5		2. INDIANA (vs BG): +334.5	
3. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +240.5		3. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +202.5		3. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +332.5	
4. TOLEDO (vs CIN): +234.0		4. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +175.0		4. ALABAMA (vs SM): +312.5	
5. GEORGIA (vs SC): +207.0		5. BAYLOR (vs BUF): +169.0		5. AIR FORCE (vs GST): +275.0	
6. ARMY (vs STA): +202.5		6. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +126.0		6. BAYLOR (vs BUF): +268.5	
7. ARIZONA ST (vs COL): +200.0		7. S FLORIDA (vs NCS): +123.0		7. PITTSBURGH (vs FIU): +267.0	
Vanda Dan Barah Oa'r ad (VDD)	14//	Venda Bar Bresk Allacord (VBB)	14/1	Vanda Bar Barda Biffarra (Cal (VBB)	14/0
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) 1. TOLEDO (vs CIN): +6.2	W/L	Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) 1. ALABAMA (vs SM): +4.8	W/L	Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) 1. ALABAMA (vs SM): +7.0	W/L
2. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +4.8	-	2. IOWA (vs ISU): +4.4	-	2. GEORGIA (vs SC): +6.7	-
3. UTAH ST (vs WF): +4.5		, ,		3. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +5.8	
	_	3. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +4.1			
4. MICHIGAN (vs MOH): +4.2	_	4. BAYLOR (vs BUF): +3.7		4. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +5.6	
5. GEORGIA (vs SC): +4.0	-	5. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +3.5	_	5. MARSHALL (vs OHU): +5.3	
6. MARSHALL (vs OHU): +3.9	_	6. PENN ST (vs RUT): +3.2	-	6. IOWA (vs ISU): +5.2	
7. ARIZONA ST (vs COL): +3.8		7. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +3.2		7. BOISE ST (vs CON): +5.1	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)	W/L
1. TOLEDO (vs CIN): +308.0		1. CINCINNATI (vs TOL): +331.5		1. STANFORD (vs ARM): +475.0	
2. TEXAS ST (vs NAV): +294.0		2. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs): +309.5		2. TCU (vs MIN): +470.0	
3. TULSA (vs FAU): +244.5		3. INDIANA (vs BG): +305.0		3. TEXAS ST (vs NAV): +439.0	
4. TCU (vs MIN): +243.5		4. STANFORD (vs ARM): +301.5		4. TULSA (vs FAU): +305.0	
5. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +232.0		5. GEORGIA (vs SC): +227.0		5. ILLINOIS (vs WAS): +303.5	
6. GEORGIA ST (vs AF): +231.0		6. TCU (vs MIN): +226.5		6. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +267.5	
7. OLD DOMINION (vs EMU): +222.5		7. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +218.5		7. TEXAS TECH (vs ARK): +261.5	
Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)	W/L	Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)	W/L	Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)	W/L
1. ARMY (vs STA): +7.9	**/-	1. CINCINNATI (vs TOL): +8.5	***	1. TEXAS ST (vs NAV): +9.4	**/-
2. TOLEDO (vs CIN): +7.7	-	2. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +7.1		2. BAYLOR (vs BUF): +8.6	
3. TEXAS ST (vs NAV): +6.5	-	3. BAYLOR (vs BUF): +6.5		3. LSU (vs LAM): +8.0	-
4. LSU (vs LAM): +5.9	-	4. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +5.6		4. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +7.8	
5. OREGON (vs WYO): +5.2		5. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs): +5.4		5. OHIO ST (vs KS): +7.4	
6. NOTRE DAME (vs PUR): +4.9		6. DUKE (vs KAN): +4.7		6. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +7.4	
7. OHIO ST (vs KS): +4.2		7. INDIANA (vs BG): +3.8		7. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs): +6.4	
7. GTHO GT (VOTRO) 12		7. HBWWW (VO BO). 10.0		T. MIBBEL TENTOT (VO). VO.1	
Total Yards Gained (TYPG)	W/L	Total Yards Allowed (TYPG)	W/L	Total Yds Differential (TYPG)	W/L
1. TOLEDO (vs CIN): +542.0		1. CINCINNATI (vs TOL): +438.5		1. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +627.5	
2. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +288.0		2. INDIANA (vs BG): +390.0		2. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +526.0	
3. TULSA (vs FAU): +287.5		3. STANFORD (vs ARM): +346.0		3. TCU (vs MIN): +494.5	
4. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +283.0		4. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +344.5		4. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +463.0	
5. OREGON (vs WYO): +265.0		5. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +296.5		5. INDIANA (vs BG): +460.5	
6. BOISE ST (vs CON): +248.5		6. BAYLOR (vs BUF): +285.0		6. TULSA (vs FAU): +441.5	
7. MARSHALL (vs OHU): +248.0		7. TCU (vs MIN): +284.0		7. TEXAS ST (vs NAV): +436.5	
Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP)	W/L	Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP)	W/L	Yards Per Play Differential (YPP)	W/L
1. TOLEDO (vs CIN): +7.0		1. TULSA (vs FAU): +7.3		1. TULSA (vs FAU): +9.3	
2. OREGON (vs WYO): +3.6		2. INDIANA (vs BG): +5.9		2. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +8.2	
3. NEBRASKA (vs FRS): +3.4		3. STANFORD (vs ARM): +5.9	$\neg \neg$	3. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +6.7	$\neg \neg \neg$
4. ALABAMA (vs SM): +3.0	П	4. TCU (vs MIN): +5.7		4. MISSOURI (vs UCF): +6.1	$\neg \vdash \vdash$
5. MARSHALL (vs OHU): +2.6	П	5. CINCINNATI (vs TOL): +5.7		5. ALABAMA (vs SM): +5.7	
6. ARIZONA ST (vs COL): +2.5	П	6. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +5.2		6. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +5.7	
7. LOUISIANA TECH (vs NTX): +2.5		7. TEXAS A&M (vs RIC): +4.9		7. TCU (vs MIN): +5.7	
3 0.0					

