DRF Sports Form

SPORTS.DRF.COM



GREEN BAY VISITS DALLAS IN A BATTLE OF 3-1 TEAMS COMING OFF LOSSES





PACKERS COWBOYS

Plus:

- POWER RATINGS FOR COLLEGE AND PRO
- INSIGHT ON ALL 15 WEEK 5 NFL GAMES
- BREAKDOWN OF 48 COLLEGE MATCHUPS

ISSUE #6 • OCTOBER 1 - OCTOBER 7, 2019

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines2
NFL Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections
StatFox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines 3
NFL Game-by-Game Breakdowns4
NFL Top Statistical Edges
College Football Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections8
College Football Week 6 Rotation Schedule9
StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines11
StatFox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines12
College Football Game-by-Game Breakdowns13
College Football Top Statistical Edges19

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines - Week 5

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

Thu - 10/3,8:20 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
301 LA RAMS	-2	26				451 BALTIMORE	-3.5	24				453 CHICAGO	-7	26	-13	6	
302 SEATTLE		26	0	2		452 PITTSBURGH		25	-1	4.5		454 OAKLAND		13			
Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
455 ARIZONA		10				457 JACKSONVILLE		15				459 MINNESOTA	-6	25	-5		
456 CINCINNATI	-4	16	-6	2		458 CAROLINA	-4	26	-11	7		460 NY GIANTS		20		1	
Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
461 NEW ENGLAND	-13	34	-21	8		463 NY JETS		6				465 TAMPA BAY		20			
462 WASHINGTON		13				464 PHILADELPHIA	-14	27	-21	7		466 NEW ORLEANS	-5.5	28	-8	2.5	
Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,4:05 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
467 ATLANTA		20				469 BUFFALO		17				471 DENVER		14			
468 HOUSTON	-3.5	24	-4	0.5		470 TENNESSEE	-2.5	27	-10	7.5		472 LA CHARGERS	-6.5	24	-10	3.5	
Sun - 10/6,4:25 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,8:20 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Mon - 10/7,8:15 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
473 GREEN BAY		20				475 INDIANAPOLIS		23				477 CLEVELAND		18		2	
474 DALLAS	-4	26	-6	2		476 KANSAS CITY	-9	33	-10	1		478 SAN FRANCISCO	-5	21	-3		

TOP STATFOX POWER RATING EDGES:

1. NEW ENGLAND (-13) over WASHINGTON
2. TENNESSEE (-2.5) over BUFFALO

2. TENNESSEE (-2.5) over BUFFALO 7.5 3. CAROLINA (-4) over JACKSONVILLE 7

^{**} The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

Statfox PRESENTS THE WEEK'S STAFF PICKS

WEEK 5 NFL MATCHUPS: OCTOBER 3 - 7		StatFox	StatFox	StatFox	StatFox	StatFox	StatFox
(BB) = Best Bet	LINE	TIM	GARY	SCOTT	BRIAN	FORECASTER	CONSENSUS
Los Angeles Rams at Seattle Seahawks	-1	LA RAMS	LA RAMS	SEATTLE	SEATTLE (BB)	LA RAMS	LA RAMS
Baltimore Ravens at Pittsburgh Steelers	+4	BALTIMORE	PITTSBURGH (BB)	BALTIMORE	BALTIMORE	PITTSBURGH	BALTIMORE
Chciago Bears vs. Oakland Raiders in London	+4½	CHICAGO (BB)	OAKLAND	CHICAGO	OAKLAND	CHICAGO	CHICAGO
Arizona Cardinals at Cincinnati Bengals	-4	ARIZONA	CINCINNATI (BB)	ARIZONA	ARIZONA	CINCINNATI	ARIZONA
Jacksonville Jaguars at Carolina Panthers	-3	CAROLINA	CAROLINA	JACKSONVILLE (BB)	JACKSONVILLE (BB)	CAROLINA	CAROLINA
Minnesota Vikings at New York Giants	+5	MINNESOTA	NY GIANTS	NY GIANTS (BB)	MINNESOTA	NY GIANTS	NY GIANTS
New England Patriots at Washington Redskins	+15	NEW ENGLAND	WASHINGTON	NEW ENGLAND	NEW ENGLAND	WASHINGTON	NEW ENGLAND
New York Jets at Philadelphia Eagles	-14	NY JETS (BB)	PHILADELPHIA	PHILADELPHIA	NY JETS (BB)	NY JETS	NY JETS
• Tampa Bay Buccaneers at New Orleans Saints	-4	TAMPA BAY (BB)	TAMPA BAY (BB)	NEW ORLEANS	NEW ORLEANS	TAMPA BAY	TAMPA BAY
Atlanta Falcons at Houston Texans	-5	HOUSTON	ATLANTA (BB)	ATLANTA (BB)	HOUSTON	ATLANTA	ATLANTA
Buffalo Bills at Tennessee Titans	-21/2	TENNESSEE	BUFFALO	BUFFALO (BB)	BUFFALO (BB)	TENNESSEE	BUFFALO
Denver Broncos at Los Angeles Chargers	-6½	LA CHARGERS	LA CHARGERS	DENVER	DENVER (BB)	LA CHARGERS	LA CHARGERS
Green Bay Packers at Dallas Cowboys	-3½	DALLAS (BB)	GREEN BAY	DALLAS (BB)	GREEN BAY	DALLAS	DALLAS
Indianapolis Colts at Kansas City Chiefs	-10	KANSAS CITY (BB)	INDIANAPOLIS	KANSAS CITY	KANSAS CITY	INDIANAPOLIS	KANSAS CITY
Cleveland Browns at San Francisco 49ers	-3	SAN FRANCISCO	CLEVELAND (BB)	SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	CLEVELAND	SAN FRANCISCO

Statfox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 5

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

Thu - 10/3,8:20 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
301 LA RAMS	-2	26	-7	5.0		451 BALTIMORE	-3.5	22				453 CHICAGO	-7	24	-8	1.0	
302 SEATTLE		19				452 PITTSBURGH		25	-3	6.5		454 OAKLAND		16			
Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
455 ARIZONA		14				457 JACKSONVILLE		22				459 MINNESOTA	-6	26	-4		
456 CINCINNATI	-4	21	-7	3.0		458 CAROLINA	-4	26	-4	0.0		460 NY GIANTS		22		2.0	
Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
461 NEW ENGLAND	-13	32	-18	5.0		463 NY JETS		15		7.0		465 TAMPA BAY		27		5.5	
462 WASHINGTON		14				464 PHILADELPHIA	-14	22	-7			466 NEW ORLEANS	-5.5	27	0		
Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,4:05 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
467 ATLANTA		17				469 BUFFALO		23				471 DENVER		15		4.5	
468 HOUSTON	-3.5	25	-8	4.5		470 TENNESSEE	-2.5	28	-5	2.5		472 LA CHARGERS	-6.5	17	-2		
Sun - 10/6,4:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 10/6,8:20 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Mon - 10/7,8:15 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
473 GREEN BAY		27	-3	7.0		475 INDIANAPOLIS		19				477 CLEVELAND		20			
474 DALLAS	-4	24				476 KANSAS CITY	-9	36	-17	8.0		478 SAN FRANCISCO	-5	29	-9	4.0	

TOP STATFOX OUTPLAY **FACTOR RATING EDGES:** 1. KANSAS CITY (-9) over INDIANAPOLIS 2. NY JETS (+14) over PHILADELPHIA

3. GREEN BAY (+4) over DALLAS

0	
7	
7	

All Games	w	L	T	Pct	Last Week	Best Bets	W	L	T	Pct	Last Weel
StatFox Tim	38	25	0	60.3%	8-7	StatFox Tim	11	9	0	55.0%	2-3
StatFox Forecaster	33	30	0	52.4%	11-4	StatFox Scott	9	11	0	45.0%	2-:
StatFox Scott	30	33	0	47.6%	8-7	StatFox Brian	9	11	0	45.0%	1
StatFox Consensus	29	34	0	46.0%	8-7	StatFox Gary	7	13	0	35.0%	2-
StatFox Brian	29	34	0	46.0%	5-10						
StatFox Gary	27	36	0	42.9%	8-7						

(301) LA RAMS (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) at (302) SEATTLE (-1) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) - Thursday, 10/3/2019 8:20 PM Team Offense Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing PPG FD Rushing F-I TOD **Passing** Total 24-100 (4.1) 43-28-298 (6.9) 67-398 (5.9) 1-2 20 24-92 (3.8) 38-23-238 (6.4) 62-330 (5.3) 0-1 **SEATTLE** 33-24-266 (8.0)

LA RAMS: 7-24 ATS after 2 consecutive games with a turnover margin of -2 or worse since 1992....32-58 ATS after 2 consecutive games with a turnover margin of -1 or worse since 1992....86-60 UNDER in games where the line is +3 to -3 since 1992....196-241 ATS in all lined games since 1992....107-138 ATS as an underdog since 1992....141-190 ATS against conference opponents since 1992.

SEATTLE: 11-1 UNDER at home after scoring 25 points or more in 3 straight games since 1992....19-6 UNDER after scoring 25 points or more in 3 straight games since 1992....8-1 ATS vs. excellent passing teams with a completion pct. of 64% or better over the L2 seasons....38-61 ATS in October games since 1992....91-66 OVER after having won 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992.

Betting System: Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - after a game where they committed no turnovers against opponent after a game where they committed 3 or more turnovers. (45-15 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: SEATTLE is 5-2 ATS (3-4 SU) vs. LA RAMS (3-1 ATS, 2-2 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: SEATTLE 26, LA RAMS 26

(451) BALTIMORE (-4) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) at (452) PITTSBURGH (SU: 0-3, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 1:00 PM Team Defense Team Offense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing PPG FD Rushing F-I TOD Passing Total Passing **BALTIMORE 28 35-206 (5.9) 35-23-277 (7.9) 70-483 (6.9)** 0-0 25 19 20-94 (4.8) 35-22-302 (8.6) 55-396 (7.2) **PITTSBURGH** 36-20-205 (5.7)

BALTIMORE: 29-12 UNDER after scoring 25 points or more in 2 straight games since 1992....9-1 ATS away after allowing 375 or more total yards in 2 consecutive games since 1992....20-7 ATS away after being outgained by opp by 100 or more total yards last game since 1992....14-4 OVER away off an upset loss as a home favorite since 1992....19hn Harbaugh is 23-10 ATS off 2 or more consecutive overs as coach of BALTIMORE.

PITTSBURGH: 64-36 ATS in October games since 1992....66-37 ATS vs. poor passing defenses - allowing 235 or more passing yards/game since 1992....62-30 ATS vs. good passing teams averaging 7 or more passing yards/att. since 1992....81-55 ATS as an underdog since 1992....10-2 OVER at home when playing with 2 weeks or more of rest since 1992....89-65 OVER at home in games played on a grass field since 1992.

Betting System: Home teams against the total - pathetic team - outscored by opponents by 10 or more points/game. (44-17 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: PITTSBURGH is 3-7 ATS (5-6 SU) vs. BALTIMORE (1-4 ATS, 3-3 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: BALTIMORE 24, PITTSBURGH 22

(453) CHICAGO (-4.5) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) vs. (454) OAKLAND (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 1:00 PM Team Offense Team Defense F-I TOD PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing PPG FD Rushing Passing CHICAGO 34-23-183 (5.4) 59-273 (4.6) 0-0 18 25-90 (3.6) 11 20 21-62 (3.0) 40-28-229 (5.8) 61-291 (4.8) OAKLAND 19 **25-126 (5.1)** 32-23-210 (6.5) 57-336 **(5.9)** 0-1 26 22 26-104 (3.9) 36-22-280 (7.9) 62-384 (6.2)

CHICAGO: 20-7 ATS against AFC West division opponents since 1992....13-33 ATS away after a game with a turnover margin of +2 or better since 1992....102-76 OVER as a favorite since 1992....11-3 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L2 seasons....21-8 UNDER against AFC West division opponents since 1992....40-65 ATS after allowing 75 or less rushing yards last game since 1992.

OAKLAND: 0-8 ATS away after 1 or more consecutive wins against the spread over the L3 seasons....1-10 ATS after 1 or more consecutive wins over the L3 seasons....30-68 ATS vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return since 1992....22-9 UNDER in games played on a grass field over the L3 seasons....21-45 ATS off a upset win as an underdog since 1992.

Betting System: Any team against the total - a poor offensive team (14 to 18 PPG) against an average offensive team (18 to 23 PPG), after allowing 3 points or less in the first half last game. (144-90 Over) Play = Over the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: OAKLAND is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. CHICAGO (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: CHICAGO 22, OAKLAND 15

(455) ARIZONA (SU: 0-3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (456) CINCINNATI (-4) (SU: 0-3, ATS: 2-1) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 1:00 PM **Team Defense** F-I PPG FD Rushing F-I TOD PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total Passing Total 23 31-146 (4.8) ARIZONA 19 **20-92 (4.7)** 42-26-234 (5.5) 62-326 (5.3) 0-1 34-23-271 (8.0) 65-417 (6.4) 29 -10.3 23 CINCINNAT 17-42 (2.4) 43-27-308 (7.2) 60-350 (5.8) 34-169 (4.9) **27-18-238** (8.7) 61-407 (6.7)

ARIZONA: 10-1 UNDER after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games over the L3 seasons....20-7 OVER away versus poor rushing teams - averaging <=3.5 rushing yards/carry since 1992....12-3 OVER away after 2 straight losses by 10 or more points since 1992....6-0 UNDER after trailing in their previous game by 14 or more points at the half over the L2 seasons.

CINCINNATI: 40-61 ATS in October games since 1992....37-20 UNDER versus poor rushing defenses - allowing >=130 rushing yards/game since 1992....36-56 ATS at home in the first half of the season since 1992....13-2 against the 1H line at home vs. terrible teams - outscored by 10+ points per game on the season since 1992....22-11 over the 1H total in all lined games over the L3 seasons.

Betting System: Road underdogs of 3.5 to 10 points - with a horrible scoring defense - allowing 27 or more points/game. (41-16 ATS) Play = ARIZONA against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: CINCINNATI is 1-0 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. ARIZONA (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: CINCINNATI 24, ARIZONA 18

(457) JACKSONVILLE (SU: 2-2, ATS: 3-1) at (458) CAROLINA (-3) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 1:00 PM Team Offense **Team Defense** Passing Passing **Passing** F-I TOD PDif SS PPG FD Rushing PPG FD Rushing Total **JACKSONVILLE** 18 24-135 **(5.7)** 32-22-229 (7.1) 56-364 (6.5) 0-0 20 24-100 (4.1) 35-22-267 (7.6) 59-367 (6.2) 0-0 **CAROLINA** 25-108 (4.3) 37-23-241 (6.5) 62-349 (5.6) **35-22-157 (4.4) 62-288 (4.6)** 0-1

JACKSONVILLE: 7-0 UNDER off 1 or more straight overs over the L2 seasons....0-7 ATS after allowing 75 or less rushing yards last game over the L3 seasons....17-36 ATS away after gaining 6 or more yards/play in their previous game since 1992....22-8 ATS vs. good passing defenses - allowing 175 or less passing yards/game since 1992....16-33 ATS away in non-conference games since 1992.

CAROLINA: 32-15 UNDER in a home game where the total is between 38.5 and 42 points since 1992....31-16 ATS at home off a non-conference game since 1992....62-42 ATS after allowing 14 points or less last game since 1992....105-73 ATS after playing their last game on the road since 1992....35-19 UNDER at home versus poor defensive teams - allowing >=350 yards/game since 1992.

Betting System: Home teams against the total - a good offensive team (23-27 PPG) against an average defensive team (18-23 PPG). (65-32 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: CAROLINA is 1-0 ATS (1-0 SU) vs. JACKSONVILLE (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: CAROLINA 21, JACKSONVILLE 16

(459) MINNESOTA (-5) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) at (460) NY GIANTS (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 1:00 PM

,	` , `		•		, , ,	Team Offense	,		•			Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
MINNESOTA	+5.3	20	21	18	30-155 (5.2)	25-16-169 (6.8)	55-324 (5.9)	1-0	16	20	26-94 (3.7)	37-26-218 (5.9)	63-312 (5.0)	1-1	0
NY GIANTS	-2.5	18	22	22	23-129 (5.5)	40-26-274 (6.9)	63-403 (6.4)	2-1	24	20	28-110 (3.9)	32-20-280 (8.8)	60-390 (6.5)	0-1	-5

MINNESOTA: 17-7 ATS in games played on turf over the L3 seasons....55-34 OVER away after 1 or more consecutive losses against the spread since 1992....91-65 ATS vs. poor passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 61% or worse since 1992....37-20 ATS versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=375 yards/game since 1992....Mike Zimmer is 54-31 ATS in all lined games as coach of MINNESOTA.

NY GIANTS: 31-15 ATS off a home win against a division rival since 1992....54-35 ATS off a win against a division rival since 1992....35-20 UNDER off a home win by 10 points or more since 1992....63-41 UNDER after allowing 75 or less rushing yards last game since 1992....34-19 ATS after outrushing opponent by 100 or more yards last game since 1992....43-26 UNDER after a win by 14 or more points since 1992.

Betting System: Home teams where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - after a game where they committed 4 or more turnovers against opponent after a game with a turnover margin of -2 or worse. (66-31 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: NY GIANTS is 0-2 ATS (0-2 SU) vs. MINNESOTA (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: NY GIANTS 24, MINNESOTA 24

(461) NEW ENGLAND (-15) (SU: 4-0. ATS: 2-2) at (462) WASHINGTON (SU: 0-4. ATS: 1-3) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 1:00 PM

(401) NEW ENGER	,	•				eam Offense	, , -	- 1				Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
NEW ENGLAND	+23.8	13	30	21	28-92 (3.2)	37-23-271 (7.3)	65-363 (5.6)	0-0	7	14	18-61 (3.5)	38-20-182 (4.8)	56-243 (4.3)	0-2	+6
WASHINGTON	-13.0	23	16	17	17-50 (2.9)	38-25-246 (6.5)	55-296 (5.4)	0-2	30	23	32-148 (4.7)	33-26-252 (7.7)	65-400 (6.2)	0-1	-3

NEW ENGLAND: 9-0 UNDER away after playing their last game on the road over the L3 seasons....9-1 UNDER after playing their last game on the road over the L2 seasons....12-2 ATS vs. poor passing defenses - allowing 235 or more passing yards/game over the L3 seasons....40-18 ATS after allowing 17 points or less in 3 straight games since 1992....24-9 ATS after allowing 17 points or less in 4 straight games since 1992

WASHINGTON: 10-1 ATS versus excellent rushing defenses - allowing <=70 rushing yards/game since 1992....91-122 ATS in home lined games since 1992....33-57 ATS in a home game where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points since 1992....91-122 ATS at home in games played on a grass field since 1992....52-34 UNDER after failing to cover the spread in 3 out of their last 4 games since 1992.

Betting System: Home teams where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - with a poor scoring defense - allowing 24 or more points/game, after a loss by 10 or more points. (49-16 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: WASHINGTON is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. NEW ENGLAND (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: NEW ENGLAND 27, WASHINGTON 15

(A62) NV IETS (SII, 0.2, ATS, 1.2) at (A64) DHII ADEI DHIA (.14) (SII, 2.2, ATS, 1.2) Sunday, 10/6/2010 1:00 DM

(403) NT 3ETO (00.	,		,	•		eam Offense	,,		,,			Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
NY JETS	-12.3	23	11	12	22-66 (3.0)	31-21-131 (4.2)	53-197 (3.7)	1-0	23	20	24-89 (3.6)	39-24-287 (7.4)	63-376 (6.0)	1-1	+4
PHILADELPHIA	+1.3	18	28	22	29-119 (4.1)	38-23-239 (6.4)	67-358 (5.3)	1-0	26	20	20-62 (3.2)	43-27-324 (7.5)	63-386 (6.1)	0-1	0

NY JETS: 76-53 UNDER after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992....15-32 ATS off a road loss against a division rival since 1992....4-14 ATS off a road cover where the team lost as an underdog since 1992....82-111 ATS after playing their last game on the road since 1992....13-4 ATS after being outgained by 100 or more total yds 2 consecutive games since 1992.

PHILADELPHIA: 37-15 UNDER at home in October games since 1992....55-30 ATS off 2 or more consecutive overs since 1992....16-4 UNDER at home after 2 straight games where 50 total points or more were scored since 1992....39-17 UNDER after a playing a game where 60 total points or more were scored since 1992....7-0 UNDER vs. mistake prone teams - 60+ penalty yards per game over the L3 seasons.

Betting System: Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - after a loss by 14 or more points against opponent after a playing a game where 60 total points or more were scored. (33-9 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: PHILADELPHIA is 1-0 ATS (1-0 SU) vs. NY JETS (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: PHILADELPHIA 30, NY JETS 18

/4CE) TAMBA DAV (CIL- 2.2 ATC- 2.2) -+ (4CC) NEW ODL FANC (4) (CIL- 2.4 ATC- 2.2) - Consider Addition Attack

(400) TAINPA BAT	(30: 2-2	΄, Αι	I 3: Z-	2) at			: 3-1, A13: Z-2	2) - 3	unday,	10/0	0/2019 1:00 P				
					1	Team Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
TAMPA BAY	+1.5	22	31	22	30-113 (3.8)	35-22-274 (7.9)	65-387 (6.0)	0-1	29	22	20-59 (2.9)	45-28-316 (7.0)	65-375 (5.8)	1-1	+3
NEW ORLEANS	-2.0	23	21	18	23-102 (4.5)	34-24-219 (6.5)	57-321 (5.6)	0-1	23	21	25-112 (4.5)	35-23-279 (7.9)	60-391 (6.5)	1-0	+2

TAMPA BAY: 0-9 ATS off 2 or more consecutive overs over the L3 seasons....7-0 OVER away off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons....0-7 ATS after allowing 275 or more passing yards 3 straight games since 1992....7-0 OVER away after gaining 300 or more passing yards in last game over the L3 seasons....42-20 UNDER after a win by 14 or more points since 1992....243-198 UNDER in all lined games since 1992.

NEW ORLEANS: 12-2 ATS after 2 or more consecutive wins against the spread over the L3 seasons....8-0 ATS in weeks 5 through 9 over the L3 seasons....7-0 ATS in October games over the L3 seasons....24-8 ATS vs. dominant ball control teams, 32+ minutes TOP, 21+ FD's per game since 1992....35-54 ATS at home versus division opponents since 1992....113-85 OVER off 1 or more consecutive unders since 1992.

Betting System: Road teams where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - off an big road win scoring 31 or more points. (30-6 Under) Play = Under the total Series History - Last 5 Seasons: NEW ORLEANS is 4-6 ATS (6-4 SU) vs. TAMPA BAY (2-3 ATS, 3-2 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: NEW ORLEANS 24, TAMPA BAY 22

(467) ATI ANTA (SII: 1-3 ATS: 1-3) at (468) HOUSTON (-5) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 1:00 PM

(401) AILANIA (00	0, ,		. 0, 0	(Team Offense	0. 2 2, Gain	.u.y, .	0,0,20			Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
ATLANTA	-7.3	24	18	24	18-70 (4.0)	44-31-312 (7.1)	62-382 (6.2)	0-2	25	22	29-110 (3.7)	30-20-215 (7.1)	59-325 (5.5)	0-0	-5
HOUSTON	0.0	22	20	19	24-120 (5.1)	32-20-209 (6.6)	56-329 (5.9)	0-0	20	20	22-104 (4.8)	39-28-259 (6.6)	61-363 (6.0)	1-0	+2

ATLANTA: 0-10 ATS in non-conference games over the L3 seasons....11-35 ATS after gaining 375 or more total yards in 2 consecutive games since 1992....1-8 ATS after gaining 300 or more passing yards in last game over the L2 seasons....6-14 ATS in all lined games over the L2 seasons....5-15 ATS in road lined games over the L3 seasons....3-11 ATS away when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L3 seasons.

HOUSTON: 17-5 OVER off 3 or more consecutive unders since 1992....8-1 UNDER at home against NFC South division opponents since 1992....21-9 OVER at home off 2 or more consecutive unders since 1992....13-28 ATS versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=375 yards/game since 1992....Bill O'Brien is 20-10 UNDER as a home favorite of 7 points or less as coach of HOUSTON.

Betting System: Road teams - excellent passing team (>=265 PY/game) against a poor passing defense (230-265 PY/game), after allowing 7 or more passing yards/attempt in 2 straight games. (33-8 ATS) Play = ATLANTA against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: HOUSTON is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. ATLANTA (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: HOUSTON 25, ATLANTA 22

(469) BUFFALO (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) at (470) TENNESSEE (-2.5) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 1:00 PM

,	•	•			•	1	Team Offense	· ,		-			Team Defense			
	PI	Dif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
BUFFALO	+3	.3	18	19	24	29-147 (5.0)	37-22-240 (6.5)	66-387 (5.9)	1-2	16	16	21-84 (4.1)	40-23-196 (4.9)	61-280 (4.6)	1-1	-2
TENNESSEE	+7	.3	20	23	20	28-119 (4.2)	30-18-203 (6.8)	58-322 (5.6)	0-0	16	19	23-104 (4.6)	37-24-233 (6.3)	60-337 (5.6)	0-1	+5

BUFFALO: 22-45 ATS away after covering the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992....8-22 ATS away after covering the spread in 3 out of their last 4 games since 1992....10-2 UNDER in the first half of the season over the L2 seasons....103-71 UNDER in games where the line is +3 to -3 since 1992....31-15 UNDER as a road underdog of 3 points or less since 1992.

TENNESSEE: 56-31 OVER in a home game where the total is between 35.5 and 42 points since 1992....40-20 OVER in a home game where the total is between 38.5 and 42 points since 1992....36-17 OVER at home vs. poor punt return teams, less than 7.5 yards per return since 1992....40-20 OVER vs. excellent ball control teams, 32 or more possession minutes/game since 1992....102-76 OVER in home lined games since 1992.

Betting System: Any team - after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers against opponent after a game with a turnover margin of -3 or worse. (68-33 ATS) Play = TENNESSEE against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: TENNESSEE is 0-2 ATS (0-2 SU) vs. BUFFALO (0-1 ATS, 0-1 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: TENNESSEE 21, BUFFALO 18

(471) DENVER (SU: 0-4, ATS: 1-3) at (472) LA CHARGERS (-6.5) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 4:05 PM

						eam Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
DENVER	-5.8	19	18	21	25-100 (4.0)	37-24-249 (6.7)	62-349 (5.6)	0-1	23	20	30-149 (5.1)	29-18-200 (7.0)	59-349 (5.9)	0-0	-5
LA CHARGERS	+4.0	17	22	23	24-104 (4.3)	37-26-300 (8.2)	61-404 (6.6)	1-0	18	18	24-102 (4.2)	29-21-229 (8.0)	53-331 (6.2)	0-1	-1

DENVER: 9-0 UNDER versus good offensive teams - averaging >=350 yards/game over the L2 seasons....11-1 UNDER vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 61% or better. over the L2 seasons....0-9 ATS against teams who force 1 or less turnovers/game on the season over the L3 seasons....11-1 UNDER versus good offensive teams - averaging >=5.65 yards/play over the L2 seasons.

LA CHARGERS: 8-0 UNDER at home after 1 or more consecutive wins over the L3 seasons....104-65 UNDER at home against conference opponents since 1992....14-3 UNDER at home after gaining 275 or more passing yards in 3 straight games since 1992....17-5 UNDER after 1 or more consecutive wins over the L3 seasons....10-2 UNDER off a road win over the L3 seasons.

Betting System: Road teams - outrushed by their opponents by 40 or more yards/game on the season, after being outrushed by 100 or more yards last game. (23-4 ATS) Play = DENVER against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: LA CHARGERS is 2-5 ATS (2-7 SU) vs. DENVER (1-3 ATS, 1-3 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: LA CHARGERS 26, DENVER 17

(473) GREEN BAY (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) at (474) DALLAS (-3.5) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 4:25 PM

,	`	•		•	` ′ 1	Team Offense	,		,			Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
GREEN BAY	+4.0	23	21	20	24-86 (3.5)	36-23-252 (6.9)	60-338 (5.6)	1-0	17	19	28-142 (5.0)	33-19-188 (5.7)	61-330 (5.4)	1-1	+4
DALLAS	+12.8	14	27	23	30-146 (4.9)	32-23-280 (8.8)	62-426 (6.9)	0-1	14	20	21-97 (4.6)	39-26-222 (5.7)	60-319 (5.3)	1-0	-1

GREEN BAY: 15-2 OVER after playing a game at home over the L3 seasons....27-9 OVER away off a home loss since 1992....60-28 OVER after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games since 1992....67-38 OVER vs. poor punt return teams, less than 7.5 yards per return since 1992....27-10 OVER away versus good rushing teams - averaging >=130 rushing yards/game since 1992.

DALLAS: 57-22 OVER at home vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 61% or better. since 1992....30-10 OVER at home against NFC North division opponents since 1992....36-20 ATS at home after having won 3 out of their last 4 games since 1992....30-15 OVER at home vs. poor kickoff coverage teams, allowing >= 24 yards per return since 1992....Jason Garrett is 35-47 ATS as a favorite as coach of DALLAS.

Betting System: Underdogs of 3.5 to 10 points - poor rushing team (70-95 RY/game) against a team with an average rushing defense (95-125 RY/game), after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games. (20-51 ATS) Play = DALLAS against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: DALLAS is 2-3 ATS (1-4 SU) vs. GREEN BAY (0-2 ATS, 0-2 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: DALLAS 26, GREEN BAY 20

(475) INDIANAPOLIS (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) at (476) KANSAS CITY (-10) (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) - Sunday, 10/6/2019 8:20 PM

,	•	•		•	` 1	Team Offense	ŕ	•				Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
INDIANAPOLIS	-2.0	20	24	24	28-132 (4.6)	34-22-215 (6.2)	62-347 (5.6)	0-0	26	22	24-132 (5.5)	32-24-230 (7.3)	56-362 (6.5)	0-0	0
KANSAS CITY	+10.3	19	34	26	25-102 (4.1)	39-26-372 (9.5)	64-474 (7.4)	1-0	24	24	26-150 (5.9)	37-23-259 (7.0)	63-409 (6.5)	1-1	+2

INDIANAPOLIS: 31-8 OVER away off an upset loss as a favorite since 1992....40-15 OVER away in October games since 1992....31-10 OVER off an upset loss as a home favorite since 1992....39-14 OVER away after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games since 1992....42-17 OVER away in weeks 5 through 9 since 1992....41-19 OVER off an upset loss as a favorite since 1992....133-98 OVER in road lined games since 1992...

KANSAS CITY: 0-10 ATS after gaining 450 or more total yards/game over their last 3 games since 1992....1-11 ATS after gaining 400 or more total yards in 3 consecutive games since 1992....16-4 ATS in the first half of the season over the L3 seasons....29-11 ATS after allowing 25 points or more in 2 straight games since 1992....3-13 ATS after gaining 450 or more total yards/game over their last 2 games since 1992.