Statfox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 3

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

Thu - 9/11,8:00 PM	OL	ΛE	OEI	Edge	\A//I	Thu - 9/11,9:00 PM	OL	ΛE	ΩEI	Edge	\A//I	Fri - 9/12,7:00 PM	OL	ΛE	ΛEI	Edge	W/I
103 LOUISIANA TECH	OL	19	OI L	Luge	VV/L	105 HOUSTON	OL	33	OI L	4.6	VV/L	107 TOLEDO	OL	32	OI L	4.2	VV/L
104 NORTH TEXAS	-6.5	38	-19	12.7		106 BYU	-16.5	45	-12	7.0		108 CINCINNATI	-11.5	40	-7	7.2	
				_	VA//I	0.1.0/40.40.00.004		٥-			\A//I		0.		OF!	- · ·	14//
Fri - 9/12,8:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL		UFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L
109 BAYLOR 110 BUFFALO	-32	47 30	-17	15.0		111 INDIANA 112 BOWLING GREEN	-6	18 46	20	34.3		113 OHIO U 114 MARSHALL	-20	14 44	-30	9.7	
110 BUFFALO		30		13.0	Ш	112 DOWLING GREEN		40	-20	34.3		114 WARSHALL	-20	44	-30	9.1	
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
115 UCF		37				117 NC STATE	-3	25	-11	8.0		119 MIAMI OHIO		4.1		0.5	
116 MISSOURI	-9.5	48	-11	1.6		118 S FLORIDA		14				120 MICHIGAN	-29.5	33	-29		
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,6:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
121 KENT ST	-	23	-	5.9		123 E MICHIGAN		-1	<u> </u>	90		125 E CAROLINA		39		16.0	
122 OHIO ST	-29.5	47	-24			124 OLD DOMINION	-14	17	-18	4.4		126 VIRGINIA TECH	-10.5	33	Ţ		
C-4 0/42 40:00 DM			lor.	 	\A//I	C-4 0/40 40:00 DM	^ 1	٥٢			\A//I	C-4 0/42 40:00 DM	0.	٥٢	OFI		\A//I
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL 7.		UFL	Edge	VV/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL OL			Edge	VV/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL		_	Edge	VV/L
127 SYRACUSE 128 C MICHIGAN	-7.5	20 26	6	13.1		129 PITTSBURGH 130 FLA INTERNATION	-25	36 9.6	-26	1.3		131 BOISE ST 132 CONNECTICUT		30 22	-8	-	
120 C MICHIGAN					Ш	130 FLA INTERNATION						132 CONNECTION					
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL	OF.	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
133 GA SOUTHERN		55	-11	33.1		135 IOWA ST		14				137 W VIRGINIA		20			
134 GEORGIA TECH	-22.5	44				136 IOWA	-13.5	33	-19	5.5		138 MARYLAND	-3	28	-8	4.9	
Sat - 9/13,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,12:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,2:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
139 MASSACHUSETTS		5.3		- 3-		141 LOUISVILLE	-6.5	40	-23			143 WYOMING		11		6.7	
140 VANDERBILT	-17.5	27	-21	3.9		142 VIRGINIA		17				144 OREGON	-43.5	47	-37		
Sat - 9/13,2:00 PM	OL	ΛE	ΙΟΕΙ	Edge	\A//I	Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM	OL	ΛE	ΛEI	Edge	\A//I	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL	ΛE	ΛEI	Edaa	\A//I
145 AIR FORCE	-13	7.5	UFL	Euge	VV/L	147 W KENTUCKY	UL	33	UFL	Euge	VV/L	,	UL	9.7	OFL	Edge	VV/L
146 GEORGIA ST	-13	16	-8	21.4		148 MIDDLE TENN ST	-1	37	-4	2.6		149 KANSAS 150 DUKE	-17	38	-29	11.5	
							'										
Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	0L		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,3:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L
151 GEORGIA	-4	43	<u> </u>			153 ARKANSAS		30	-3	4.7		155 ARKANSAS ST		31	-1	18.1	
152 S CAROLINA		47	-4	8.2		154 TEXAS TECH	-2	27				156 MIAMI	-17.5	30			
Sat - 9/13,4:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,4:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,4:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
157 MISSISSIPPI ST	-15.5	35				159 LA LAFAYETTE		24		8.2		161 ILLINOIS		15			
158 S ALABAMA		37	-2	17.3		160 OLE MISS	-26	42	-18			162 WASHINGTON	-15	39	-25	9.9	
Sat - 9/13,4:00 PM	OL	OF	ŊΕΙ	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/13,5:00 PM	OL	ΛE	ΛEI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/13,5:00 PM	OL	ΛE	ΛEI	Edge	W/I
163 MINNESOTA	OL	30	OI L	7.7	VV/L	165 W MICHIGAN	OL	9.9	-4	6.3	VV/L	167 ARMY	OL	20	OI L	Luge	VV/L
164 TCU	-8	30	0			166 IDAHO	-2.5	6.0		0.0		168 STANFORD	-30	50	-30	0.2	
																	1117
Sat - 9/13,6:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM			OFL	Edge	W/L
169 SOUTHERN MISS	17	8.4		0.3	\blacksquare	171 WAKE FOREST	40 F	21		2.2	Н	173 TULSA	-1.5		^	7.4	
170 ALABAMA	-47	55	-47			172 UTAH ST	-12.5	37	-16	3.3		174 FLA ATLANTIC		24	-6	7.4	
Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM		ΛE	ΩFI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/13,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,7:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
	OL	UF		Luge				20		3.5		179 PURDUE		7.8			
175 UTSA	OL	39	OI L	Luge		177 LA MONROE		_									
175 UTSA 176 OKLAHOMA ST	OL		-10			177 LA MONROE 178 LSU	-31	48	-27			180 NOTRE DAME	-28	42	-34	6.0	
176 OKLAHOMA ST		39 49	-10			178 LSU		48			W/I						W/I
176 OKLAHOMA ST Sat - 9/13,7:30 PM	OL	39 49 OF	-10	Edge		178 LSU Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM	OL	48 OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM	-28 OL	OF		Edge	W/L
176 OKLAHOMA ST Sat - 9/13,7:30 PM 181 KENTUCKY	OL	39 49 OF 22	-10 OFL	Edge 5.3		178 LSU Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM 193 USC		48 OF 40		Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM 185 TENNESSEE	OL	OF 29	OFL		W/L
176 OKLAHOMA ST Sat - 9/13,7:30 PM		39 49 OF 22 34	-10 OFL -12	Edge 5.3	W/L	178 LSU Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM 193 USC 194 BOSTON COLLEGE	OL -19.5	48 OF 40 27	OFL -13	Edge 6.4		Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM	OL -20	OF 29 49	OFL -19	Edge 0.8	
176 OKLAHOMA ST Sat - 9/13,7:30 PM 181 KENTUCKY 182 FLORIDA Sat - 9/13,10:00 PM	OL -17.5	39 49 OF 22 34 OF	-10 OFL -12	Edge 5.3 Edge	W/L	178 LSU Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM 193 USC 194 BOSTON COLLEGE Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM	OL -19.5 OL	48 OF 40 27 OF	OFL -13 OFL	Edge		Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM 185 TENNESSEE 186 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/13,10:30 PM	OL -20	OF 29 49 OF	OFL -19	Edge	
176 OKLAHOMA ST Sat - 9/13,7:30 PM 181 KENTUCKY 182 FLORIDA	OL -17.5	39 49 OF 22 34	-10 OFL -12	Edge 5.3 Edge	W/L	178 LSU Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM 193 USC 194 BOSTON COLLEGE	OL -19.5	48 OF 40 27	OFL -13	Edge 6.4		Sat - 9/13,8:00 PM 185 TENNESSEE 186 OKLAHOMA	OL -20	OF 29 49	-19 OFL	Edge 0.8	