Betting System: Favorites - in a game involving two poor defensive teams (23-27 PPG), after 2 straight games where 50 total points or more were scored. (34-10 ATS) Play = KANSAS CITY against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: KANSAS CITY is 2-0 ATS (2-0 SU) vs. INDIANAPOLIS (1-0 ATS, 1-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: KANSAS CITY 30, INDIANAPOLIS 25

(477) CLEVELAND (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) at (478) SAN FRANCISCO (-3) (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-1) - Monday, 10/7/2019 8:15 PM

() •=======	(-,		,		eam Offense		,		., .		Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
CLEVELAND	-0.5	20	22	19	24-115 (4.9)	35-20-265 (7.6)	59-380 (6.4)	0-2	23	20	26-120 (4.6)	32-21-216 (6.8)	58-336 (5.8)	1-1	+1
SAN FRANCISCO	+14.0	19	32	23	38-175 (4.6)	28-20-246 (8.7)	66-421 (6.4)	1-1	18	15	22-75 (3.4)	35-20-208 (6.0)	57-283 (5.0)	1-2	-1
OLEVELAND 40.04	4.70						,				11 0 1111555				

CLEVELAND: 13-34 ATS versus good rushing defenses - allowing <=3.5 rushing yards/carry since 1992....11-2 UNDER off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons....1-9 ATS away off a win by 10 points or more over a division rival since 1992....75-52 UNDER in games where the line is +3 to -3 since 1992....57-35 UNDER in non-conference games since 1992....9-21 ATS after a win by 14 or more points since 1992.

SAN FRANCISCO: 32-11 ATS when playing on Monday night since 1992....17-5 UNDER at home vs. excellent passing teams averaging 7.5 or more passing yards/att. since 1992....8-1 OVER off a non-conference game over the L3 seasons....32-16 UNDER at home vs. good passing teams averaging 7 or more passing yards/att. since 1992....18-6 UNDER at home versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=375 yards/game since 1992.

Betting System: Road underdogs or pick - off a upset win by 14 points or more as an underdog, with a winning percentage of between 45-55% on the season. (10-33 ATS) Play = SAN FRANCISCO against the spread

Series History – Last 5 Seasons: SAN FRANCISCO is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. CLEVELAND (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: SAN FRANCISCO 24, CLEVELAND 24

Top NFL Statistical Edges - Week 5

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

OFFENSIVE STATISTICS		DEFENSIVE STATISTICS		OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS	
Points Scored (PPG)	W/L		W/L	Scoring Differential (PPG)	W/L
1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +17.4	111/2	1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +22.8	WIL	1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +36.8	*****
2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +16.5		2. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +14.3		2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +20.8	
3. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +14.0		3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +8.5		3. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CLE): +14.5	
4. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +10.3		4. NEW ORLEANS (vs TB): +6.3		4. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +13.6	
5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +9.8		5. HOUSTON (vs ATL): +5.3		5. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +12.3	
6. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CLE): +9.8		6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +4.8		6. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +11.0	
7. DALLAS (vs GB): +5.5		7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CLE): +4.8		7. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +9.8	
7. 57 (EE) (0 (05)). 10.0		1: 0/41114 410.000 (10 022). 1:0		The Committee (10 Berty, 10.0	
Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +141.8		1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +86.3		1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +187.6	
2. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CLE): +60.0		2. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +53.0		2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +128.3	
3. DALLAS (vs GB): +59.3		3. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +47.3		3. DALLAS (vs GB): +104.8	
4. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +53.1		4. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +45.8		4. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CLE): +104.8	
5. ARIZONA (vs CIN): +50.0		5. DALLAS (vs GB): +45.5		5. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +79.8	
6. HOUSTON (vs ATL): +50.0		6. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CLE): +44.8		6. ARIZONA (vs CIN): +72.2	
7. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +42.0		7. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +43.0		7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +63.8	
		[h			
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)	W/L
1. ARIZONA (vs CIN): +2.2		1. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +1.6		1. ARIZONA (vs CIN): +2.4	
2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.1		2. SAN FRANCISCO (vs CLE): +1.2		2. JACKSONVILLE (vs CAR): +2.2	
3. OAKLAND (vs CHI): +1.5		3. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +1.2		3. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9	
4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.4		4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +1.0		4. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +1.6	
5. JACKSONVILLE (vs CAR): +1.4		5. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +1.0		5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +1.5	
6. HOUSTON (vs ATL): +1.2		6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +0.9		6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +1.4	
7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +1.1		7. JACKSONVILLE (vs CAR): +0.8		7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.3	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3	W/L
	W/L	, ,	W/L		W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +1.4	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +0.9	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.0	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4 Total Yards Gained (TYPG)		1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +0.9		1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.0	
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +1.4 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +213.2	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +0.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +156.3	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.0 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +259.7	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +1.4 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +213.2 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +161.1	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +0.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +156.3 2. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +94.0	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.0 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +259.7 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +222.8	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +1.4 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +213.2 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +161.1 3. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +126.8	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +0.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +156.3 2. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +94.0 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +78.8	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.0 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +259.7 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +222.8 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +150.7	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +1.4 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +213.2 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +161.1 3. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +126.8 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +87.5	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +0.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +156.3 2. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +94.0 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +78.8 4. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +76.5	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.0 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +259.7 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +222.8 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +150.7 4. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +122.0	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +1.4 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +213.2 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +161.1 3. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +126.8 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +87.5 5. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +79.0	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +0.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +156.3 2. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +94.0 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +78.8 4. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +76.5 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +56.3	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.0 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +259.7 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +222.8 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +150.7 4. BUFFALO (vs GB): +99.3	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +157.5 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +108.0 3. NY GIANTS (vs MIN): +105.3 4. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +103.5 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +74.1 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +71.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +54.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.3 2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.2 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.2 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +1.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +1.6 6. LA CHARGERS (vs DEN): +1.4 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +1.4 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +213.2 2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +161.1 3. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +126.8 4. DALLAS (vs GB): +87.5	W/L	1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +110.0 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +70.0 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +61.0 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +51.0 5. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +43.8 6. NY JETS (vs PHI): +37.1 7. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +37.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +3.1 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +2.9 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.9 4. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +2.1 5. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +1.4 6. DENVER (vs SD): +1.0 7. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +0.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +156.3 2. CHICAGO (vs OAK): +94.0 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +78.8 4. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +76.5	W/L	1. ATLANTA (vs HOU): +147.3 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +129.3 3. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +122.0 4. CINCINNATI (vs ARZ): +106.8 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +94.5 6. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +74.3 7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +72.1 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +3.7 2. KANSAS CITY (vs IND): +3.5 3. MINNESOTA (vs NYG): +2.8 4. CAROLINA (vs JAC): +2.5 5. TAMPA BAY (vs NO): +2.3 6. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +2.0 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +259.7 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs WAS): +222.8 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYJ): +150.7 4. BUFFALO (vs TEN): +122.0	W/L

Statfox PRESENTS THE WEEK'S STAFF PICKS

WEEK 6 COLLEGE FOOTI		StatFox TIM	StatFox GARY	StatFox SCOTT	StatFox BRIAN	StatFox FORECASTER	StatFox CONSENSUS
(BB) = BEST BET	LINE						
Temple at East Carolina	+12	TEMPLE	TEMPLE	EAST CAROLINA	EAST CAROLINA	TEMPLE	TEMPLE
Central Florida at Cincinnati	+4½	UCF (BB)	CINCINNATI	UCF	UCF	CINCINNATI	UCF
Boston College at Louisville	-4½	LOUISVILLE	LOUISVILLE (BB)	BOSTON COLLEGE	LOUISVILLE	BOSTON COLLEGE	LOUISVILLE
• Texas at West Virginia	+11½	TEXAS	WEST VIRGINIA	WEST VIRGINIA	TEXAS	WEST VIRGINIA	WEST VIRGINIA
• Purdue at Penn State	-25½	PENN STATE	PENN STATE	PURDUE	PENN STATE	PENN STATE	PENN STATE
• Baylor at Kansas State	-2	BAYLOR (BB)	KANSAS STATE	KANSAS STATE	BAYLOR (BB)	KANSAS STATE	KANSAS STATE
• Oklahoma State at Texas Tech	+8½	TEXAS TECH	OKLAHOMA ST	TEXAS TECH (BB)	OKLAHOMA ST	OKLAHOMA ST	OKLAHOMA ST
• Virginia Tech at Miami	-13½	MIAMI (BB)	MIAMI (BB)	VIRGINIA TECH	VIRGINIA TECH	MIAMI	MIAMI
• Arizona at Colorado	-2	ARIZONA	COLORADO (BB)	COLORADO	COLORADO (BB)	ARIZONA	COLORADO
• California at Oregon	-15½	OREGON	CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA (BB)	OREGON	CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA
Washington at Stanford	+14½	WASHINGTON	STANFORD (BB)	STANFORD (BB)	WASHINGTON	STANFORD	STANFORD
• lowa at Michigan	-4½	MICHIGAN (BB)	IOWA	MICHIGAN	IOWA	IOWA	IOWA
• Illinois at Minnesota	-13½	MINNESOTA	ILLINOIS	MINNESOTA	ILLINOIS (BB)	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA
• Northwestern at Nebraska	-7	NORTHWESTERN	NORTHWESTERN	NEBRASKA (BB)	NORTHWESTERN	NEBRASKA	NORTHWESTERN
Georgia at Tennessee	+25½	GEORGIA	TENNESSEE	GEORGIA	GEORGIA (BB)	TENNESSEE	GEORGIA
• Oklahoma at Kansas	+35½	OKLAHOMA	KANSAS (BB)	KANSAS	OKLAHOMA	KANSAS	KANSAS
• Texas Christian at Iowa State	-4	TCU	IOWA STATE	IOWA STATE (BB)	TCU	IOWA STATE	IOWA STATE
Vanderbilt at Ole Miss	-7½	OLE MISS (BB)	OLE MISS	VANDERBILT	OLE MISS (BB)	VANDERBILT	OLE MISS
• Auburn at Florida	+2½	FLORIDA	AUBURN	FLORIDA	AUBURN	FLORIDA	FLORIDA
Michigan State at Ohio State	-20½	OHIO STATE	OHIO STATE	MICHIGAN ST	OHIO STATE	MICHIGAN ST	OHIO STATE

Featured Matchups	W	L	Т	Pct	Last Week	Best Bets	W	L	Т	Pct	Last Week
StatFox Consensus	51	45	0	53.1%	10-10	StatFox Brian	14	11	0	56.0%	4-
StatFox Brian	50	46	0	52.1%	11-9	StatFox Gary	14	11	0	56.0%	3-2
StatFox Scott	50	46	0	52.1%	8-12	StatFox Scott	13	12	0	52.0%	1-4
StatFox Tim	49	47	0	51.0%	12-8	StatFox Tim	11	14	0	44.0%	4-
StatFox Gary	49	47	0	51.0%	11-9						
StatFox Forecaster	47	49	0	49.0%	7-13						

College Football 2019 - Week #6

Thursday,	Octo	obe	r 03,	20	19	
7:30 PM						
303 GA SOUTHERN	-13	-9	-11.5			
304 S ALABAMA						
8:00 PM						
305 TEMPLE	-13	-16	-12			
306 E CAROLINA						
Friday, O	ctok	er (04, 2	201	9	
8:00 PM						
307 UCF	-3	-3	-4.5			
308 CINCINNATI						
10:00 PM						
309 NEW MEXICO						
310 SAN JOSE ST	-7	-6	-6.5			
Saturday, (Octo	be	r 05,	20	19	
12:00 PM						
311 S FLORIDA	-11	-12	-11			
312 CONNECTICUT						
12:30 PM						
313 BOSTON COLLEGE		-8				
314 LOUISVILLE	-4.5		-4.5			
4:00 PM						
315 N CAROLINA	-8	-4	-8.5			
316 GEORGIA TECH						
3:30 PM						
317 TEXAS	-10	-1	-11.5			
318 W VIRGINIA						
12:00 PM						
319 PURDUE						
320 PENN ST	-24	-27	-25.5			
3:30 PM						
321 BALL ST						
322 N ILLINOIS	-6.5	-10	-4			
12:00 PM						
323 TULANE	-1		-3			
324 ARMY		-7				
8:00 PM						
325 PITTSBURGH						
326 DUKE	-6.5	-13	-6.5			

College Football 2019 - Week #6

College Football 2						
Saturday, (Octo	be	r 05	, 20	19	
3:30 PM						
327 BAYLOR						
328 KANSAS ST	-3.5	-10	-2			
12:00 PM						
329 MARYLAND	-13.5	-11	-15			
330 RUTGERS						
12:00 PM						
331 OKLAHOMA ST	-8	-7	-8.5			
332 TEXAS TECH						
12:00 PM						
333 KENT ST						
334 WISCONSIN	-35.5	-38	-36.5			
12:00 PM						
335 E MICHIGAN	-5	-6	-5			
336 C MICHIGAN						
3:30 PM						
337 VIRGINIA TECH						
338 MIAMI	-12.5	-18	-14			
4:00 PM						
339 TROY						
340 MISSOURI	-25.5	-24	-25.5			
8:00 PM						
341 LIBERTY	-5	-7	-6.5			
342 NEW MEXICO ST						
4:30 PM						
343 ARIZONA						
344 COLORADO	-3	-4	-2			
10:00 PM						
345 SAN DIEGO ST	-6	-3	-7			
346 COLORADO ST						
9:00 PM						
347 OREGON ST						
348 UCLA	-8.5	-12	-7			
8:00 PM		1	ı			
349 CALIFORNIA						
350 OREGON	-16.5	-16	-15.5			
10:30 PM						
351 WASHINGTON	-13	-6	-14.5			
352 STANFORD						
L		•				

College Football 2019 - Week #6

Coturday (2040	ha	· OF	20	40	
Saturday, C	JCIC	bei	r UĐ	, 20	19	
7:30 PM						
353 TULSA						
354 SMU	-13	-17	-13			
12:00 PM			ı			1
355 IOWA						
356 MICHIGAN	-5	-3	-4.5			
3:30 PM						
357 ILLINOIS						
358 MINNESOTA	-14	-17	-13.5			
7:00 PM						
359 RICE						
360 UAB	-10	-18	-9.5			
4:00 PM						
361 NORTHWESTERN						
362 NEBRASKA	-6.5	-2	-7			
7:00 PM						
363 GEORGIA	-24.5	-27	-25.5			
364 TENNESSEE						
3:30 PM						
365 AIR FORCE	-2		-2			
366 NAVY		-1				
3:30 PM						
367 W MICHIGAN						
368 TOLEDO	-4	-12	-2			
12:00 PM						
369 OKLAHOMA	-34	-29	-35.5			
370 KANSAS						
3:30 PM						
371 OHIO U	-1.5	-4	-2.5			
372 BUFFALO						
12:00 PM						
373 TCU						
374 IOWA ST	-3	-2	-4			
7:30 PM						
375 VANDERBILT						
376 OLE MISS	-6.5	-4	-7.5			
3:30 PM						
377 MARSHALL	-6.5	-1	-6.5			
378 MIDDLE TENN ST						