FIND THE RIGHT SHOPS... FROM THE RIGHT SOURCE!

OFF SHORE GAMING ASSOCIATION

COSGAP

III HELDON

COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 3: SEPTEMBER 11 - 13



LOUISIANA TECH

٧S

NORTH TEXAS (-61/2)



• (103) LOUISIANA TECH (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) at (104) NORTH TEXAS (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Thursday, 9/11/2014 8:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
LOUISIANA TECH	-2.0	41.5	32	18	32-146 (4.6)	34-22-243 (7.0)	66-389 (5.9)	0-0	34	23	37-180 (4.9)	39-24-245 (6.3)	76-425 (5.6)	2-1	+4
NORTH TEXAS	+3.0	35.0	25	12	49-162 (3.3)	17-8-62 (3.6)	66-224 (3.4)	0-2	22	18	27-86 (3.2)	40-22-228 (5.8)	67-314 (4.7)	2-1	+3

GAME BREAKDOWN: North Texas has been especially tough in Denton during a season-plus in Conference-USA. Last year the Mean Green were 5-1 SU and ATS at home, and they blew out SMU, 43-6, in last week's 2014 home opener. Last year at Louisiana Tech, North Texas fell behind early and then took over, scoring 28 unanswered points to win 28-13 as a 3.5-point road favorite. Tech is coming off an impressive road win, running up 533 yards of offense in a 48-20 win at Louisiana-Lafayette as a double-digit underdog.

STATFOX Louisiana Tech 13
FORECASTER: North Texas 31

- · LOUISIANA TECH is 10-1 OVER after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers over the L3 seasons.
- NORTH TEXAS is 8-1 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L2 seasons.
- Dan McCarney is 12-2 ATS at home after gaining 125 or less passing yards in last game.



HOUSTON

VS

BRIGHAM YOUNG (-18½)



• (105) HOUSTON (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) at (106) BYU (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Thursday, 9/11/2014 9:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
HOUSTON	+13.5	14.5	27	19	32-124 (4.0)	38-20-218 (5.7)	70-342 (4.9)	2-2	14	14	44-102 (2.3)	26-14-148 (5.8)	70-250 (3.6)	2-2	-1
BYU	+29.5	36.0	38	28	48-226 (4.7)	32-23-244 (7.8)	80-470 (5.9)	2-0	8	19	33-76 (2.3)	40-22-230 (5.8)	73-306 (4.2)	2-1	+2

GAME BREAKDOWN: BYU heads home after a couple of blowout road victories to start the season. They dismantled Texas in Austin on Saturday, rushing for 248 yards. These teams played a shootout in Houston last October, with BYU QB Taysom Hill leading a late TD drive for a 47-46 win. Though at least through two games this season, Houston hasn't looked like the same caliber team. They hammered FCS opponent Grambling last week, but were crushed at home by UTSA, 27-7, in their season opener.

STATFOX Houston _______20
FORECASTER: Brigham Young ______31

- BYU is 7-0 UNDER after outrushing opponent by 150 or more yards last game over the L3 seasons.
- Bronco Mendenhall is 14-4 UNDER after a performance with 34+ minutes TOP and 24+ 1st downs at BYU.
- Bronco Mendenhall is 27-14 ATS after allowing 100 or less rushing yards last game as coach of BYU.



TOLEDO

٧S

CINCINNATI (-12)



• (107) TOLEDO (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (108) CINCINNATI (SU: 0-0, ATS: 0-0) - Friday, 9/12/2014 7:00 PM

					TEAM (OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
TOLEDO	+4.5	24.5	39	26	38-234 (6.2)	40-24-308 (7.7)	78-542 (6.9)	0-0	34	24	39-107 (2.7)	39-26-332 (8.5)	78-439 (5.6)	0-2	+1
CINCINNATI	CINCIN	NATI H	AS YET T	O PLAY	A 2014 REGULAR-S	EASON GAME									······································

GAME BREAKDOWN: Oddly enough, this will be Cincinnati's season opener. The Bearcats will have a new quarterback under center in Gunner Kiel, a former top recruit and Notre Dame transfer. These teams haven't met since 2012, when the Rockets pulled off a home upset with a 29-23 victory over Cincinnati. This will be Toledo's first road game of the year. So far they have cruised past FCS opponent New Hampshire, then lost to powerhouse Missouri 49-24 despite intercepting Maty Mauk twice.

STATFOX Toledo ______26
FORECASTER: Cincinnati _____46

- Matt Campbell is 10-2 UNDER as an underdog as coach of TOLEDO.
- CINCINNATI is 4-1 OVER in its last five games at home.
- TOLEDO is 5-1 OVER in their last six games.



BAYLOR (-32½)

BUFFALO



• (109) BAYLOR (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (110) BUFFALO (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Friday, 9/12/2014 8:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
BAYLOR	+54.5	10.5	58	32	50-263 (5.3)	38-23-384 (10.2)	88-647 (7.4)	2-0	3	9	33-27 (0.8)	29-14-108 (3.7)	62-135 (2.2)	1-1	EVEN
BUFFALO	+1.0	8.5	38	30	39-164 (4.2)	43-30-347 (8.1)	82-511 (6.2)	0-2	38	18	44-196 (4.5)	22-12-224 (10.2)	66-420 (6.4)	2-0	-2

GAME BREAKDOWN: A banged-up Baylor team heads north to Buffalo. The Bears played without QB Bryce Petty and top receivers Antwan Goodley and Levi Norwood last week, though none of them were needed in a 70-6 win over FCS opponent Northwestern State. Last year when the Bulls came to Waco, Baylor rolled up 781 total yards in a 70-13 victory. And the Buffalo defense isn't as strong in 2014 with star LB Khalil Mack now in the NFL. The Bulls allowed 28 points in a win over Duquesne, and 47 in last week's loss at Army.

STATFOX Baylor 40
FORECASTER: Buffalo 24

- BAYLOR is 11-2 ATS in games played on turf over the L2 seasons.
- BUFFALO is 7-0 OVER in non-conference games over the L2 seasons.
- Art Briles is 23-9 OVER after gaining 450 or more total yards in 2 consecutive games as coach of BAYLOR.



UCF

٧S

MISSOURI (-9)



· (115) UCF (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (116) MISSOURI (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE						TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
UCF	-2.0	41.0	24	11	29-24 (0.8)	22-12-222 (10.1)	51-246 (4.8)	1-0		26	24	28-57 (2.0)	47-32-454 (9.7)	75-511 (6.8)	1-2	+2
MISSOURI	+22.5	14.5	44	22	43-196 (4.6)	27-17-252 (9.3)	70-448 (6.4)	0-1	T	21	16	32-136 (4.3)	38-23-251 (6.6)	70-387 (5.5)	1-2	+3

GAME BREAKDOWN: Missouri returns home for their toughest test of the year so far. The Tigers are 7-1 SU and 6-2 ATS at home since the start of 2013. So far in '14, the loss of weapons hasn't hurt QB Maty Mauk, who has 8 TDs against 2 INTs over the first two games. UCF had an off week after a heart-breaking opening loss to Penn State in Ireland. They were unsettled at QB coming into the year, but may have found something in dual threat Justin Holman, who took over for Pete DiNovo in the opener and led three second-half TD drives.

STATFOX UCF 28
FORECASTER: Missouri 32

- George O'Leary is 20-7 UNDER in September games as coach of UCF.
- MISSOURI is 8-1 ATS after scoring 37 points or more last game over the L2 seasons.
- MISSOURI is 12-4 ATS in all lined games over the L2 seasons.



KENT STATE

VS

OHIO STATE (-29½)



· (121) KENT ST (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-2) at (122) OHIO ST (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE						TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
KENT ST	-6.5	25.0	14	16	25-48 (1.9)	40-24-238 (5.9)	65-286 (4.4)	0-0		20	20	47-180 (3.8)	24-16-212 (8.6)	71-392 (5.5)	2-0	+3
OHIO ST	+1.5	41.0	28	19	40-151 (3.8)	22-10-222 (10.1)	62-373 (6.0)	0-2	·····T	26	22	52-246 (4.8)	20-12-110 (5.5)	72-356 (4.9)	1-1	EVEN

GAME BREAKDOWN: Ohio State will try to find their footing after an awful home loss to Virginia Tech. They continue to sputter on offense with Braxton Miller out. J.T. Barrett has been effective with his legs, but went 9-for-29 passing and took seven sacks against a very good VT defense. Kent State won't pose as big a challenge, though they were in defensive slugfests against Ohio and South Alabama. The bigger problem will be scoring points. The Golden Flashes had less than 300 yards of offense in each of their first two games.

STATFOX Kent State ______15
FORECASTER: Ohio State ______50

- Urban Meyer is 23-8 ATS at home in non-conference games
- Urban Meyer is 39-13 ATS in non-conference games.
- Urban Meyer is 45-28 ATS in home games.



IOWA STATE

٧S

IOWA (-13½)



• (135) IOWA ST (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) at (136) IOWA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 3:30 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
IOWA ST	-12.0	22.0	21	18	32-104 (3.3)	32-21-182 (5.7)	64-286 (4.5)	0-2	33	23	42-266 (6.3)	28-17-222 (7.8)	70-488 (7.0)	0-0	-3
IOWA	+6.0	15.5	24	26	32-132 (4.1)	48-33-296 (6.2)	80-428 (5.4)	2-0	18	13	30-58 (1.9)	34-16-254 (7.6)	64-312 (4.9)	0-1	EVEN

GAME BREAKDOWN: Last September, the Hawkeyes jumped out to a 27-7 lead in the fourth quarter before holding on for a 27-21 win and cover at lowa State as a road favorite. lowa rushed for 218 yards in the win. The Hawkeyes looked shaky at times in two home victories to start the year, failing to cover double-digit spreads against Northern lowa and Ball State. After a disastrous opening loss to FCS opponent North Dakota State, the Cyclones looked much better in a heartbreaking loss to Kansas State, losing in the final two minutes.



- Paul Rhoads is 9-1 UNDER away after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers as coach of IOWA ST.
- Kirk Ferentz is 31-17 UNDER after playing a non-conference game as coach of IOWA.
- Kirk Ferentz is 2-10 ATS at home off a no-cover where the team won as a favorite as coach of IOWA.

Statfox super situations

Situational analysis uncovers conditions and patterns where teams have consistently outperformed or underperformed their normal levels. These systems are not team specific—the principle is that teams fall into patterns regardless of personnel (i.e. good passing teams, teams seeking revenge, cold teams on a losing streak, etc.).

- Play Against Any team (TCU) after closing out last season with 2+ straight losses vs. opp in the 1st month of the season, bowl team who lost their last 3 games.
- The situation's record is 29-4 over the last 10 seasons (87.9%, +24.6 units).

RATING = ***

- Play On Home favorites (OREGON) - after gaining 575 or more total yards/game over their last 2 games, with 5 defensive starters returning.
- The situation's record is 24-3 over the last 10 seasons (88.9%, +20.7 units).

RATING = * * * *

- Play Against Any team (UCLA) poor rushing team (3 to 3.5 YPR) against a team with a good rushing defense (3 to 3.5 YPR).
- The situation's record is 63-22 over the last 5 seasons (74.1%, +38.8 units).