College Football 2019 - Week #6

Saturday,	Octo	bei	r 05	, 20	19	
3:30 PM						
379 BOWLING GREEN						
380 NOTRE DAME	-45	-48	-45			
3:30 PM						
381 ARKANSAS ST	-7	-13	-7.5			
382 GEORGIA ST						
6:00 PM			•			
383 W KENTUCKY	-3	-1	-3			
384 OLD DOMINION						
3:30 PM						
385 AUBURN	-2.5	-1	-2.5			
386 FLORIDA						
7:00 PM					•	
387 MASSACHUSETTS	3					
388 FLA INT'L	-26	-23	-27			
7:00 PM						
389 MEMPHIS	-13.5	-17	-14			
390 LA MONROE						
7:30 PM						
391 MICHIGAN ST						
392 OHIO ST	-20	-27	-20.5			
12:00 PM		•				
393 UTAH ST						
394 LSU	-23.5	-15	-26			
8:00 PM						
395 UTSA	-2		-2			
396 UTEP		-5				
10:30 PM						
397 BOISE ST	-21	-21	-21.5			
398 UNLV						_
	•		-			-

StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines - Week 6

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

Thu - 10/3,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 10/3,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 10/4,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge V	V/L
303 GA SOUTHERN	-13	24	-7			305 TEMPLE	-13	36	-17	4		307 UCF	-3	51	-3		
304 S ALABAMA		17		6		306 E CAROLINA		19				308 CINCINNATI		48		0	
Fri - 10/4,10:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge V	V/L
309 NEW MEXICO		15		2		311 S FLORIDA	-11	21	-12	1		313 BOSTON COLLEGE		37		2.5	
310 SAN JOSE ST	-7	20	-5			312 CONNECTICUT		9				314 LOUISVILLE	-4.5	29			
Sat - 10/5,4:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dae V	V/L
315 N CAROLINA	-8	38	-2			317 TEXAS	-10	50				319 PURDUE		35		Ĭ	
316 GEORGIA TECH		36		6		318 W VIRGINIA		50	0	10		320 PENN ST	-24	65	-30	6	
Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge V	V/L
321 BALL ST		21				323 TULANE	-1	38				325 PITTSBURGH		40			
322 N ILLINOIS	-6.5	30	-9	2.5		324 ARMY		44	-6	7		326 DUKE	-6.5	51	-11 4	1.5	
Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge V	V/L
327 BAYLOR		39				329 MARYLAND	-13.5	35	-11			331 OKLAHOMA ST	-8	48	-7		\neg
328 KANSAS ST	-3.5	49	-10	6.5		330 RUTGERS		24		2.5		332 TEXAS TECH		41		1	
Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dge V	V/L
333 KENT ST		21				335 E MICHIGAN	-5	29	-7	2		337 VIRGINIA TECH		30		3-	
334 WISCONSIN	-35.5	57	-36	0.5		336 C MICHIGAN		22				338 MIAMI	-12.5	49	-19	6.5	
Sat - 10/5,4:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,4:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dae V	V/L
339 TROY	0_	31		0.5		341 LIBERTY	-5	22	-8	3		343 ARIZONA		34		1	
340 MISSOURI	-25.5	56	-25			342 NEW MEXICO ST		14				344 COLORADO	-3	36	-2		
Sat - 10/5,10:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,9:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dae V	V/L
345 SAN DIEGO ST	-6	32	-5	Luge	***	347 OREGON ST	OL	24	I IXL	Luge	,_	349 CALIFORNIA	OL	37	I IXL L	uge	
346 COLORADO ST		27	Ť	1		348 UCLA	-8.5	35	-11	2.5		350 OREGON	-16.5	_	-17 ().5	
Sat - 10/5,10:30 PM	OL	DR	DRI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 10/5,7:30 PM	OL	DR	DRI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	DR	PRL E	Vanh	V/I
351 WASHINGTON	-13	51	-6	Luge	**/_	353 TULSA	OL	27	FNL	Luge	VV/L	355 IOWA	OL	52		uye v	1/1
352 STANFORD	10	45		7		354 SMU	-13	43	-16	3		356 MICHIGAN	-5	56	-4	Ė	
Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,4:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dae V	V/L
357 ILLINOIS	OL	26	I IXL	Luge	***	359 RICE	OL	17	I IXL	Luge	,_	361 NORTHWESTERN	OL	40		7.5	
358 MINNESOTA	-14	42	-16	2		360 UAB	-10	39	-22	12		362 NEBRASKA	-6.5	39			
Sat - 10/5,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dae V	V/L
363 GEORGIA	-24.5		-27			365 AIR FORCE	-2	36		Lugo		367 W MICHIGAN		29		ugo .	
364 TENNESSEE		33				366 NAVY		39	-3	5		368 TOLEDO	-4	41	-12	8	
Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5.12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dae V	V/L
369 OKLAHOMA	-34	57	-28			371 OHIO U	-1.5	36	-3	1.5		373 TCU		45		1	_
370 KANSAS		29		6		372 BUFFALO		33				374 IOWA ST	-3	47	-2		
Sat - 10/5,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dae V	V/L
375 VANDERBILT	-	33		3.5		377 MARSHALL	-6.5		-1			379 BOWLING GREEN		9			
376 OLE MISS	-6.5	36	-3			378 MIDDLE TENN ST		30		5.5		380 NOTRE DAME	-45	58	-49	4	
Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,6:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL E	dae V	V/L
381 ARKANSAS ST	-7	32	-16			383 W KENTUCKY	-3	22		Lage		385 AUBURN	-2.5	57	-1	-9-	
382 GEORGIA ST		16				384 OLD DOMINION		22	0	3		386 FLORIDA		56		1.5	
Sat - 10/5,7:00 PM	OL	PP	PPI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 10/5,7:00 PM	OL	PP	PPI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 10/5,7:30 PM	OL	PP	PRL E	dge V	V/I
387 MASSACHUSETTS	JL	7	I INL	4	J.7/L	389 MEMPHIS	-13.5			4.5	/-	391 MICHIGAN ST	OL	47	. IXL E	uge 1	
388 FLA INTERNATION	-26	29	-22			390 LA MONROE		24				392 OHIO ST	-20	74	-27	7	
Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OI	DD	DDI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 10/5,8:00 PM	OI	DD	DDI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 10/5 10:20 DM	OI		PRL E	dac M	V/I
393 UTAH ST	OL	45	rki	7.5	44/L	395 UTSA	OL -2	7	FKL	⊏uge	VV/L	Sat - 10/5,10:30 PM 397 BOISE ST	OL -21	43		age v	·/L
394 LSU	-23.5		-16			396 UTEP		11	-4	6		398 UNLV		20	20	_	\neg

TOP STATFOX POWER RATING

1. BOSTON COLLEGE (+4.5) over LOUISVILLE
3. W VIRGINIA (+10) over TEXAS

5. TOLEDO (-4) over W MICHIGAN

13 2. UAB (-10) over RICE 10 4. ARKANSAS ST (-7) over

8

4. ARKANSAS ST (-7) over GEORGIA ST 6. UTAH ST (+23.5) over LSU 9

Statfox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 5

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Lin

opening into to denoted for	tile tet	uiii w	idi dik	, ougc	. 000	the W/L column to keep that	ok or u	10 1111	111010	una locció.	OFL - Calculated	Outp	lay F	acto	or Lii	ne
Thu - 10/3,7:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 10/3,8:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Fri - 10/4,8:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
303 GA SOUTHERN	-13	21	-6			305 TEMPLE	-13	24	-7		307 UCF	-3	37			
304 S ALABAMA		15		7.0		306 E CAROLINA		17		6.0	308 CINCINNATI		41	-4	7.0	
Fri - 10/4,10:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,8:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
309 NEW MEXICO		9		Ť		311 S FLORIDA	-11	12			349 CALIFORNIA		32		0.5	
310 SAN JOSE ST	-7	19	-10	3.0		312 CONNECTICUT		16	-4	15.0	350 OREGON	-16.5	48	-16		
Sat - 10/5,10:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,7:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edae	W/L
351 WASHINGTON	-13	42	-9			353 TULSA		31			355 IOWA		43		1.0	
352 STANFORD		33		4.0		354 SMU	-13	46	-15	2.0	356 MICHIGAN	-5	47	-4		
Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,4:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
357 ILLINOIS		26	-	11.0		359 RICE		26		4.0	361 NORTHWESTERN		28	V	g.	
358 MINNESOTA	-14	29	-3			360 UAB	-10	32	-6		362 NEBRASKA	-6.5	35	-7	0.5	
Sat - 10/5,7:00 PM	OL	OF	lΩEI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	ΩE	ΛFI	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/I
363 GEORGIA	-24.5	46	-27	2.5	VV/L	365 AIR FORCE	-2	32	OFL	Euge W/L	367 W MICHIGAN	OL	28	-3	7.0	VV/L
364 TENNESSEE	24.0	19	-	2.0		366 NAVY		45	-13	15.0	368 TOLEDO	-4	25	Ŭ	7.0	
			lor.	F.J.,	1A//I									OF!	Edua.	\A//I
Sat - 10/5,8:00 PM 341 LIBERTY	OL -5	OF 22	-13	Edge 8.0	VV/L	Sat - 10/5,4:30 PM 343 ARIZONA	OL	OF 29	UFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,10:00 PM 345 SAN DIEGO ST	OL -6	OF 26	OFL -3	Eage	VV/L
342 NEW MEXICO ST	-o	9	-13	0.0		344 COLORADO	-3	36	-7	4.0	346 COLORADO ST	-0	23	-ა	3.0	\vdash
Sat - 10/5,9:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:30 PM	OL		OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,4:00 PM	OL		OFL	_	W/L
347 OREGON ST 348 UCLA	-8.5	23	-8	0.5	┢	313 BOSTON COLLEGE 314 LOUISVILLE	-4.5	21 29	-8	2.5	315 N CAROLINA 316 GEORGIA TECH	-8	39	-16	8.0	H
	-0.3	31			<u> </u>		-4.3	29	-0	3.5		<u></u>	23			Ш
Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL		_	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL		OFL		W/L
317 TEXAS	-10	51	-16	6.0	Ш	319 PURDUE	0.4	20	47	20.0	321 BALL ST	0.5	24	-9	15.5	Ш
318 W VIRGINIA		35			_	320 PENN ST	-24	67	-47	23.0	322 N ILLINOIS	-6.5	15			Ш
Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,8:00 PM	OL			Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L
323 TULANE	-1	34	-6	5.0	Ш	325 PITTSBURGH		39	-3	9.5	327 BAYLOR	<u> </u>	35			
324 ARMY		28			Ш	326 DUKE	-6.5	36			328 KANSAS ST	-3.5	41	-6	2.5	
Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
329 MARYLAND	-13.5	32	-16	2.5		331 OKLAHOMA ST	-8	41	-10	2.0	333 KENT ST		26			
330 RUTGERS		16				332 TEXAS TECH		31			334 WISCONSIN	-35.5	62	-36	0.5	
Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,4:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
335 E MICHIGAN	-5	28	-4			337 VIRGINIA TECH		22		1.5	339 TROY		21		1.5	
336 C MICHIGAN		24		1.0		338 MIAMI	-12.5	33	-11		340 MISSOURI	-25.5	45	-24		
Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
369 OKLAHOMA	-34	44	-15	Ť		371 OHIO U	-1.5	21			373 TCU		41			
370 KANSAS		29		19.0		372 BUFFALO		28	-7	8.5	374 IOWA ST	-3	53	-12	9.0	
Sat - 10/5.7:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edae	W/L	Sat - 10/5.3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edae	W/L
375 VANDERBILT		21		9-		377 MARSHALL	-6.5	20	-		387 MASSACHUSETTS		-2		2.0	
376 OLE MISS	-6.5	34	-13	6.5		378 MIDDLE TENN ST		20	0	6.5	388 FLA INTERNATIONA	-26	22	-24		
Sat - 10/5,7:00 PM	OL	ΩF	OFI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 10/5,7:30 PM	OL	ΩF	OFI	Edge W/L	Sat - 10/5,12:00 PM	OL	ΩF	OFL	Edge	W/I
389 MEMPHIS	-13.5	38		5.5	VV/L	391 MICHIGAN ST	OL	46	OI L	Luge W/L	393 UTAH ST	OL	32	OI L	6.5	**/_
390 LA MONROE	10.0	19		0.0	М	392 OHIO ST	-20	75	-29	9.0	394 LSU	-23.5	49	-17	0.0	
Sat - 10/5.8:00 PM		ΛE	loei.	Edaa	\A//I	Cot 40/5 2:20 DM	ΔI	ΛE		Edge W/L	Cat 40/5 2:20 DM	Lou	ΛE	loei l	Edas	\A//I
395 UTSA	OL -2	7		Edge 1.0	VV/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM 379 BOWLING GREEN	OL	U F	OLL	Euge W/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM 381 ARKANSAS ST	OL -7	33	OFL	12.0	
396 UTEP	-2	4	-5	1.0	H	380 NOTRE DAME	-45	60	-59	14.0	382 GEORGIA ST	-/	14	-13	12.0	Н
			רבי	C-1	10//									OF	Cal a	10///
Sat - 10/5,6:00 PM 383 W KENTUCKY	OL -3	22	-3	Edge	VV/L	Sat - 10/5,3:30 PM 385 AUBURN	OL -2.5	58		Edge W/L 14.5	Sat - 10/5,10:30 PM 397 BOISE ST	OL -21	37	OFL -29	Eage 8.0	VV/L
384 OLD DOMINION	-3	19	-3	0.0	H	386 FLORIDA	-2.0	41	-11	17.0	398 UNLV	-21	8	-23	0.0	H
					V AE				00				Ť		40	
TOP STATFOX OUTP						-24) over PURDUE +6.5) over N ILLINOIS			23 16		ISAS (+34) over OKLAHOI /Y (+2) over AIR FORCE	IΑ			19 15	
FACTOR RATING ED	GES:					TCUT (+11) over S FLORIC	Δ		15		BURN (-2.5) over FLORIDA				15	
			J	- OON	HE O I	TOOT (* 11) OVEL OT LOIKIL	/A		-10	0. AUL	JOHN (2.0) OVEL I LONIDA				- 10	

(303) GA SOUTHE	RN (-11.	5) (5	SU: 1-	3, A	ΓS: 1-2) at (30-	4) S ALABAMA (SU: 1-4, ATS:	2-3)	- Thur	sday	, 10/3/2019 7	':30 PM			
,	•	, ,				eam Offense		•				Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
GA SOUTHERN	-15.0	32	21	14	45-202 (4.5)	10-5-50 (4.9)	55-252 (4.6)	1-0	36	21	36-136 (3.8)	34-21-278 (8.2)	70-414 (5.9)	1-0	+1
S ALABAMA	-14.4	26	17	20	45-193 (4.3)	24-12-140 (5.7)	69-333 (4.8)	1-1	31	19	39-179 (4.6)	25-16-224 (9.1)	64-403 (6.3)	1-1	-3
Key Game Trends:	GA SO	UTH	IFRN i	s 10	-1 OVFR after	1 or more consec	utive losses a	nains	t the sr	reac	over the L3	seasons GA SO	JTHERN is 6-	0.0V	/FR

away after 1 or more consecutive losses against the spread over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: GA SOUTHERN 30, S ALABAMA 19

(305) TEMPLE (-12)	(SU: 3	-1, <i>I</i>	ATS: 3	-1) a	t (306) E CAR	OLINA (SU: 3-2,	ATS: 2-3) - TI	nursd	lay, 10	/3/20	19 8:00 PM				
	•					eam Offense	•		_			Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
TEMPLE	+13.3	24	30	22	37-141 (3.8)	39-22-292 (7.5)	76-433 (5.7)	1-2	17	18	41-132 (3.2)	33-15-151 (4.6)	74-283 (3.8)	1-1	-3
E CAROLINA	-1.2	17	21	19	39-173 (4.5)	31-17-197 (6.4)	70-370 (5.3)	1-1	23	19	41-151 (3.7)	26-15-191 (7.5)	67-342 (5.1)	0-1	-1
Key Game Trends:	E CAR	OLIN	NA is C)-8 A	TS when playir	ng against a team	with a winning	reco	rd ove	r the	L3 seasons	TEMPLE is 12-2	ATS vs. poor	passi	ing
defenses allouing a															

defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 58% or worse over the L3 seasons....E CAROLINA is 1-9 ATS versus good offensive teams - averaging >=425 yards/game over the L3 seasons....E CAROLINA is 9-20 ATS in all lined games over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: TEMPLE 32, E CAROLINA 18