RATING = ****

COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 3: SEPTEMBER 13



WYOMING

٧S

OREGON (-43½)



· (143) WYOMING (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (144) OREGON (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 2:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
WYOMING	+4.5	7.0	17	16	36-130 (3.6)	29-18-187 (6.4)	65-317 (4.9)	0-0	12	18	36-96 (2.7)	39-21-218 (5.6)	75-314 (4.2)	1-0	EVEN
OREGON	+34.0	24.5	54	26	39-233 (6.0)	30-21-349 (11.6)	69-582 (8.4)	0-0	20	22	38-148 (3.9)	40-24-270 (6.7)	78-418 (5.4)	0-1	+2

GAME BREAKDOWN: One week after wearing down Michigan State's defense, Oregon gets less of a test when they host Wyoming. The Ducks are 9-0 SU at home since the start of last season, though they've failed to cover the last four games in which they were favored by 24 points or more. Wyoming allowed just 25 points total in their first two games, but that was against Montana and Air Force. This is the same program that allowed 36.7 PPG last year, 42.3 PPG if you exclude one-win opponents Idaho and Northern Colorado (FCS).

STATFOX | Wyoming _______15
FORECASTER: | Oregon ______64

- OREGON is 12-2 ATS after a playing a game where 70 total points or more were scored over the L3 seasons.
- OREGON is 15-5 ATS after a playing a game where 60 total points or more were scored over the L3 seasons.
- · OREGON is 4-1 OVER in its last five games at home



GEORGIA (-5½)

VS

SOUTH CAROLINA



• (151) GEORGIA (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (152) S CAROLINA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 3:30 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
GEORGIA	+24.0	55.0	45	22	41-328 (8.0)	26-18-131 (5.0)	67-459 (6.9)	1-0	21	15	44-102 (2.3)	32-17-189 (5.9)	76-291 (3.8)	0-1	EVEN
S CAROLINA	-7.0	48.5	30	24	30-121 (4.0)	39-22-316 (8.1)	69-437 (6.3)	0-1	38	33	30-150 (5.0)	53-38-416 (7.8)	83-566 (6.8)	0-1	EVEN

GAME BREAKDOWN: Georgia snapped a three-game losing streak against the Gamecocks when they won 41-30 in Athens behind 536 yards of offense, including 227 on the ground. The Bulldogs had last week off after hammering Clemson at home in their opener. However, they were 0-3-1 ATS in SEC road games last year. South Carolina has not looked good through two games, getting hammered at home by Texas A&M in their opener and outlasting East Carolina last week. They allowed 300-plus passing yards in both those games.

STATFOX Georgia 33 FORECASTER: South Carolina 29

- GEORGIA is 11-1 OVER in the first half of the season over the L3 seasons.
- GEORGIA is 8-0 OVER in September games over the L3 seasons.
- GEORGIA is 11-3 OVER off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons.



ILLINOIS

VS

WASHINGTON (-14½)



• (161) ILLINOIS (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (162) WASHINGTON (SU: 2-0, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 4:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE						TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
ILLINOIS	+9.5	15.5	35	21	28-71 (2.5)	44-30-370 (8.4)	72-441 (6.1)	2-0		26	21	44-153 (3.4)	36-20-237 (6.6)	80-390 (4.9)	1-0	-1
WASHINGTON	+4.0	11.5	38	22	52-265 (5.0)	25-12-171 (6.8)	77-436 (5.7)	0-0	T	34	30	45-158 (3.5)	44-27-341 (7.7)	89-499 (5.6)	1-0	+2

GAME BREAKDOWN: When these teams met a year ago in Chicago, the Huskies outgained the Illini 615-327, but won only 34-24, failing to cover the 10.5-point spead, due largely to two lost fumbles and 12 penalties. Washington has played down to their opponents so far this year. As 17-point favorites in both games, they beat Hawaii by one and Eastern Washington by a touchdown last week, allowing 475 passing yards. Illinois is 1-8 SU and ATS on the road since 2012. New QB Wes Lunt has a 7-to-1 TD/INT ratio through two games.

STATFOX	Illinois22
FORECASTER:	Washington45

- Tim Beckman is 1-8 ATS in road lined games as coach of ILLINOIS.
- Chris Petersen is 19-8 ATS after a game with a turnover margin of +2 or better.
- Tim Beckman is 8-1 OVER after gaining 325 or more passing yards in last game.



MINNESOTA

s T

TCU (-10)



• (163) MINNESOTA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (164) TCU (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 4:00 PM

					TEAM (OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
MINNESOTA	+16.5	13.5	38	18	45-233 (5.2)	14-8-112 (7.7)	59-345 (5.8)	0-0	22	25	41-144 (3.5)	48-27-282 (5.9)	89-426 (4.8)	1-2	+4
TCU	+34.0	-3.0	48	33	46-200 (4.3)	50-33-355 (7.1)	96-555 (5.8)	1-1	14	9	47-87 (1.9)	20-10-56 (2.8)	67-143 (2.1)	1-1	EVEN

GAME BREAKDOWN: This will be the first game against a power conference opponent for both of these teams. It's also the first road game of 2014 for the Gophers, who went 3-2 SU and 4-1 ATS in true road games last season. They've gotten huge production from the running game this year, led by RB David Cobb's 291 yards and 6.8 YPC. TCU had an off week after a victory over FCS opponent Samford. Trevone Boykin, the clear starter for the first time in his career, threw for 320 yards in that victory.

STATFOX Minnesota 23
FORECASTER: TCU 21

- Gary Patterson is 9-0 ATS at home when playing with 2 weeks or more of rest as coach of TCU.
- Gary Patterson is 12-1 ATS at home after a bye week as coach of TCU.
- Gary Patterson is 10-1 OVER at home after a bye week as coach of TCU.



KENTUCKY

VS

FLORIDA (-17½)



· (181) KENTUCKY (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (182) FLORIDA (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 7:30 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
KENTUCKY	+31.0	9.5	40	24	40-233 (5.8)	32-20-296 (9.2)	72-529 (7.3)	0-0	8	19	38-128 (3.4)	33-18-182 (5.5)	71-310 (4.4)	2-1	+6
FLORIDA	+65.0	2.0	65	27	39-259 (6.6)	47-33-396 (8.4)	86-655 (7.6)	0-0	0	7	34-80 (2.4)	21-9-45 (2.1)	55-125 (2.3)	4-1	+5

GAME BREAKDOWN: Kentucky hits the road for the first time this year, where they've dropped 17 in a row SU (4-13 ATS). Florida has beaten the Wildcats 27 times in a row SU, and seven in a row ATS. Last season, the Gators won 24-7 at Lexington in their first full game without QB Jeff Driskel. Driskel was solid running Florida's new uptempo offense in last week's opener, going 31-for-45 for 248 as the Gators had 655 yards of offense and 65 points against an overmatched Eastern Michigan team.