(307) UCF (-4.5) (SU	: 4-1, /	ATS	: 3-2)	at (3	08) CINCINNA	ATI (SU: 3-1, ATS	: 3-1) - Friday	, 10/4	/2019	8:00	PM				
, , , , ,				•	1	Team Offense	, ,					Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
UCF	+29.6	23	49	27	44-233 (5.3)	32-20-336 (10.5	76-569 (7.5)	0-0	19	21	34-120 (3.5)	42-21-205 (4.8)	76-325 (4.3)	1-1	+3
CINCINNATI	+7.0	40	28	22	46-186 (4.1)	26-16-222 (8.5)	72-408 (5.7)	1-1	21	18	38-130 (3.5)	25-13-167 (6.6)	63-297 (4.7)	1-0	-1
Koy Como Trondo	uko Ei	امادما	Lic 2 1	12 A	C off 1 or mor	co etrajaht avere	LICE is 20.0	ATC:	n all lin	004.0	amac aver the	12 coocone III	'E io 10 0 ATC		

Key Game Trends: Luke Fickell is 3-13 ATS off 1 or more straight overs UCF is 20-9 ATS in all lined games over the L3 seasons... UCF is 18-8 ATS as a favorite over the L3 seasons....UCF is 6-0 ATS after allowing 3 points or less in the first half last game over the L3 seasons....UCF is 6-0 ATS vs. mistake prone teams - 60+ penalty yards per game over the L2 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: UCF 31, CINCINNATI 28

(309) NEW MEXICO (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) at (310) SAN JOSE ST (-6.5) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Friday, 10/4/2019 10:00 PM Team Offense Team Defense Rushing **Passing** PDif SS PPG FD Passing PPG FD Rushing Total F-I TOD **NEW MEXICO** -12.0 21 30 22 **43-206** (4.8) 31-14-246 (8.0) 74-452 (6.1) 0-2 42 25 34-146 (4.2) 42-26-380 (8.9) 76-526 (6.9) 0-0 SAN JOSE ST 23 30-118 (4.0) 40-24-285 (7.2) 70-403 (5.8) 0-0 24 49-220 (4.5) **33-20-237** (7.2) 82-457 (5.6) 0-2 Key Game Trends: SAN JOSE ST is 7-0 ATS vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return over the L2 seasons....Brent Brennan is 7-0 OVER vs. awful passing defenses - allowing 8.5 or more passing yards/att. as coach of SAN JOSE ST....Bob Davie is 15-5 ATS away after having lost 2 out of their last 3 gamesBob Davie is 24-14 OVER after playing their last game on the road as coach of NEW MEXICO.

StatFox Forecaster: SAN JOSE ST 36, NEW MEXICO 24

(311) S FLORIDA (-	11) (SU	: 1-:	3, ATS	S: 2-2	2) at (312) COI	NNECTICUT (SU	: 1-3, ATS: 2-2	2) - S	aturda	y, 10	/5/2019 12:00	PM			
,	, ,				´ ` T	eam Offense	·	•				Team Defense			
PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I TOD S FLORIDA -10.3 30 22 15 32-98 (3.1) 33-18-207 (6.3) 65-305 (4.7) 1-1 32 24 46-189 (4.1) 30-19-202 (6.9) 76-391 (5.1) 2-2 +6															TOD
S FLORIDA	-10.3	30	22	15	32-98 (3.1)	33-18-207 (6.3)	65-305 (4.7)	1-1	32	24	46-189 (4.1)	30-19-202 (6.9)	76-391 (5.1)	2-2	+6
CONNECTICUT	-18.8	27	18	19	38-110 (2.9)	30-18-202 (6.8)	68-312 (4.6)	1-2	36	21	33-160 (4.8)	29-20-234 (8.1)	62-394 (6.4)	1-0	-4
Key Game Trends:	Charlie	Stro	ong is	11-1	ATS away afte	er gaining 100 or	less rushing ya	ards la	ast gan	ne	.Charlie Strong	g is 20-5 ATS awa	ay after 1 or m	ore	
consecutive straight	up losse	es	.Rand	y Ed	sall is 2-11 AT	S at home after a	llowing 31 poi	nts or	more i	n 2 s	straight games	Charlie Strong	is 44-22 UND	ER a	s a

StatFox Forecaster: S FLORIDA 26, CONNECTICUT 25

favorite Charlie Strong is 53-29 UNDER when playing on a Saturday .

(313) BOSTON COLL	EGE (SU:	3-2, A	TS:		OUISVILLE (-4.5	i) (SU: 2-2, AT	S: 3-	1) - Sa	turd		12:30 PM Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
BOSTON COLLEGE	+5.2	23	32	24	50-251 (5.0)	28-16-221 (7.8)	78-472 (6.1)	0-1	26	22	42-183 (4.3)	33-22-256 (7.7)	75-439 (5.9)	1-2	+7
LOUISVILLE	+7.5	27	30	21	46-226 (4.9)	23-13-211 (9.2)	69-437 (6.3)	1-0	23	17	37-136 (3.6)	30-18-216 (7.2)	67-352 (5.3)	1-0	-4
Key Game Trends: L	.OUIS	/ILL	E is 0-	9 AT	S vs. good offe	ensive teams - so	coring 31 or m	ore po	oints/ga	ame	over the L3 se	asonsLOUISVI	LLE is 1-9 AT	S afte	er 1 or
Key Game Trends: LOUISVILLE is 0-9 ATS vs. good offensive teams - scoring 31 or more points/game over the L3 seasonsLOUISVILLE is 1-9 ATS after 1 or more consecutive losses against the spread over the L2 seasonsLOUISVILLE is 0-7 ATS versus good offensive teams - averaging >=425 yards/game over the															
L2 seasonsLOUISV	/ILLE i	s 1-8	3 ATS	agai	nst conference	opponents over t	the L2 seasons	s.							

StatFox Forecaster: LOUISVILLE 29, BOSTON COLLEGE 27

(315) N CAROLINA	(-8.5) (SU:	2-3, A	TS:	3-2) at (316) G	EORGIA TECH ((SU: 1-3, ATS	0-4)	- Satu	rday	, 10/5/2019 4:	00 PM			
					T	eam Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I TOD N CAROLINA -0.6 48 24 21 40-154 (3.8) 30-18-239 (8.1) 70-393 (5.6) 1-0 25 19 36-163 (4.5) 31-20-219 (7.1) 67-382 (5.7) 0-1 0														
N CAROLINA	-0.6	48	24	21	40-154 (3.8)	30-18-239 (8.1)	70-393 (5.6)	1-0	25	19	36-163 (4.5)	31-20-219 (7.1)	67-382 (5.7)	0-1	0
GEORGIA TECH	-14.8	32	14	16	40-163 (4.0)	22-10-126 (5.8)	62-289 (4.7)	1-1	28	20	50-255 (5.1)	20-11-137 (6.8)	70-392 (5.6)	1-1	-2
Key Game Trends:	Geoff C	Collin	s is 1	1-1 A	TS vs. poor pa	assing defenses -	allowing a cor	np. po	ct. of 58	3% o	r worseGed	off Collins is 9-1 A	TS vs. awful p	assi	ng
defenses - allowing a	comp.	pct.	of 629	% or	worseN CA	ROLĪNA is 6-0 O	VER away afte	er 2 oi	more	cons	secutive straigh	nt up losses over	the L3 season	sN	1ack
Brown is 34-17 ATS	away at	fter a	a game	e wh	ere they forced	I 1 or less turnove	ers								

StatFox Forecaster: N CAROLINA 32, GEORGIA TECH 23

(317) TEXAS (-11.5)	(SU: 3	3-1, /	ATS: 2	2-2) a	at (318) W VIR	GINIA (SU: 3-1, A	ATS: 2-1) - Sa	turda	y, 10/5	/201	9 3:30 PM				
` , , , , ,	,			•	` 1	eam Offense	•		-			Team Defense			
PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I TOD															
TEXAS	+16.3	38	42	27	36-166 (4.5)	38-28-332 (8.7)	74-498 (6.7)	1-0	26	23	36-122 (3.4)	37-24-314 (8.4)	73-436 (6.0)	0-1	+2
W VIRGINIA	-0.5	29	25	19	33-107 (3.2)	36-24-219 (6.0)	69-326 (4.7)	0-1	26	22	41-172 (4.2)	31-18-202 (6.6)	72-374 (5.2)	1-0	+1
Key Game Trends:	W VIR	GINI.	A is 8-	0 O\	/ER vs. excelle	ent passing teams	averaging 27	5 or n	nore pa	ssin	g yards/game	. over the L3 seas	onsNeal Bro	own i	s 13-3

ATS when playing against a team with a winning record Neal Brown is 11-2 ATS vs. excellent passing teams with a completion pct. of 62% or better Tom Herman is 13-4 UNDER vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 58% or better. as coach of TEXAS.

StatFox Forecaster: TEXAS 35, W VIRGINIA 29

(319) PURDUE (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3) at (320) PENN ST (-25.5) (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 12:00 PM

Team Offense Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing PPG FD Rushing F-I TOD Total Passing Total **PURDUE** -3.3 35 29 22 26-68 (2.6) 46-27-351 (7.7) 72-419 (5.8) 1-2 32 22 36-154 (4.3) 36-22-296 (8.1) 72-450 (6.2) 0-0 -8 PENN ST +42.5 50 36-194 (5.5) 32-21-316 (9.8) 68-510 (7.5) 0-0 36-68 (1.9) 33-20-206 (6.2) **69-274 (4.0)** 0-1 26

Key Game Trends: James Franklin is 11-0 ATS off a road win against a conference rival as coach of PENN ST....James Franklin is 9-0 ATS at home off a road win against a conference rival as coach of PENN ST....James Franklin is 13-1 ATS at home off a win against a conference rival as coach of PENN ST....James Franklin is 20-4 ATS at home after 1 or more consecutive straight up wins as coach of PENN ST.

StatFox Forecaster: PENN ST 44, PURDUE 14

(321) BALL ST (SU: 1-3, ATS: 2-2) at (322) N ILLINOIS (-4) (SU: 1-3, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 3:30 PM

Team Offense Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD PPG FD F-I TOD Rushing Passing Total F-I Rushing Passing Total 39-147 (3.8) BALL ST -0.8 26 34 26 35-126 (3.6) 44-30-344 (7.7) **79-470** (5.9) 1-1 23 38-24-274 (7.3) 77-421 (5.5) 1-2 +1 N ILLINOIS 28-17-219 (7.9) 29 17 18 31-85 (2.8) 36-22-272 (7.5) 67-357 (5.3) 28 31-166 (5.4) 59-385 (6.5) Key Game Trends: N ILLINOIS is 14-3 UNDER in the first half of the season over the L3 seasons....N ILLINOIS is 10-2 UNDER when playing on a Saturday over

the L2 seasons....N ILLINOIS is 7-0 UNDER at home in the first half of the season over the L3 seasons....Mike Neu is 2-10 ATS in October games as coach of BALL ST....Mike Neu is 2-10 ATS after having lost 2 out of their last 3 games as coach of BALL ST.

StatFox Forecaster: BALL ST 27, N ILLINOIS 26

(323) TULANE (-3) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) at (324) ARMY (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 12:00 PM

					T	Team Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
TULANE	+17.3	29	36	22	45-273 (6.0)	24-12-182 (7.6)	69-455 (6.6)	0-0	19	19	35-147 (4.2)	33-17-193 (5.8)	68-340 (5.0)	1-1	+4
ARMY	+13.3	18	30	21	60-294 (4.9)	6-2-48 (8.8)	66-342 (5.2)	2-0	16	14	33-104 (3.1)	27-16-183 (6.9)	60-287 (4.8)	2-1	+2
1/ A T I	1 66 1 4	_		4 1 1					000			. (. D	M/ ADM/!	~ ~	01/50

Key Game Trends: Jeff Monken is 11-1 UNDER versus excellent rushing teams - averaging >=230 rushing yards/game as coach of ARMY....ARMY is 8-0 OVER in weeks 5 through 9 over the L3 seasons....Jeff Monken is 23-9 UNDER as an underdog as coach of ARMY....Jeff Monken is 9-1 UNDER after a bye week as coach of ARMY....Jeff Monken is 9-1 OVER vs. mistake prone teams - 60+ penalty yards per game as coach of ARMY.

StatFox Forecaster: ARMY 25, TULANE 17

(325) PITTSBURGH (SU: 3-2, ATS: 3-1) at (326) DUKE (-6.5) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 8:00 PM

					7	Team Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
PITTSBURGH	-1.8	37	19	22	34-126 (3.8)	43-27-278 (6.4)	77-404 (5.2)	0-1	21	17	32-92 (2.9)	33-18-209 (6.4)	65-301 (4.6)	0-0	-3
DUKE	+12.8	30	34	23	41-194 (4.7)	30-22-222 (7.3)	71-416 (5.9)	0-1	21	18	36-140 (3.8)	27-16-200 (7.4)	63-340 (5.4)	1-0	0

Key Game Trends: PITTSBURGH is 10-0 UNDER as a road underdog over the L3 seasons....PITTSBURGH is 10-0 UNDER away after the first month of the season over the L3 seasons....David Cutcliffe is 12-1 UNDER as a home favorite of 3.5 to 7 points as coach of DUKE....PITTSBURGH is 11-1 UNDER in road games over the L3 seasons....PITTSBURGH is 11-1 UNDER in road lined games over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: DUKE 26, PITTSBURGH 26

(327) BAYLOR (SU: 4-0, ATS: 2-2) at (328) KANSAS ST (-2) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 3:30 PM

,	,		•	`	•	Team Offense	,	•	,			Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
BAYLOR	+24.5	17	41	25	36-216 (6.0)	33-22-260 (7.8)	69-476 (6.9)	1-0	16	17	33-102 (3.1)	34-20-195 (5.7)	67-297 (4.4)	1-0	+2
KANSAS ST	+20.3	26	36	21	46-242 (5.3)	20-13-160 (7.8)	66-402 (6.1)	1-0	16	14	33-196 (6.0)	24-12-127 (5.4)	57-323 (5.7)	1-1	+3

Key Game Trends: Matt Rhule is 9-0 UNDER vs. excellent ball control teams, 32 or more possession minutes/gameKANSAS ST is 9-1 UNDER after playing their last game on the road over the L3 seasons....KANSAS ST is 8-1 ATS after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers over the L2 seasons....Matt Rhule is 36-17 ATS against conference opponents Matt Rhule is 34-16 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest .

StatFox Forecaster: KANSAS ST 28, BAYLOR 24

(329) MARYLAND (-15) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) at (330) RUTGERS (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 12:00 PM

					1	Team Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
MARYLAND	+15.0	34	40	20	43-223 (5.2)	33-16-212 (6.5)	76-435 (5.7)	0-1	25	18	37-105 (2.9)	34-21-274 (8.0)	71-379 (5.3)	1-1	+2
RUTGERS	-17.3	37	16	13	30-102 (3.4)	29-18-200 (6.9)	59-302 (5.1)	1-2	33	24	44-198 (4.6)	31-18-215 (6.9)	75-413 (5.5)	0-1	-6

Key Game Trends: Mike Locksley is 13-2 OVER after allowing 6.25 or more yards/play in their previous gameMike Locksley is 9-1 OVER after allowing 6.75 or more yards/play in their previous gameMARYLAND is 6-0 OVER after gaining 100 or less rushing yards last game over the L3 seasons....MARYLAND is 6-0 OVER after being outrushed by 125 or more yards last game over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: MARYLAND 34, RUTGERS 20

(331) OKLAHOMA ST (-8.5) (SU: 4-1, ATS: 4-0) at (332) TEXAS TECH (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 12:00 PM

					T	Team Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
OKLAHOMA ST	+16.8	29	41	25	48-291 (6.0)	27-18-241 (8.9)	75-532 (7.1)	1-1	24	19	42-166 (3.9)	32-18-215 (6.7)	74-381 (5.1)	1-1	-2
TEXAS TECH	+4.3	25	28	25	33-178 (5.3)	46-28-283 (6.2)	79-461 (5.8)	0-1	24	16	41-180 (4.4)	25-14-210 (8.5)	66-390 (5.9)	0-1	-1
Var. Cama Tuanda.	. NA-44 \A/	-111-	- 47 (~ 1.7	CD -4 b	f 4	La L	LL \ \ \ \ _	II- :- 40	20	\/CD -+ b	aftan a mlandan a a	C	A + - + -	$\overline{}$

Key Game Trends: Matt Wells is 17-2 OVER at home off 1 or more straight overs Matt Wells is 13-2 OVER at home after a playing a game where 60 total points or more were scoredMatt Wells is 18-5 OVER in October gamesMatt Wells is 20-6 OVER in weeks 5 through 9Mike Gundy is 70-45 ATS as a favorite as coach of OKLAHOMA ST...OKLAHOMA ST is 8-1 ATS off 1 or more consecutive unders over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: OKLAHOMA ST 38, TEXAS TECH 26

(000)	,		,	•		eam Offense			•			Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
KENT ST	-4.3	25	28	20	39-183 (4.7)	30-20-219 (7.4)	69-402 (5.8)	1-0	32	23	54-261 (4.9)	24-15-210 (8.9)	78-471 (6.0)	0-1	+1
WISCONSIN	+35.0	33	42	24	45-230 (5.2)	26-20-210 (8.0)	71-440 (6.2)	1-0	7	12	26-44 (1.7)	35-15-148 (4.2)	61-192 (3.1)	1-2	+7
Key Game Trends	: Paul Cl	hryst	t is 19-	-8 AT	S off 1 or more	e consecutive und	ders as coach	of WI	SCON	SIN	WISCONSIN	lis 0-6 ATS off a	win against a	confe	rence

rival over the L2 seasons....Paul Chryst is 9-2 ATS after covering the spread in 3 out of their last 4 games as coach of WISCONSIN....Paul Chryst is 8-1 UNDER off 2 consecutive home wins

StatFox Forecaster: WISCONSIN 49, KENT ST 15

(335) E MICHIGAN (-5) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (336) C MICHIGAN (SU: 2-3, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 12:00 PM Team Offense .. Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing **Passing** F-I PPG FD Rushing F-I TOD Total **Passing** Total 38-26-280 (7.5) 70-388 (5.5) E MICHIGAN -1.5 23 29 22 32-108 (3.4) 0-1 30 22 36-149 (4.1) 34-22-264 (7.6) 70-413 (5.9) 1-1 +2 C MICHIGAN 32-117 (3.6) 38-23-244 (6.3) 70-361 (5.2) 33-106 (3.2 34-22-275 (8.2) 67-381 (5.7) Key Game Trends: Chris Creighton is 15-3 ATS away after a game where they forced 1 or less turnovers as coach of E MICHIGAN....Chris Creighton is 12-2 ATS

away after gaining 100 or less rushing yards last game as coach of E MICHIGAN....Chris Creighton is 12-2 ATS away vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 58% or better, as coach of E MICHIGAN....Chris Creighton is 23-11 ATS in road games as coach of E MICHIGAN...

StatFox Forecaster: E MICHIGAN 25, C MICHIGAN 17

(337) VIRGINIA TECH (SU: 2-2, ATS: 0-4) at (338) MIAMI (-14) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 3:30 PM Team Defense Teàm Óffense Rushing PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I PPG FD Passing Total F-I TOD 18 44-189 (4.3) VIRGINIA TECH -5.3 25 23 20 42-149 (3.6) 29-18-215 (7.4) 71-364 (5.1) 2-1 28 69-352 (5.1) 25-15-163 (6.5) 0-0 -8 MIAMI 31 36-145 (4.0) 32-23-276 (8.7) 68-421 (6.2) 0-0 16 16 29-60 (2.1) **32-17-210** (6.7) 61-270 (4.4) Key Game Trends: MIAMI is 8-0 UNDER vs. poor passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 58% or worse over the L3 seasons....MIAMI is 16-4 UNDER after the first month of the season over the L3 seasons....MIAMI is 20-8 UNDER in all lined games over the L3 seasons....MIAMI is 14-4 UNDER against conference opponents over the L3 seasons....MIAMI is 19-7 UNDER in games played on a grass field over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: MIAMI 34, VIRGINIA TECH 20

(339) TROY (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) at (340) MISSOURI (-25.5) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 4:00 PM Team Offense **Team Defense** PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total PPG FD Rushing F-I TOD Passing Total TROY +11.3 18 27 36-170 (4.8) 42-28-342 (8.1) 78-512 (6.6) 0-0 18 33-76 (2.3) 31-20-316 (10.2 64-392 (6.1) +2 **MISSOURI** +23.8 30 34-21-260 (7.8) Key Game Trends: TROY is 9-0 ATS when playing against a team with a winning record over the L3 seasons....TROY is 8-0 ATS away when playing against a

Key Game Trends: TROY is 9-0 ATS when playing against a team with a winning record over the L3 seasons....TROY is 8-0 ATS away when playing against a team with a winning record over the L3 seasons....TROY is 11-2 ATS away when playing on a Saturday over the L3 seasons....TROY is 7-0 ATS away after a game where they forced 3 or more turnovers over the L3 seasons....TROY is 10-2 ATS in road games over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: MISSOURI 38, TROY 19

(341) LIBERTY (-6.5) (SU: 3-2, ATS: 2-3) at (342) NEW MEXICO ST (SU: 0-5, ATS: 3-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 8:00 PM Team Defense Team Offense PPG FD Rushing PDif SS PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total **Passing** Total F-I TOD LIBERTY 20 33-20-281 (8.6) 65-407 (6.3) 43-228 (5.3) 29-16-192 (6.6) 72-420 (5.8) 32-126 (4.0) 1-1 21 NEW MEXICO ST 39 43-26-245 (5.8) 71-342 (4.8) 38-240 (6.4) 33-22-280 (8.4) Key Game Trends: Hugh Freeze is 13-0 UNDER away in weeks 5 through 9 Hugh Freeze is 11-0 UNDER away in October games Hugh Freeze is 11-0 ATS vs. poor ball control teams, 28 or less possession minutes/gameHugh Freeze is 23-5 UNDER in weeks 5 through 9Doug Martin is 28-11 OVER after playing

StatFox Forecaster: LIBERTY 28, NEW MEXICO ST 20

a non-conference game as coach of NEW MEXICO ST....Hugh Freeze is 23-7 UNDER in road games

(343) ARIZONA (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (344) COLORADO (-2) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 4:30 PM Team Offense **Team Defense** PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing 1 3 2 Total PPG FD Rushing F-I TOD Passing Total ARIZONA +8.5 23 38 24 42-256 (6.0) 34-22-297 (8.9) **76-553** (7.3) 0-1 29 26 31-137 (4.4) 48-28-337 **(7.0)** 79-474 (6.0) 0-2 +6 COLORADO 34-22-291 (8.7) 71-435 (6.1) 31-20-291 (9.4) Key Game Trends: Kevin Sumlin is 0-9 ATS away off a close win by 7 points or less over a conference rivalCOLORADO is 1-10 ATS after a playing a game

Key Game Trends: Kevin Sumlin is 0-9 ATS away off a close win by 7 points or less over a conference rivalCOLORADO is 1-10 ATS after a playing a game where 60 total points or more were scored over the L3 seasons....Kevin Sumlin is 3-15 ATS off a close win by 7 points or less over a conference rivalCOLORADO is 1-9 ATS off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: ARIZONA 34, COLORADO 32

(345) SAN DIEGO ST (-7) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (346) COLORADO ST (SU: 1-4, ATS: 3-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 10:00 PM Team Defense Team Offense Rushing Passing **Passing** Rushing Passing Passing F-I TOD PDif SS PPG FD F-I PPG FD Total Total SAN DIEGO ST 15 65-280 (4.3) 22 19 21 46-148 (3.2) 31-19-197 (6.4) 77-345 (4.5) 0-0 12 26-52 (2.0) 39-25-228 (5.9) 35-182 (5.2) 39-25-319 (8.1) 74-501 (6.8) -240 (5.8) 28-16-201 (7.2) Key Game Trends: SAN DIEGO ST is 1-9 ATS as a favorite over the L2 seasons....SAN DIEGO ST is 9-1 UNDER in the first half of the season over the L2 seasons....Rocky Long is 14-3 UNDER when playing against a terrible team (Win Pct. <=25%) as coach of SAN DIEGO ST....Rocky Long is 8-0 ATS away against

teams with a turnover margin of -1 per game or worseSAN DIEGO ST is 13-4 UNDER in all lined games over the L2 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: COLORADO ST 28, SAN DIEGO ST 23

(347) OREGON ST (SU: 1-3, ATS: 3-1) at (348) UCLA (-7) (SU: 1-4, ATS: 2-3) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 9:00 PM Team Offense **Team Defense** PDif SS PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total PPG FD Rushing Passing **Passing** F-I TOD OREGON ST 34 26 36-213 (5.8) 37-22-270 (7.3) **73-483** (6.6) 0-0 30 24 42-180 (4.3) **28-18-247** (8.8) 70-427 (6.1) 0-0 20 37-120 (3.2) 33-19-258 (7.7) 70-378 (5.4) 1-1 36 24 38-163 (4.3) **37-25-352** (9.5) 75-515 (6.9) Key Game Trends: Chip Kelly is 19-3 OVER at home after playing a conference game Chip Kelly is 16-2 OVER at home after playing 2 straight conference gamesChip Kelly is 19-3 OVER at home after the first month of the seasonChip Kelly is 19-5 OVER at home against conference opponentsChip Kelly is 18-4 OVER off 1 or more consecutive undersOREGON ST is 11-2 OVER as a road underdog over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: UCLA 40, OREGON ST 32

(349) CALIFORNIA (SU: 4-1, ATS: 2-3) at (350) OREGON (-15.5) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 8:00 PM **Team Defense** Team Offense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing PPG FD F-I TOD Passing Total Rushing Passing Total CALIFORNIA 20 41-148 (3.6) 26-15-198 (7.6) 67-346 (5.2) 1-1 19 20 39-151 (3.9) 36-20-215 (6.0) 75-366 (4.9) 0 35-155 (4.4) 35-26-304 (8.7) **70-459** (6.6) 0-0 10 15 34-103 (3.0) 33-17-160 (4.8) 67-263 (3.9) Key Game Trends: CALIFORNIA is 14-3 UNDER in all lined games over the L2 seasons....CALIFORNIA is 9-1 UNDER after playing a conference game over the L2 seasons....CALIFORNIA is 11-2 UNDER in games played on turf over the L2 seasons....CALIFORNIA is 12-3 UNDER when playing on a Saturday over the L2 seasons....Mario Cristobal is 17-5 UNDER after 2 consecutive game where they committed 1 or less turnovers

StatFox Forecaster: OREGON 27, CALIFORNIA 14

(351) WASHINGTO	N (-14.5) (S	U: 4-1	. AT	S: 4-1) at (352	STANFORD (SI	U: 2-3. ATS: 1	-4) - 3	Saturd	av. '	10/5/2019 10:3	0 PM			
(001)	,	, (-		,		eam Offense		-,		,		Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
WASHINGTON	+20.8	28	38	23	39-191 (4.9)	30-21-252 (8.5)	69-443 (6.4)	1-0	17	20	32-137 (4.2)	35-21-203 (5.8)	67-340 (5.1)	0-1	+4
STANFORD	-9.0	42	20	19	31-112 (3.6)	35-21-215 (6.1)	66-327 (5.0)	0-1	29	21	34-126 (3.8)	31-22-287 (9.4)	65-413 (6.4)	1-0	+1
Key Game Trends:	David S	Shav	/ is 11	-2 0	VER at home v	vhen playing agai	nst a top-level	team	(Win F	Pct. :	> 75%) as coa	ch of STANFORD	David Shav	v is 4	5-27
ATS when playing w	ith 6 or l	ess	davs r	rest a	as coach of ST	ANFORDDavid	Shaw is 49-3	1 ATS	S in gai	mes	played on a gr	ass field as coach	of STANFOR	RDI	David

StatFox Forecaster: WASHINGTON 29, STANFORD 23

Shaw is 13-4 UNDER off a close win by 7 points or less over a conference rival as coach of STANFORD.

(353) TULSA (SU:	2-2, ATS	5: 2-2	2) at (3	354)	SMU (-13) (SU	l: 5-0, ATS: 5-0) -	Saturday, 10	/5/20	19 7:30) PN	1				
	DDif	99	DDG	FD	T Rushina	eam Offense Passing	Total	F.I	PPG	ΕD	Rushina	Team Defense Passing	Total	F.I	TOD
	ווטיז	00	110	ים ו	rtuəlilily	ı assılıy	i Ulai	1 "	110	ט ו	ixuəliliy	ı aəsiliy	i Olai	1 -1	יטט
TULSA	-4.8	37	22	21	44-106 (2.4)	35-20-257 (7.3)	79-363 (4.6)	1-0	26	18	38-176 (4.7)	32-16-203 (6.3)	70-379 (5.4)	1-0	0
SMU	+17.8	29	44	25	47-222 (4.7)	32-23-288 (8.9)	79-510 (6.5)	0-1	27	21	34-121 (3.6)	41-23-242 (5.9)	75-363 (4.8)	1-1	+2
Key Game Trends	: TULSA	is 9	-0 UN	DER	in the first half	f of the season ov	er the L2 seas	sons	TULS	A is	7-0 UNDER at	fter playing a non-	-conference ga	ame o	over
the L2 seasonsT	ULSA is	9-1 l	UNDE	R off	1 or more con	secutive unders of	over the L2 sea	asons	Son	ny D	ykes is 27-8 C	VER as a favorite	Sonny Dyl	kes is	20-5
OVER after 2 or mo	re conse	cutiv	ve win	s aga	ainst the sprea	dTULSA is 12-	-3 UNDER in a	all line	d game	es o	ver the L2 seas	sons.	, ,		