STATFOX	Kentucky	21
FORECASTER:	Florida	23

- KENTUCKY is 1-9 ATS as an underdog of 10.5 to 21 points over the L3 seasons.
- KENTUCKY is 3-13 ATS against conference opponents over the L3 seasons.
- Will Muschamp is 8-1 UNDER after a game with a turnover margin of +2 or better as coach of FLORIDA.



PURDUE

\/ (

NOTRE DAME (-27½)



· (179) PURDUE (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) vs. (180) NOTRE DAME (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 7:30 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE						TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
PURDUE	-6.0	20.0	30	20	36-174 (4.8)	44-22-192 (4.4)	80-366 (4.6)	0-1		36	22	38-187 (5.0)	28-17-208 (7.3)	66-395 (6.0)	0-1	-1
NOTRE DAME	+31.0	37.0	40	22	36-168 (4.6)	28-18-260 (9.3)	64-428 (6.7)	0-0	·····T	8	17	38-120 (3.2)	29-17-208 (7.2)	67-328 (4.9)	1-2	+6

GAME BREAKDOWN: This will be played at a neutral site: Lucas Oil Field, home of the Indianapolis Colts. Notre Dame has beaten Purdue six straight years. The Boilermakers have covered each of the last two years, though last year at Purdue the Irish were without QB Everett Golson. Golson, back from a season-long suspension, has accounted for eight touchdowns over the first two games of 2014. Purdue is coming off an embarassing home loss to Central Michigan; as three-point favorites, they turned it over three times in a 38-17 loss.

STATFOX	ı	Purdue11
FORECASTER:	l	Notre Dame47

- Brian Kelly is 35-15 UNDER when playing on a Saturday as coach of NOTRE DAME.
- Brian Kelly is 33-13 UNDER in non-conference games as coach of NOTRE DAME.
- Brian Kelly is 16-4 UNDER off a home win as coach of NOTRE DAME.



USC (-20)

VS

BOSTON COLLEGE



• (193) USC (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (194) BOSTON COLLEGE (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 8:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE						TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
USC	+21.0	45.5	32	26	50-216 (4.3)	32-22-280 (8.9)	82-496 (6.0)	1-0		12	19	36-142 (4.0)	33-20-222 (6.7)	69-364 (5.3)	1-2	+4
BOSTON COLLEGE	+6.5	24.0	25	21	48-240 (5.1)	26-14-154 (5.8)	74-394 (5.3)	0-2	T	18	16	36-178 (5.0)	21-10-129 (6.1)	57-307 (5.4)	0-1	-1

GAME BREAKDOWN: With last week's unlikely survival at Stanford, USC has won their last five outside of L.A. (4-1 ATS). Last year at USC, the Trojans (still coached by Lane Kiffin) hammered BC 35-7 as 15.5-point favorites. The Trojans were shut down last week against an excellent Stanford defense, but did rack up 701 yards and 52 points against Fresno in their opener. BC came up short at home against Pitt last week, their second game with new QB Tyler Murphy. They allowed 302 rushing yards in that loss.



- BOSTON COLLEGE is 4-1 OVER in its last five games
- USC is 4-10 ATS in its last 14 games on the road
- USC is 7-3 UNDER in its last 10 games

Statfox SITUATIONAL TEAM POWER TRENDS

The StatFox Situational Team Power Trends uncover situations where a team outperforms or underperforms their normal level of play. These trends are great indicators of how teams react to situations (i.e. coming off a close win, against division opponents, etc.)

• FRESNO ST is 4-21 ATS (-19.1 Units) after allowing 6.25 or more yards/play in 2 consecutive games since 1992.

The average score was FRESNO ST 29.3, OPPONENT 30.9.

PLAY ON NEBRASKA
RATING = * * * *

• BRIGHAM YOUNG is 7-26 ATS (-21.6 Units) after a 2-game road trip since 1992.

The average score was BRIGHAM YOUNG 25.8, OPPONENT 25.

PLAY ON HOUSTON
RATING = * * *

• KENTUCKY is 3-15 ATS (-13.5 Units) as an underdog over the last 3 seasons.

The average score was KENTUCKY 13, OPPONENT 35.6.

PLAY ON FLORIDA RATING = * * *

COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 3: SEPTEMBER 13



TENNESSEE

٧S

OKLAHOMA (-21)



· (185) TENNESSEE (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (186) OKLAHOMA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 8:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE						TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
TENNESSEE	+23.0	34.0	36	24	42-139 (3.3)	39-25-260 (6.7)	81-399 (4.9)	0-0		13	14	33-120 (3.7)	36-20-167 (4.7)	69-287 (4.2)	1-1	+3
OKLAHOMA	+38.5	21.5	50	26	36-222 (6.3)	36-22-286 (7.9)	72-508 (7.1)	0-0	T	12	19	32-74 (2.3)	43-24-212 (4.9)	75-286 (3.8)	0-2	+3

GAME BREAKDOWN: Oklahoma returns home hitting on all cylinders after impressive opening wins over mid-major FBS schools. The Sooners' defense has been especially strong, as they held Lousiana Tech to 245 yards and forced four turnovers at Tulsa. The Vols have been almost as impressive in their first two games, shutting down a dangerous mid-major in Utah State and topping Arkansas State. Justin Worley has been efficient under center, completing 64.5% of his passes with 5 TDs and 1 INT.

STATFOX Tennessee 17
FORECASTER: Oklahoma 38

- Bob Stoops is 15-5 OVER at home off a road win as coach of OKLAHOMA.
- Bob Stoops is 16-32 ATS after allowing 17 points or less in 2 straight games as coach of OKLAHOMA.
- OKLAHOMA is 1-8 ATS as a favorite of 10.5 to 21 points over the L3 seasons.