StatFox Forecaster: SMU 39, TULSA 22

(355) IOWA (SU: 4-0), ATS:	2-2)	at (35	56) N			1-3) - Saturda	ay, 10)/5/201	9 12	:00 PM	T D-f			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD		eam Offense Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Team Defense Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
IOWA	+25.0	30	34	24	42-218 (5.2)	32-20-248 (7.7)	74-466 (6.3)	0-0	8	12	23-78 (3.5)	29-17-172 (6.0)	52-250 (4.8)	1-1	+5
MICHIGAN	+13.3	35	32	23	38-130 (3.5)	33-19-262 (7.9)	71-392 (5.5)	2-1	19	16	44-168 (3.8)	22-14-128 (5.9)	66-296 (4.5)	1-0	-4
Key Game Trends:	Jim Ha	rbau	igh is 2	23-7	OVER after pla	aying 2 straight co	onference gam	es as	coach	of N	IICHIGANJ	im Harbaugh is 9-	0 OVER at ho	me v	ersus
good rushing teams -	- averag	ing	>=200) rus	hing yards/gar	neJim Harbaug	gh is 13-2 OVE	ER vs	. good	offer	sive teams -	scoring 31 or mor	e points/game	as c	oach
of MICHIGANJim	Harbau	gh is	9-0 C	VEF	Rat home vers	us excellent offen	sive teams - a	verag	ing >=	3.25	yards/play .	-	. •		

StatFox Forecaster: IOWA 28, MICHIGAN 23

(357) ILLINOIS (SU:	2-2, A1	S: 2	2-2) at	(358		A (-13.5) (SU: 4-0 eam Offense	, ATS: 1-2) - S	atur	day, 10	/5/2		Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD		Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
ILLINOIS	+10.0	21	36	20	40-181 (4.6)	30-18-199 (6.6)	70-380 (5.4)	1-1	26	22	43-150 (3.5)	32-20-262 (8.1)	75-412 (5.5)	2-1	+4
MINNESOTA	+5.0	25	35	21	44-116 (2.6)	24-17-274 (11.6	68-390 (5.7)	1-0	30	21	32-119 (3.8)	30-17-212 (7.1)	62-331 (5.3)	1-1	+2
Key Game Trends: allowing 6.25 or more game as coach of ILI	yards/	play	in the	ir pre	evious game ov	er the L2 season	sLovie Smit	h is 8	-1 OVE						

StatFox Forecaster: MINNESOTA 44, ILLINOIS 20

(359) RICE (SU: 0-5	, ATS: 3	3-1)	at (360	0) U		3-1, ATS: 2-2) - 8	Saturday, 10/5	5/2019	7:00	PM		Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	-	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
RICE	-14.6	40	15	16	36-118 (3.3)	26-15-164 (6.4)	62-282 (4.5)	0-0	29	21	37-162 (4.4)	27-19-243 (8.9)	64-405 (6.3)	1-0	0
UAB	+10.3	11	26	19	41-144 (3.5)	26-16-232 (9.0)	67-376 (5.6)	0-2	16	14	30-80 (2.6)	29-17-186 (6.4)	59-266 (4.5)	1-0	-4
Key Game Trends:	UAB is	10-0	UND	ER i	n weeks 5 thro	ugh 9 over the L3	seasonsUA	AB is	8-0 UN	IDEF	R in October g	ames over the L3	seasonsBill	Clarl	k is 9-1

Key Game Trends: UAB is 10-0 UNDER in weeks 5 through 9 over the L3 seasons....UAB is 8-0 UNDER in October games over the L3 seasons....Bill Clark is 9-1 UNDER after having won 3 out of their last 4 games as coach of UAB....Bill Clark is 9-1 UNDER at home in the first half of the season as coach of UAB....UAB is 8-1 ATS off 1 or more consecutive unders over the L2 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: UAB 29, RICE 12

(361) NORTHWESTE	ERN (S	U: 1	-3, AT	S: 1			U: 3-2, ATS: 1	-4) -	Saturd	ay, 1					
					T	eam Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
NORTHWESTERN	-6.0	39	16	20	42-151 (3.6)	32-15-142 (4.4)	74-293 (4.0)	1-2	22	18	38-145 (3.8)	29-18-174 (6.0)	67-319 (4.8)	2-0	-3
NEBRASKA	+2.0	33	32	21	45-212 (4.7)	26-16-226 (8.6)	71-438 (6.2)	2-1	30	21	41-167 (4.1)	34-20-234 (6.9)	75-401 (5.3)	1-1	-4
Key Game Trends:	Pat Fitz	zgera	ald is 9	9-0 A	TS away after	gaining 3.75 or le	ss yards/play	in the	ir previ	ous	game as coacl	of NORTHWES	TERNPat Fi	itzgeı	ald is
19-5 ATS away versu	s good	offe	nsive	team	ns - averaging	>=5.9 yards/play	as coach of N	ORTH	IWEST	ERN	iNEBRASK	A is 7-0 ATS after	playing 2 stra	ight	
conference games ov	er the	L2 s	eason	sN	IEBRASKA is	1-10 ATS at home	e in the first ha	If of t	he seas	son o	over the L3 sea	asons.		-	

StatFox Forecaster: NEBRASKA 28, NORTHWESTERN 20

(363) GEORGIA (-2	5.5) (Sl	J: 4-	0, AT	S: 2-			-3, ATS: 1-3)	- Satı	ırday,	10/5	/2019 7:00 PN				
	PDif	ss	PPG	FD	Rushing	eam Offense Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Team Defense Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
GEORGIA	+32.8	29	43	24	36-254 (6.9)	27-20-256 (9.6)	63-510 (8.1)	1-0	10	14	25-57 (2.3)	38-23-206 (5.4)	63-263 (4.2)	0-1	+2
TENNESSEE	+0.8	25	26	19	36-154 (4.3)	29-17-201 (7.0)	65-355 (5.5)	1-1	25	20	41-150 (3.7)	28-16-190 (6.7)	69-340 (4.9)	1-2	+1
Key Game Trends:	TENNE	SSE	E is 3	3-13	ATS in home g	ames over the L3	seasonsTE	NNE	SSEE	is 3-	13 ATS in hom	ne lined games ov	er the L3		
seasonsTENNES												ATS at home in ga	ames played o	n a g	rass

StatFox Forecaster: GEORGIA 42, TENNESSEE 17

(365) AIR FORCE (-	·2) (SU:	: 3-1	, ATS	2-2			2-1) - Saturda	ay, 10	/5/201	9 3:3	0 PM	_			
					-	eam Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
AIR FORCE	+13.5	23	34	22	59-334 (5.6)	9-5-110 (12.2	68-444 (6.5)	1-0	21	18	31-93 (3.0)	33-20-212 (6.5)	64-305 (4.8)	0-0	-4
NAVY	+19.3	19	37	25	65-345 (5.3)	10-6-113 (11.3	75-458 (6.1)	1-0	17	12	27-84 (3.1)	23-14-167 (7.2)	50-251 (5.0)	1-0	0
Key Game Trends:	Ken Ni	uma	talolo i	s 17	-4 UNDER vs.	awful passing tear	ns averaging	125 c	r less _l	oass	ing yards/gam	e. as coach of NA	VYKen Niu	ımata	lolo is
19-5 UNDER vs. poo	or passii	ng te	eams a	vera	ging 150 or les	ss passing yards/g	ame. as coac	h of N	IAVY	.Ken	Niumatalolo i	s 23-9 UNDER ve	ersus excellent	t rush	ing
teams - averaging >	=230 ru	ıshin	na vard	s/qa	me as coach o	f NAVY.									

StatFox Forecaster: AIR FORCE 27, NAVY 25

(367) W MICHIGAN	I (SU: 3-	2, A1	S: 2-	2) at	(368) TOLED	O (-2) (SU: 3-1, A	TS: 2-2) - Sat	urda	y, 10/5	/201	9 3:30 PM		DRF SPOR	TS	ORN
	PDif	ss	PPG	FD	Rushing	eam Offense Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Team Defense Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
W MICHIGAN TOLEDO	+9.0 +11.0	24 25			35-199 (5.7) 44-262 (6.0)	32-21-299 (9.2) 25-14-208 (8.4)			28 24		39-191 (4.9) 35-175 (5.0)	37-24-254 (6.9) 41-26-301 (7.3)			+2 +1
Key Game Trends: s 11-3 OVER after							MICHIGAN	.TOL	EDO is	0-6	ATS off a hon	ne win over the L2	seasonsW	MIC	HIGAI
StatFox Forecaste	r: TOLE	DO 4	13, W	MIC	HIGAN 29										
(369) OKLAHOMA	` , ,				´ ` T	eam Offense	,		•			Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD

KANSAS -3.2 30 23 18 33-164 (5.0) 26-17-189 (7.3) 59-353 (6.0) 1-1 27 24 48-211 (4.4) 31-19-213 (7.0) 79-424 (5.4) 0-0 -6 Key Game Trends: OKLAHOMA is 8-1 OVER off a home win over the L2 seasons....OKLAHOMA is 8-1 OVER after playing a game at home over the L2 seasons....OKLAHOMA is 10-3 OVER after scoring 42 points or more last game over the L2 seasons....Lincoln Riley is 9-2 ATS vs. excellent passing teams with a completion pct. of 62% or better as coach of OKLAHOMA.

StatFox Forecaster: OKLAHOMA 48, KANSAS 31

(371) OHIO U (-2.5) (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3) at (372) BUFFALO (SU: 2-3, ATS: 1-4) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 3:30 PM Team Offense Team Defense **Passing** PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Total PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total F-I TOD OHIO U 26 27 19 32-160 (5.1) 28-16-214 (7.5) 60-374 (6.2) 1-1 30 23 38-208 (5.5) 34-21-256 (7.5) 72-464 (6.4) 0-0 -6 **BUFFALO** 22-10-126 (5.7) 73-366 (5.0) 29 **26-16-215** (8.3) **51-240** (4.7) 16 35-96 (2.7) Key Game Trends: Frank Solich is 1-12 ATS when playing against a marginal losing team (Win Pct. 40% to 49%) as coach of OHIO U....BUFFALO is 8-0 ATS at

home against conference opponents over the L3 seasons....BUFFALO is 8-0 ATS at home after playing a conference game over the L3 seasons....BUFFALO is 8-1 ATS at home after playing a conference game over the L3 seasons....Lance Leipold is 8-1 ATS at home in October games as coach of BUFFALO.

StatFox Forecaster: OHIO U 35, BUFFALO 28

(373) TCU (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (374) IOWA ST (-4) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 12:00 PM Team Offense **Team Defense Passing** PPG FD F-I TOD PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Total Rushing Passing Total TCU 33-17-213 (6.5) 82-488 (6.0) 1-0 31-79 (2.5) 40 25 **49-275** (5.6) 19 10 30-15-167 (5.6) **61-246** (4.0) 0-1 32-152 (4.7) **IOWA ST** 38-26-348 (9.2) 70-500 (7.1) 20 36-120 (3.3) **40-24-233** (5.9) 76-353 (4.6) Key Game Trends: Matt Campbell is 10-1 ATS in October games as coach of IOWA ST....Matt Campbell is 9-1 ATS after having lost 2 out of their last 3 games as coach of IOWA ST....Matt Campbell is 13-3 ATS vs. good teams - outscoring opponents by 10+ PPG on the season as coach of IOWA ST....Gary Patterson is 15-4 UNDER away after having won 3 out of their last 4 games as coach of TCU.

StatFox Forecaster: IOWA ST 28, TCU 19

(375) VANDERBILT (SU: 1-3, ATS: 0-4) at (376) OLE MISS (-7.5) (SU: 2-3, ATS: 2-3) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 7:30 PM Team Offense Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD PPG FD Rushing F-I Rushing 1 4 1 F-I TOD Passing Total **Passing** Total VANDERBII T -16 0 **45** 23 18 29-129 (4.4) 37-22-237 (6.4) 66-366 (5.5) 0-1 39 31-161 (5.2) 36-23-341 (9.4) 67-502 (7.5) 0-0 0 24 OLE MISS 46-199 (4.3) 30-17-225 (7.4) 76-424 (5.6) 38-25-314 (8.3) 1-0 30 33-107 **(3.3)** 71-421 **(5.9)** Key Game Trends: VANDERBILT is 2-12 ATS after a game where they forced 1 or less turnovers over the L3 seasons....Matt Luke is 1-9 ATS after 2 consecutive game where they committed 1 or less turnovers as coach of OLE MISS....Matt Luke is 9-1 OVER in weeks 5 through 9 as coach of OLE MISS....OLE MISS is 1-8 ATS after gaining 475 or more total yards/game over their last 3 games over the L2 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: OLE MISS 33, VANDERBILT 30

(377) MARSHALL (-6.5) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) at (378) MIDDLE TENN ST (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 3:30 PM Team Defense Team Offense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing **Passing** PPG FD Rushing Passing F-I TOD Total Total MARSHALL 31 20 **36-206** (5.7) 28-16-183 (6.5) 64-389 (6.1) 0-0 22 **39-166** (4.3) 32-20-248 (7.9) 71-414 (5.8) -1.0 28 1-0 MIDDLE TENN ST 26 364 (6.2) Key Game Trends: John Holliday is 6-19 ATS after 2 consecutive game where they committed 1 or less turnovers as coach of MARSHALL....Rick Stockstill is 16-5 UNDER after allowing 6.75 or more yards/play in their previous game as coach of MIDDLE TENN ST....Rick Stockstill is 8-21 ATS vesus good rushing teams averaging >=4.75 rushing yards/carry as coach of MIDDLE TENN ST.

StatFox Forecaster: MARSHALL 29, MIDDLE TENN ST 28

(379) BOWLING GREEN (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3) at (380) NOTRE DAME (-45) (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 3:30 PM Team Offense **Team Defense** PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total F-I TOD **BOWLING GREEN** 22 18 19 43-165 (3.9) 33-19-188 (5.6) 76-353 (4.6) 0-1 21 43-221 (5.1) 24-18-228 (9.5) 67-449 (6.7) 1-0 33-148 (4.5) 30-19-267 (8.7) 63-415 (6.6) 0-0 39-154 (4.0) 32-18-202 (6.4) Key Game Trends: BOWLING GREEN is 1-10 ATS in non-conference games over the L3 seasons....Brian Kelly is 31-14 UNDER off a home win as coach of NOTRE DAME....BOWLING GREEN is 8-20 ATS in all lined games over the L3 seasons....BOWLING GREEN is 6-18 ATS as an underdog over the L3 seasons....BOWLING GREEN is 5-16 ATS when playing on a Saturday over the L3 seasons....Brian Kelly is 57-35 ATS in the first half of the season .