UCLA (-7)

VS

TEXAS



• (189) UCLA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 0-2) vs. (190) TEXAS (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 8:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
UCLA	+7.5	28.0	35	23	40-130 (3.2)	39-26-319 (8.2)	79-449 (5.7)	1-0	28	20	39-142 (3.6)	44-28-286 (6.6)	83-428 (5.2)	0-1	EVEN
TEXAS	-1.5	45.5	22	16	38-122 (3.3)	32-20-183 (5.6)	70-305 (4.4)	2-0	24	18	51-164 (3.2)	22-10-98 (4.5)	73-262 (3.6)	0-2	EVEN

GAME BREAKDOWN: The Longhorns stay in Texas, this time heading to Arlington for what's technically a neutral field game. They were embarrassed last week by BYU in Austin, a 41-7 loss in which they allowed 248 rushing yards. They managed just 258 yards of offense and seven points playing without QB David Ash (concussion). UCLA's offense bounced back from a shaky opener with 540 yards of offense and 42 points in last week's win over Memphis, but the Bruins allowed 305 passing yards to a middling Memphis offense.

STATFOX UCLA 27
FORECASTER: Texas 26

- Charlie Strong is 11-1 ATS away after 1 or more consecutive losses against the spread.
- Charlie Strong is 7-0 ATS away after gaining 100 or less rushing yards last game.
- UCLA is 6-0 UNDER away when playing on a Saturday over the L2 seasons.



ARIZONA STATE (-141/2)

٧S

COLORADO



· (199) ARIZONA ST (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (200) COLORADO (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 10:00 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
ARIZONA ST	+33.0	5.5	52	28	46-345 (7.4)	30-18-250 (8.4)	76-595 (7.8)	0-0	18	16	44-180 (4.0)	20-10-143 (7.2)	64-323 (5.0)	2-0	+2
COLORADO	-5.5	21.0	29	26	40-145 (3.6)	40-25-280 (6.9)	80-425 (5.3)	0-0	34	25	38-185 (4.9)	30-16-200 (6.6)	68-385 (5.7)	0-0	+1

GAME BREAKDOWN: Arizona State has had its way with the Buffs since Colorado joined the Pac-12. The Sun Devils have beaten Colorado, SU and ATS each of the past three years, by 34 points or more each time. ASU has gotten out to a 2-0 start with easy wins over Weber State and New Mexico, and they rushed for 423 yards at New Mexico last week. Colorado bounced back from a season-opening loss to Colorado State to beat a bad UMass team on the road. Despite being a 17.5-point favorite, they outlasted the Minutemen 41-38.

- COLORADO is 2-11 ATS in the first half of the season over the L3 seasons.
- Mike MacIntyre is 13-3 OVER after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers.
- Mike MacIntyre is 26-13 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest.



NEBRASKA (-10)

V:

FRESNO STATE



• (201) NEBRASKA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (202) FRESNO ST (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 10:30 PM

					TEAM	OFFENSE					TEAM D	EFENSE			
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	F-I	TOD
NEBRASKA	+27.5	11.5	43	26	45-346 (7.7)	33-16-264 (8.0)	78-610 (7.8)	0-0	16	14	38-142 (3.8)	28-12-128 (4.6)	66-270 (4.1)	0-0	EVEN
FRESNO ST	-35.5	49.0	20	20	36-106 (2.9)	38-21-222 (5.8)	74-328 (4.4)	0-2	56	32	59-268 (4.5)	34-22-346 (10.2)	93-614 (6.6)	1-0	-3

GAME BREAKDOWN: Nebraska hits the road after surviving an upset bid against FCS school McNeese State. In that game, QB Tommy Armstrong Jr. alternated between brilliant and brutal; he can make plays with his legs, but is a scattershot passer who gets little help from a weak receiving corps and mistake-prone offensive line. The Huskers get a break by facing an atrocious Fresno defense. Playing on the road in their first two games, the Bulldogs allowed a ton of yardage (701 to USC, 526 to Utah) and 50-plus points in each game.

STATFOX Nebraska 31
FORECASTER: Fresno State 33

- \bullet FRESNO ST is 6-0 OVER in the first half of the season over the L2 seasons.
- Tim DeRuyter is 12-4 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest as coach of FRESNO ST.
- NEBRASKA is 5-9-1 ATS in its last 15 games on the road.

▶ (111) INDIANA (-6) (SU: 1-0, ATS: 0-1) at (112) BOWLING GREEN (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: BOWLING GREEN is 10-0 ATS after a win by 21 or more points over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: SCORE NOT AVAILABLE

▶ (113) OHIO U (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (114) MARSHALL (-20.5) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 0-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: MARSHALL is 6-0 ATS in home games over the L2 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: MARSHALL 44, OHIO U 19

(117) NC STATE (-2.5) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 0-2) at (118) S FLORIDA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 3:30 PM

KEY GAME TREND: NC STATE is 0-9 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L2 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: S FLORIDA 23, NC STATE 19

▶ (119) MIAMI OHIO (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) at (120) MICHIGAN (-30) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 3:30 PM

KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO is 3-14 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: MICHIGAN 44, MIAMI OHIO 11

▶ (123) E MICHIGAN (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) at (124) OLD DOMINION (-15) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 6:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: E MICHIGAN is 2-10 ATS as an underdog over the L2 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: OLD DOMINION 50, E MICHIGAN 24

▶ (125) E CAROLINA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) at (126) VIRGINIA TECH (-10) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: E CAROLINA is 7-0 ATS after 1 or more consecutive straight up losses over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: E CAROLINA 28, VIRGINIA TECH 24

▶ (127) SYRACUSE (-7) (SU: 1-0, ATS: 0-1) at (128) C MICHIGAN (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: C MICHIGAN is 6-0 UNDER after playing their last game on the road over the L2 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: C MICHIGAN 24, SYRACUSE 16

▶ (129) PITTSBURGH (-25.5) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (130) FLORIDA INT'L (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: Ron Turner is 2-11 ATS after allowing 125 or less passing yards in their last game.

STATFOX FORECASTER: PITTSBURGH 35, FLA INTERNATIONAL 9

▶ (131) BOISE ST (-14) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (132) CONNECTICUT (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: CONNECTICUT is 2-10 ATS in the first half of the season over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: BOISE ST 32, CONNECTICUT 21

▶ (133) GA SOUTHERN (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) at (134) GEORGIA TECH (-22) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: GEORGIA TECH is 6-0 OVER at home in non-conference games over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: SCORE NOT AVAILABLE

▶ (137) W VIRGINIA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) at (138) MARYLAND (-3) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: Randy Edsall is 2-11 ATS after a game where they forced 1 or less turnovers as coach of MARYLAND.

STATFOX FORECASTER: MARYLAND 30, W VIRGINIA 25

COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 3: SEPTEMBER 13

▶ (139) MASSACHUSETTS (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) at (140) VANDERBILT (-17) (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: MASSACHUSETTS is 4-10 ATS in its last 14 games on the road.

STATFOX FORECASTER: VANDERBILT 33, MASSACHUSETTS 13

▶ (141) LOUISVILLE (-7) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (142) VIRGINIA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 12:30 PM

KEY GAME TREND: Bob Petrino is 14-3 OVER after a 2-game homestand.

STATFOX FORECASTER: LOUISVILLE 35, VIRGINIA 12

▶ (145) AIR FORCE (-12) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (146) GEORGIA ST (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 2:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: AIR FORCE is 0-7 ATS away after 2 straight games where they forced 1 or less turnovers over L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: GEORGIA ST 30, AIR FORCE 26

▶ (147) W KENTUCKY (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (148) MIDDLE TENN ST (-1) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 7:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: W KENTUCKY is 11-3 ATS in the first half of the season over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: MIDDLE TENN ST 33, W KENTUCKY 24

▶ (149) KANSAS (SU: 1-0, ATS: 0-1) at (150) DUKE (-17) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 3:30 PM

KEY GAME TREND: KANSAS is 0-6 ATS in non-conference games over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: DUKE 39, KANSAS 13

▶ (153) ARKANSAS (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (154) TEXAS TECH (-3) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 3:30 PM

KEY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS is 0-8 ATS after gaining 6.25 or more yards/play in their previous game over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: TEXAS TECH 38, ARKANSAS 33

▶ (155) ARKANSAS ST (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) at (156) MIAMI (-16) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 3:30 PM

KEY GAME TREND: Al Golden is 7-0 ATS after a win by 28 or more points as coach of MIAMI.

STATFOX FORECASTER: MIAMI 33, ARKANSAS ST 26

▶ (157) MISSISSIPPI ST (-15.5) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (158) S ALABAMA (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 4:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: Dan Mullen is 22-12 ATS as a favorite as coach of MISSISSIPPI ST.

STATFOX FORECASTER: MISSISSIPPI ST 24, S ALABAMA 22

▶ (159) LA LAFAYETTE (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (160) OLE MISS (-27) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 4:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: Hugh Freeze is 9-1 ATS off 2 or more consecutive unders.

STATFOX FORECASTER: OLE MISS 39, LA LAFAYETTE 16

▶ (165) W MICHIGAN (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (166) IDAHO (-2.5) (SU: 0-1, ATS: 1-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 5:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: IDAHO is 3-11 ATS off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: W MICHIGAN 30, IDAHO 27

▶ (167) ARMY (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (168) STANFORD (-29.5) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 5:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: ARMY is 0-9 ATS in road games over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: STANFORD 47, ARMY 9

▶ (169) SOUTHERN MISS (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) at (170) ALABAMA (-47) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 0-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 6:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: SOUTHERN MISS is 6-20 ATS in all lined games over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: ALABAMA 64, SOUTHERN MISS 9

▶ (171) WAKE FOREST (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) at (172) UTAH ST (-14) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 7:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: Dave Clawson is 13-2 ATS after outgaining opp by 175 or more total yards in their previous game.

STATFOX FORECASTER: UTAH ST 20, WAKE FOREST 10

▶ (173) TULSA (-1.5) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (174) FLA ATLANTIC (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 7:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: FLA ATLANTIC is 9-0 ATS off a road loss over the L3 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: FLA ATLANTIC 32, TULSA 18

▶ (175) UTSA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) at (176) OKLAHOMA ST (nl) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 7:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: Mike Gundy is 21-4 OVER at home after gaining 6.25+ yards/play in their previous game coaching OK ST.

STATFOX FORECASTER: OKLAHOMA ST 30, UTSA 22

▶ (177) LA MONROE (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (178) LSU (-31) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 7:00 PM

KEY GAME TREND: LSU is 0-6 ATS after playing a game at home over the L2 seasons.

STATFOX FORECASTER: LSU 40, LA MONROE 16

▶ (183) NAVY (-12.5) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (184) TEXAS ST (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 8:00 PM

POWER RATING: NAVY 37-25 (Edge: TEXAS ST by 0.5)

OUTPLAY FACTOR: NAVY 36-27(Edge: TEXAS ST by 3.9)

STATFOX FORECASTER: NAVY 36. TEXAS ST 26

▶ (187) NEW MEXICO ST (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (188) UTEP (-10.5) (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 8:00 PM

POWER RATING: UTEP 16-6 (Edge: NEW MEXICO ST by 0.5) **OUTPLAY FACTOR:** UTEP 7.7-6.5 (Edge: NEW MEXICO ST by 9.3)

STATFOX FORECASTER: UTEP 32, NEW MEXICO ST 23

▶ (191) PENN ST (-3.5) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (192) RUTGERS (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 8:00 PM

POWER RATING: PENN ST 41-35 (Edge: PENN ST by 2.5)

OUTPLAY FACTOR: PENN ST 26-21 (Edge: PENN ST by 1.5)

STATFOX FORECASTER: PENN ST 30, RUTGERS 22

▶ (195) RICE (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) at (196) TEXAS A&M (-32) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 9:00 PM

POWER RATING: TEXAS A&M 62-33 (Edge: RICE by 0.5) **OUTPLAY FACTOR:** TEXAS A&M 48-32 (Edge: RICE by 13.2)

STATFOX FORECASTER: TEXAS A&M 46, RICE 30

▶ (197) N ILLINOIS (-9) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (198) UNLV (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 7:00 PM

POWER RATING: N ILLINOIS 39-26 (Edge: N ILLINOIS by 4.5) OUTPLAY FACTOR: N ILLINOIS 40-24 (Edge: N ILLINOIS by 6.9)

STATFOX FORECASTER: N ILLINOIS 36, UNLV 20

▶ (203) NEVADA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (204) ARIZONA (-14.5) (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/13/2014 11:00 PM

POWER RATING: ARIZONA 50-28 (Edge: ARIZONA by 7.5) OUTPLAY FACTOR: ARIZONA 39-20 (Edge: ARIZONA by 4.8)

STATFOX FORECASTER: ARIZONA 39, NEVADA 16