StatFox Forecaster: NOTRE DAME 42, BOWLING GREEN 11

(381) ARKANSAS S	T (-7.5)	(SL	J: 3-2,	ATS	S: 2-3) at (382)	GEORGIA ST (S	SU: 2-2, ATS:	1-1) -	Satur	day,	10/5/2019 3:3	0 PM			
						eam Offense						Team Defense			
	PDif	SS	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	PPG	FD	Rushing	Passing	Total	F-I	TOD
ARKANSAS ST	-3.2	30	33	22	31-113 (3.6)	39-24-319 (8.1)	70-432 (6.2)	1-1	36	25	40-195 (4.9)	40-24-305 (7.6)	80-500 (6.2)	0-1	-2
GEORGIA ST	-9.0	18	32	23	46-208 (4.5)	32-19-212 (6.7)	78-420 (5.4)	1-1	42	23	42-245 (5.9)	28-18-252 (9.0)	70-497 (7.1)	0-0	-3
Key Game Trends: GEORGIA ST is 0-8 ATS after allowing 37 points or more last game over the L2 seasonsARKANSAS ST is 9-0 UNDER after scoring 31										31					
points or more in 2 s GEORGIA STGEO									ng a ga	ame	where 60 total	points or more we	ere scored as	coacl	n of

StatFox Forecaster: ARKANSAS ST 39, GEORGIA ST 23

(383) W KENTUCKY (-3) (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) at (384) OLD DOMINION (SU: 1-3, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 6:00 PM

Team Defense Team Offense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing F-I PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total F-I TOD Total 22 17 30-90 (2.9) 36-21-245 (6.8) 66-335 (5.1) 1-1 25 18 41-124 (3.0) 24-14-214 (9.1) 65-338 (5.2) 0-1 28-17-172 (6.3) 70-294 (4.2) 0-1 **26-14-212** (8.3) 60-306 (5.1) OLD DOMINION 42-122 (2.9) 34-94 (2.8) 18 26 16

Key Game Trends: Bobby Wilder is 1-9 ATS at home after playing a non-conference game as coach of OLD DOMINION....Bobby Wilder is 4-15 ATS at home in the first half of the season as coach of OLD DOMINION....W KENTUCKY is 2-11 ATS as a favorite over the L3 seasons....Bobby Wilder is 14-4 OVER after 2 or more consecutive straight up losses as coach of OLD DOMINION....W KENTUCKY is 11-3 UNDER in road games over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: W KENTUCKY 20, OLD DOMINION 20

(385) AUBURN (-2.5) (SU: 5-0, ATS: 5-0) at (386) FLORIDA (SU: 5-0, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 3:30 PM

Team Offense **Team Defense** PDif SS PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total F-I TOD AUBURN +20.8 40 38 23 **47-251** (5.3) 26-15-203 (7.8) 73-454 (6.2) 1-0 17 18 31-95 (3.1) 36-20-225 (6.2) 67-320 (4.8) 1-0 -2 32-142 (4.4) 31-23-288 (9.3) 63-430 (6.8) 1-1 32-88 (2.8) **30-18-191** (6.5) 62-279 (4.5) **FLORIDA**

Key Game Trends: Gus Malzahn is 12-1 ATS after 3 or more consecutive wins against the spread as coach of AUBURN....Gus Malzahn is 7-0 ATS away after 3 or more consecutive wins against the spread as coach of AUBURN....Gus Malzahn is 9-1 ATS after 4 or more consecutive wins against the spread as coach of AUBURN....Gus Malzahn is 7-0 ATS vs. incredible defensive teams who give up 12 or less points/game as coach of AUBURN.

StatFox Forecaster: FLORIDA 30, AUBURN 22

(387) MASSACHUSETTS (SU: 1-4, ATS: 1-4) at (388) FLA INTERNATIONAL (-27) (SU: 1-3, ATS: 0-4) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 7:00 PM Team Defense

Team Offense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total F-I PPG FD Rushing Total F-I TOD 39-155 (4.0) 32-19-176 (5.5) 71-331 (4.7) 47 25 40-236 (5.9) 29-21-289 (10.1 69-525 (7.6) MASSACHUSETTS 18 0-1 FLA INTERNATIONA 38-163 (4.3) 28-16-199 (7.1) 66-362 (5.5) 30 39-217 (5.6) 33-20-238 (7.3) 72-455 (6.3) -8.3

Key Game Trends: MASSACHUSETTS is 17-4 OVER in games played on turf over the L3 seasons....Butch Davis is 13-3 OVER after playing a conference game as coach of FLA INTERNATIONAL....MASSACHUSETTS is 0-7 ATS away in games played on turf over the L3 seasons....MASSACHUSETTS is 10-2 OVER in the first half of the season over the L2 seasons....MASSACHUSETTS is 2-9 ATS as an underdog over the L2 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: FLA INTERNATIONAL 52, MASSACHUSETTS 19

(389) MEMPHIS (-14) (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) at (390) LA MONROE (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 7:00 PM

Team Offense **Team Defense** Rushing PDif SS PPG FD Rushing **Passing** Total PPG FD Passing Total F-I TOD **MEMPHIS** 25-18-246 (9.9) 66-442 (6.7) 1-0 **+21.0** 20 19 41-196 (4.8) 15 44-160 (3.6) 19-8-103 (5.4) 63-263 (4.2) LA MONROE 39-216 (5.6) 32-20-228 (7.1)

Key Game Trends: Mike Norvell is 17-5 OVER after 1 or more consecutive wins against the spread as coach of MEMPHIS....Matt Viator is 2-10 ATS in October games as coach of LA MONROE....Matt Viator is 8-1 ATS off a home win as coach of LA MONROE....Matt Viator is 3-12 ATS in weeks 5 through 9 as coach of LA MONROE....Mike Norvell is 10-2 ATS as a favorite of 10.5 to 21 points as coach of MEMPHIS.

StatFox Forecaster: MEMPHIS 36, LA MONROE 28

(391) MICHIGAN ST (SU: 4-1, ATS: 2-3) at (392) OHIO ST (-20.5) (SU: 5-0, ATS: 4-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 7:30 PM

Team Offense **Team Defense** Rushing Rushing F-I TOD PDif SS PPG FD PPG FD **Passing** Passing **Passing** Total F-I Total MICHIGAN ST 31 23 37-145 (4.0) +16.4 35-21-269 (7.6) 72-414 (5.8) 1-0 15 17 30-56 (1.9) 35-22-198 (5.7) 65-254 (3.9) 1-1 OHIO ST 29 46-283 (6.2) 27-19-254 (9.5) 73-537 (7.4) 1-0 36-85 **27-15-139** (5.1)

Key Game Trends: Mark Dantonio is 10-0 ATS away after scoring 31 points or more in 2 straight games as coach of MICHIGAN ST....MICHIGAN ST is 7-0 UNDER after playing 2 straight conference games over the L2 seasons....Mark Dantonio is 23-9 OVER away after a game where they forced 1 or less turnovers as coach of MICHIGAN ST....OHIO ST is 11-2 OVER after allowing 14 points or less last game over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: OHIO ST 29, MICHIGAN ST 14

(393) UTAH ST (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) at (394) LSU (-26) (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 12:00 PM

Team Defense Team Offense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing **Passing** PPG FD F-I TOD Total Rushing **Passing** Total **UTAH ST** +17 0 23 38 26 39-207 (5.3) 43-29-326 (7.5) 82-533 (6.5) 1-1 22 20 42-114 (2.7) 39-22-264 (6.8) 81-378 (4.7) 33-132 (4.0) 37-29-432 (11.6 70-564 (8.1) 0-1 18 33-96 (2.9) **35-20-224** (6.4) 68-320 (4.7)

Key Game Trends: Gary Andersen is 9-0 ATS as a road underdog of 21.5 or more points UTAH ST is 7-0 ATS in non-conference games over the L2 seasons....UTAH ST is 9-1 ATS in the first half of the season over the L2 seasons....UTAH ST is 13-4 ATS in all lined games over the L2 seasons....Ed Orgeron is 11-2 ATS at home in October gamesEd Orgeron is 13-3 ATS at home in weeks 5 through 9.

StatFox Forecaster: LSU 44, UTAH ST 27

(395) UTSA (-2) (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3) at (396) UTEP (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 8:00 PM Team Offense

Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total PPG FD Rushing Passing Total TOD UTSA -20.3 26 16 18 38-166 (4.4) 35-22-161 (4.6) 73-327 (4.5) 1-1 36 22 40-256 (6.5) **21-12-147** (7.0) 61-403 (6.6) 0-0 -7 39-151 (3.9) 21-10-152 (7.2) 60-303 (5.0) 0-1 22 35-160 (4.6) 33-23-280 (8.4) 68-440 (6.5) 16 35

Key Game Trends: UTSA is 1-11 ATS after playing their last game on the road over the L3 seasons....Dana Dimel is 5-19 ATS when playing against a team with a losing recordUTEP is 2-11 ATS in home games over the L3 seasons....UTEP is 2-11 ATS in home lined games over the L3 seasons....UTEP is 2-11 ATS at home in games played on turf over the L3 seasons....Dana Dimel is 1-9 ATS when the line is +3 to -3

StatFox Forecaster: UTEP 27, UTSA 16

(397) BOISE ST (-21.5) (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) at (398) UNLV (SU: 1-3, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 10/5/2019 10:30 PM

Team Offense Team Defense **Passing** Rushing PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Total PPG FD Total F-I TOD Passing **BOISE ST 25** 41-154 (3.7) **38-23-322 (8.6)** 79-476 (6.0) 1-1 17 **15 36-142** (4.0) 22-13-157 (7.1) 58-299 (5.2) UNLV 39-202 (5.2) 31-17-174 (5.6) 70-376 (5.4) 1-1 45-228 (5.1) **29-16-217** (7.5) 74-445 (6.0)

Key Game Trends: Tony Sanchez is 7-0 OVER at home after 2 consecutive games where they forced 1 or less turnovers as coach of UNLV....Bryan Harsin is 11-2 ATS away off a home win against a conference rival as coach of BOISE ST....Tony Sanchez is 18-7 OVER in home games as coach of UNLV....Tony Sanchez is 18-7 OVER in home lined games as coach of UNLV....Tony Sanchez is 30-16 OVER in games played on turf as coach of UNLV.

StatFox Forecaster: BOISE ST 33, UNLV 23

Top College Football Statistical Edges - Week 6

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

OFFENSIVE STATISTICS		DEFENSIVE STATISTICS		OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS	
Points Scored (PPG)	W/L	Points Allowed (PPG)	W/L	Scoring Differential (PPG)	W/L
1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +32.1		1. SAN DIEGO ST (vs CSU): +27.3		1. PENN ST (vs PUR): +45.8	
2. MARYLAND (vs RUT): +23.8		2. PENN ST (vs PUR): +25.0		2. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +40.0	
3. SMU (vs TLS): +22.9		3. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +24.8	\top	3. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +39.5	
4. UCF (vs CIN): +21.3		4. LIBERTY (vs NMS): +24.6		4. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +39.3	
5. OHIO ST (vs MSY): +21.0		5. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +20.5		5. MARYLAND (vs RUT): +32.3	
6. PENN ST (vs PUR): +20.8		6. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +20.0		6. GEORGIA (vs TEN): +32.0	
7. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +20.0		7. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +19.5		7. LIBERTY (vs NMS): +31.0	
, ,					
Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
1. OHIO ST (vs MSY): +138.6		1. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +216.5	_	1. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +264.0	
2. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +129.1		2. SAN DIEGO ST (vs CSU): +187.4	_	2. MARYLAND (vs RUT): +215.0	_
3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +125.3		3. MIAMI (vs VAT): +128.8	_	3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +211.8	_
4. TCU (vs ISU): +123.0		4. BUFFALO (vs OHU): +112.9		4. BUFFALO (vs OHU): +193.4	_
5. MARYLAND (vs RUT): +121.5		5. UTEP (vs TSA): +96.3		5. GEORGIA (vs TEN): +191.8	
6. SMU (vs TLS): +116.4		6. BAYLOR (vs KSU): +93.8		6. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.0	
7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs TT): +113.3		7. MARYLAND (vs RUT): +93.5		7. IOWA (vs MIC): +176.5	
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)	W/L
1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +3.0	11/2	1. SAN DIEGO ST (vs CSU): +3.8	**/-	1. PENN ST (vs PUR): +5.3	W/L
2. PENN ST (vs PUR): +2.9		2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +3.1	$\overline{}$	2. GEORGIA (vs TEN): +4.0	
3. GEORGIA (vs TEN): +2.7		3. BAYLOR (vs KSU): +2.9		3. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +3.6	
4. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +2.6		4. BUFFALO (vs OHU): +2.7		4. BAYLOR (vs KSU): +3.5	
5. SMU (vs TLS): +2.3		5. PENN ST (vs PUR): +2.4		5. MARYLAND (vs RUT): +3.5	
6. OHIO ST (vs MSY): +2.2		6. MIAMI (vs VAT): +2.2		6. SMU (vs TLS): +3.4	
		7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.0	-	7. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +2.9	
11. ARIZUNA (VS GOL). +2.2		/ .			
7. ARIZONA (vs COL): +2.2					
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs KS): +5.3	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 6. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +3.0 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.8	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9 6. TEMPLE (vs ECU): +2.8 7. UAB (vs RIC): +2.5	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0 5. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +5.3 6. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.2 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +5.2	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 6. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +3.0 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG)		Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9 6. TEMPLE (vs ECU): +2.8 7. UAB (vs RIC): +2.5		Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0 5. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +5.3 6. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.2 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +5.2 Total Yds Differential (TYPG)	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 6. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +3.0 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +314.6	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9 6. TEMPLE (vs ECU): +2.8 7. UAB (vs RIC): +2.5 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +279.0	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0 5. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +5.3 6. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.2 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +5.2 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +393.8	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 6. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +3.0 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +314.6 2. TEXAS (vs WV): +171.8	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9 6. TEMPLE (vs ECU): +2.8 7. UAB (vs RIC): +2.5 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +279.0 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +236.8	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0 5. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +5.3 6. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.2 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +5.2 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +393.8 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +317.5	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 6. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +3.0 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +314.6 2. TEXAS (vs WV): +171.8 3. UCF (vs CIN): +159.8	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9 6. TEMPLE (vs ECU): +2.8 7. UAB (vs RIC): +2.5 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +279.0 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +236.8 3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +176.5	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0 5. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +5.3 6. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.2 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +5.2 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +393.8 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +317.5 3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +266.8	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 6. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +3.0 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +314.6 2. TEXAS (vs WV): +171.8 3. UCF (vs CIN): +159.8 4. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +156.0	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9 6. TEMPLE (vs ECU): +2.8 7. UAB (vs RIC): +2.5 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +279.0 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +236.8 3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +176.5 4. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +161.0	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0 5. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +5.3 6. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.2 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +5.2 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +393.8 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +317.5 3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +266.8 4. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +245.3	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 6. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +3.0 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +314.6 2. TEXAS (vs WV): +171.8 3. UCF (vs CIN): +159.8 4. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +156.0 5. GEORGIA (vs TEN): +154.0	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9 6. TEMPLE (vs ECU): +2.8 7. UAB (vs RIC): +2.5 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +279.0 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +236.8 3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +176.5 4. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +161.0 5. SAN DIEGO ST (vs CSU): +160.5	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0 5. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +5.3 6. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.2 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +5.2 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +393.8 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +317.5 3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +266.8 4. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +245.3 5. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +236.0	W/L
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +185.5 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +148.0 3. IOWA ST (vs TCU): +134.5 4. TULANE (vs ARM): +133.0 5. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +122.4 6. UCF (vs CIN): +113.8 7. TEXAS (vs WV): +113.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.3 2. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +5.0 3. NEBRASKA (vs NW): +4.3 4. LSU (vs UTS): +4.0 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 6. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +3.0 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +2.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +314.6 2. TEXAS (vs WV): +171.8 3. UCF (vs CIN): +159.8 4. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +156.0	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +180.8 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +148.0 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +142.8 4. UTSA (vs UTP): +132.5 5. W VIRGINIA (vs TEX): +113.0 6. OREGON ST (vs UCL): +104.5 7. PENN ST (vs PUR): +90.0 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.5 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +4.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +3.6 4. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +3.1 5. FLA INTERNATIONAL (vs MAS): +2.9 6. TEMPLE (vs ECU): +2.8 7. UAB (vs RIC): +2.5 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +279.0 2. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +236.8 3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +176.5 4. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +161.0	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +208.8 2. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +207.8 3. SAN JOSE ST (vs NM): +181.3 4. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +166.5 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +160.8 6. COLORADO ST (vs SDS): +149.3 7. LSU (vs UTS): +145.5 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +6.8 2. NOTRE DAME (vs BG): +6.2 3. MINNESOTA (vs ILL): +6.0 4. WASHINGTON (vs STA): +6.0 5. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +5.3 6. MISSOURI (vs TRO): +5.2 7. MEMPHIS (vs LAM): +5.2 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs KAN): +393.8 2. WISCONSIN (vs KS): +317.5 3. PENN ST (vs PUR): +266.8 4. BOISE ST (vs NLV): +245.3	W/L